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The OIE Terrestrial Animal Health Code (hereafter referred to as the Terrestrial Code) establishes standards for the improvement of terrestrial animal health and welfare and veterinary public health worldwide. The health measures in the Terrestrial Code should be used by the Veterinary Authorities of importing and exporting countries to set up measures providing for early detection, reporting and control of pathogenic agents, including zoonotic ones, in terrestrial animals (mammals, birds, reptiles and bees) and preventing their spread via international trade in animals and animal products, while avoiding unjustified sanitary barriers to trade.

The standards in the Terrestrial Code have been formally adopted by the World Assembly of OIE Delegates, which constitutes the organisation’s highest decision-making body. This 27th edition incorporates modifications to the Terrestrial Code agreed at the 86th General Session in May 2018.

This edition includes an updated version of the table of contents, User's guide, Glossary and the revised text in the following articles and chapters:

- Diseases, infections and infestations listed by the OIE (Articles 1.3.1., 1.3.2., 1.3.4. and 1.3.5.);
- Procedures for self-declaration and for official recognition by the OIE (Chapter 1.6.);
- Import risk analysis (Articles 2.1.1. and 2.1.3.);
- Criteria applied by the OIE for assessing the safety of commodities (Chapter 2.2.);
- Zoning and compartmentalisation (Chapter 4.3.);
- Collection and processing of oocytes or in vitro derived embryos from livestock and equids (Chapter 4.8.);
- The role of the Veterinary Services in food safety (Chapter 6.2.);
- Harmonisation of national antimicrobial resistance surveillance and monitoring programmes (Chapter 6.8.);
- Monitoring of the quantities and usage patterns of antimicrobial agents used in food-producing animals (Chapter 6.9.);
- Introduction to the recommendations for animal welfare (Chapter 7.1.);
- Welfare of working equids (Articles 7.12.7.);
- Infection with bluetongue virus (Chapter 8.3.);
- Infection with Brucella abortus, B. melitensis and B. suis (Article 8.4.10.);
- Infection with rinderpest virus (Article 8.16.2.);
- Infection with lumpy skin disease virus (Articles 11.9.4., 11.9.5., 11.9.6. and 11.9.15.);
- Infection with Burkholderia mallei (Glanders) (Chapter 12.10.).

This edition also includes five new chapters on following titles:

- Application for official recognition by the OIE of free status for African horse sickness (Chapter 1.7.);
- Application for official recognition by the OIE of risk status for bovine spongiform encephalopathy (Chapter 1.8.);
- Application for official recognition by the OIE of free status for classical swine fever (Chapter 1.9.);
- Application for official recognition by the OIE of free status for contagious bovine pleuropneumonia (Chapter 1.10.);
- Application for official recognition by the OIE of free status for foot and mouth disease (Chapter 1.11.);
- Application for official recognition by the OIE of free status for peste des petits ruminants (Chapter 1.12.);
- Vaccination (Chapter 4.17.);
- Introduction to recommendations for veterinary public health (Chapter 6.1.);
- Animal welfare and pig production systems (Chapter 7.13.).

The development of these standards and recommendations is the result of the ongoing work by the OIE Terrestrial Animal Health Standards Commission (hereafter referred to as the Code Commission). This Commission, which comprises six elected members, meets twice yearly to address its work programme. The Code Commission draws upon the expertise of internationally renowned specialists to prepare draft texts for new articles of the Terrestrial Code and to revise existing articles. The views of OIE National Delegates are routinely sought through the twice yearly circulation of draft texts. The Code Commission collaborates closely with other Specialist Commissions of the OIE, including the
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Aquatic Animal Health Standards Commission, the Biological Standards Commission and the Scientific Commission for Animal Diseases, to ensure that the recommendations contained in the Terrestrial Code are based upon the latest scientific information.

The World Trade Organization (WTO) Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures (hereafter referred to as the SPS Agreement) formally recognises the role of the OIE as the international standard setting organisation for animal health and zoonotic diseases. According to the SPS Agreement, WTO Members should align their import requirements with the recommendations in the relevant standards of the OIE. Where there are no OIE recommendations or if the country chooses a level of protection requiring measures more stringent than the standards of the OIE, these should be based on an import risk analysis conducted in accordance with Chapter 2.1. The Terrestrial Code is thus a key part of the WTO legal framework for international trade.

The Terrestrial Code is published annually in the three official OIE languages (English, French and Spanish). An unofficial translation into Russian is also available from the OIE upon request. The Terrestrial Code may be viewed and downloaded from the OIE Web site at http://www.oie.int.

The User’s guide, which follows this foreword, is designed to help Veterinary Authorities and other interested parties to use the Terrestrial Code.

We wish to thank the members of the Code Commission, Delegates and the experts participating in Working Groups and ad hoc Groups and other Specialist Commissions for their expert advice. Finally but not least, my thanks go to the staff of the OIE for their dedication in producing this 27th edition of the Terrestrial Code.

Dr Monique Eloit
Director General
World Organisation for Animal Health

Dr Etienne Bonbon
President
OIE Terrestrial Animal Health Standards Commission

Members of the OIE Code Commission (2017-2018):
President: Dr Etienne Bonbon
Vice-President: Prof. Stuart C. MacDiarmid
Vice-President: Dr Gaston Maria Funes
Members: Dr Masatsugu Okita, Dr Emmanuel Couacy-Hyman and Prof. Salah Hammami

July 2018
A. Introduction

1) The OIE Terrestrial Animal Health Code (hereafter referred to as the Terrestrial Code) establishes standards for the improvement of terrestrial animal health and welfare and veterinary public health worldwide. The purpose of this guide is to advise the Veterinary Authorities of OIE Member Countries on how to use the Terrestrial Code.

2) Veterinary Authorities should use the standards in the Terrestrial Code to set up measures providing for early detection, internal reporting, notification, control or eradication of pathogenic agents, including zoonotic ones, in terrestrial animals (mammals, birds, reptiles and bees) and preventing their spread via international trade in animals and animal products, while avoiding unjustified sanitary barriers to trade.

3) The OIE standards are based on the most recent scientific and technical information. Correctly applied, they protect animal health and welfare and veterinary public health during production and trade in animals and animal products, and in the use of animals.

4) The absence of chapters, articles or recommendations on particular pathogenic agents or commodities does not preclude the application of appropriate sanitary measures by the Veterinary Authorities, provided they are based on risk analyses conducted in accordance with the Terrestrial Code.

5) The year that a chapter was first adopted and the year of its last revision are noted at the end of each chapter.

6) The complete text of the Terrestrial Code is available on the OIE Web site and individual chapters may be downloaded from: http://www.oie.int.

B. Terrestrial Code content

1) Key terms and expressions used in more than one chapter in the Terrestrial Code are defined in the Glossary, in the case where common dictionary definitions are not deemed to be adequate. The reader should be aware of the definitions given in the Glossary when reading and using the Terrestrial Code. Defined terms appear in italics. In the on-line version of the Terrestrial Code, a hyperlink leads to the relevant definition.

2) The term ‘(under study)’ is found in some rare instances, with reference to an article or part of an article. This means that this part of the text has not been adopted by the World Assembly of OIE Delegates and the particular provisions are thus not part of the Terrestrial Code.

3) The standards in the chapters of Section 1 are designed for the implementation of measures for the diagnosis, surveillance and notification of pathogenic agents. The standards include procedures for notification to the OIE, tests for international trade, and procedures for the assessment of the health status of a country, zone or compartment.

4) The standards in Section 2 are designed to guide the importing country in conducting import risk analysis in the absence of OIE recommendations on particular pathogenic agents or commodities. The importing country should also use these standards to justify import measures which are more stringent than existing OIE standards.

5) The standards in the chapters of Section 3 are designed for the establishment, maintenance and evaluation of Veterinary Services, including veterinary legislation and communication. These standards are intended to assist the Veterinary Services of Member Countries to meet their objectives of improving terrestrial animal health and welfare and veterinary public health, as well as to establish and maintain confidence in their international veterinary certificates.

6) The standards in the chapters of Section 4 are designed for the implementation of measures for the prevention and control of pathogenic agents. Measures in this section include animal identification, traceability, zoning, compartmentalisation, disposal of dead animals, disinfection, disinsection and general hygiene precautions. Some chapters address the specific sanitary measures to be applied for the collection and processing of semen and embryos of animals.

7) The standards in the chapters of Section 5 are designed for the implementation of general sanitary measures for trade. They address veterinary certification and the measures applicable by the exporting, transit and importing countries. A range of model veterinary certificates is provided to facilitate consistent documentation in international trade.

8) The standards in the chapters of Section 6 are designed for the implementation of preventive measures in animal production systems. These measures are intended to assist Member Countries in meeting their veterinary public health objectives. They include ante- and post-mortem inspection, control of hazards in feed, biosecurity at the animal production level, and the control of antimicrobial resistance in animals.
9) The standards in the chapters of Section 7 are designed for the implementation of animal welfare measures. The standards cover production, transport, and slaughter or killing, as well as the animal welfare aspects of stray dog population control and the use of animals in research and education.

10) The standards in each of the chapters of Sections 8 to 15 are designed to prevent the pathogenic agents of OIE listed diseases, infections or infestations from being introduced into an importing country. The standards take into account the nature of the traded commodity, the animal health status of the exporting country, zone or compartment, and the risk reduction measures applicable to each commodity.

These standards assume that the agent is either not present in the importing country or is the subject of a control or eradication programme. Sections 8 to 15 each relate to the host species of the pathogenic agent: multiple species or single species of Apidae, Aves, Bovidae, Equidae, Leporidae, Caprinae and Suidae. Some chapters include specific measures to prevent and control the infections of global concern. Although the OIE aims to include a chapter for each OIE listed disease, not all OIE listed diseases have been covered yet by a specific chapter. This is work in progress, depending on available scientific knowledge and the priorities set by the World Assembly.

C. Specific issues

1) Notification

Chapter 1.1. describes Member Countries' obligations under OIE Organic Statutes. Listed and emerging diseases, as prescribed in Chapter 1.1., are compulsorily notifiable. Member Countries are encouraged to also provide information to the OIE on other animal health events of epidemiological significance.

Chapter 1.2. describes the criteria for the inclusion of an infection or infestation in the OIE List and Chapter 1.3. gives the current list. Diseases are divided into nine categories based on the host species of the aetiological agents.

2) Diagnostic tests and vaccines

It is recommended that specified diagnostic tests and vaccines in Terrestrial Code chapters be used with a reference to the relevant section in the OIE Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals (hereafter referred to as the Terrestrial Manual). Experts responsible for facilities used for disease diagnosis and vaccine production should be fully conversant with the standards in the Terrestrial Manual.

3) Freedom from a disease, infection or infestation

Article 1.4.6. provides general principles for declaring a country or zone free from a disease, infection or infestation. This article applies when there are no specific requirements in the listed disease-specific chapter.

4) Prevention and control

Chapters 4.3. and 4.4. describe the measures that should be implemented to establish zones and compartments. Zoning and compartmentalisation should be considered as some of the tools used to control diseases and to facilitate safe trade. Chapters 4.5. to 4.11. describe the measures which should be implemented during collection and processing of semen and embryos of animals, including micromanipulation and cloning, in order to prevent animal health risks, especially when trading these commodities. Although the measures relate principally to OIE listed diseases or infections, general standards apply to all infectious disease risks. Moreover, in Chapter 4.7. diseases that are not listed are marked as such but are included for the information of Member Countries.

Chapter 4.14. addresses the specific issue of the control of bee diseases and some of its trade implications. This chapter should be read in conjunction with the specific bee disease chapters in Section 9.

Chapter 6.5. is designed for the implementation of general biosecurity measures in intensive poultry production. Chapters 6.6., 6.13. and 6.14. provide recommendations for some specific on-farm prevention and control plans for the unlisted food-borne pathogenic agent Salmonella as part of the Veterinary Services mission to prevent, eliminate or control food safety hazards in animal production.

Chapter 6.12. deals specifically with the zoonotic risk associated with the movements of non-human primates and gives standards for certification, transportation and import conditions for these animals.
5) **Trade requirements**

Animal health measures related to international trade should be based on OIE standards. A Member Country may authorise the importation of animals or animal products into its territory under conditions different from those recommended by the *Terrestrial Code*. To scientifically justify more stringent measures, the importing country should conduct a risk analysis in accordance with OIE standards, as described in Chapter 2.1. Members of the WTO should refer to the Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures (SPS Agreement).

Chapters 5.1. to 5.3. describe the obligations and ethical responsibilities of importing and exporting countries in international trade. Veterinary Authorities and all veterinarians directly involved in international trade should be familiar with these chapters. Chapter 5.3. also describes the OIE informal procedure for dispute mediation.

The OIE aims to include an article listing the commodities that are considered safe for trade without the need for risk mitigation measures specifically directed against a particular listed disease, infection or infestation, regardless of the status of the country or zone of origin for the agent in question, at the beginning of each listed disease-specific chapter in Sections 8 to 15. This is work in progress and some chapters do not yet contain articles listing safe commodities. When a list of safe commodities is present in a chapter, importing countries should not apply trade restrictions to such commodities with respect to the agent in question.

6) **International veterinary certificates**

An international veterinary certificate is an official document that the Veterinary Authority of an exporting country issues in accordance with Chapters 5.1. and 5.2. It lists animal health requirements and, where appropriate, public health requirements for the exported commodity. The quality of the exporting country’s Veterinary Services is essential in providing assurances to trading partners regarding the safety of exported animals and products. This includes the Veterinary Services’ ethical approach to the provision of veterinary certificates and their history in meeting their notification obligations.

International veterinary certificates underpin international trade and provide assurances to the importing country regarding the health status of the animals and products imported. The measures prescribed should take into account the health status of both exporting and importing countries, and zones or compartments within them, and be based upon the standards in the *Terrestrial Code*.

The following steps should be taken when drafting international veterinary certificates:

a) identify the diseases, infections or infestations from which the importing country is justified in seeking protection because of its own health status. Importing countries should not impose measures in regards to diseases that occur in their own territory but are not subject to official control programmes;

b) for commodities capable of transmitting these diseases, infections or infestations through international trade, the importing country should apply the relevant articles in the listed disease-specific chapters. The application of the articles should be adapted to the disease status of the country, zone or compartment of origin. Such status should be established according to Article 1.4.6. except when articles of the relevant listed disease chapter specify otherwise;

c) when preparing international veterinary certificates, the importing country should endeavour to use terms and expressions in accordance with the definitions given in the Glossary. International veterinary certificates should be kept as simple as possible and should be clearly worded, to avoid misunderstanding of the importing country’s requirements;

d) Chapters 5.10. to 5.13. provide, as further guidance to Member Countries, model certificates that should be used as a baseline.

7) **Guidance notes for importers and exporters**

It is recommended that Veterinary Authorities prepare ‘guidance notes’ to assist importers and exporters understand trade requirements. These notes should identify and explain the trade conditions, including the measures to be applied before and after export and during transport and unloading, and the relevant legal obligations and operational procedures. The guidance notes should advise on all details to be included in the health certification accompanying the consignment to its destination. Exporters should also be reminded of the International Air Transport Association rules governing air transport of animals and animal products.
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For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code:

ANIMAL
  means a mammal, reptile, bird or bee.

ANIMAL FOR BREEDING OR REARING
  means a domesticated or confined animal which is not intended for slaughter within a short time.

ANIMAL FOR SLAUGHTER
  means an animal intended for slaughter within a short time, under the control of the relevant Veterinary Authority.

ANIMAL HANDLER
  means a person with a knowledge of the behaviour and needs of animals who, with appropriate experience and a professional and positive response to an animal’s needs, can achieve effective management and good welfare. Competence should be gained through formal training or practical experience.

ANIMAL HEALTH MANAGEMENT
  means a system designed to optimise the physical and behavioural health and welfare of animals. It includes the prevention, treatment and control of diseases and conditions affecting the individual animal and herd or flock, including the recording of illness, injuries, mortalities and medical treatments where appropriate.

ANIMAL HEALTH STATUS
  means the status of a country, zone or compartment with respect to an animal disease in accordance with the criteria listed in the relevant disease-specific chapter or Chapter 1.4. of the Terrestrial Code.

ANIMAL IDENTIFICATION
  means the combination of the identification and registration of an animal individually, with a unique identifier, or collectively by its epidemiological unit or group, with a unique group identifier.

ANIMAL IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM
  means the inclusion and linking of components such as identification of establishments or owners, the persons responsible for the animals, movements and other records with animal identification.

ANIMAL TRACEABILITY
  means the ability to follow an animal or group of animals during all stages of its life.

ANIMAL WELFARE
  means the physical and mental state of an animal in relation to the conditions in which it lives and dies.

ANTIMICROBIAL AGENT
  means a naturally occurring, semi-synthetic or synthetic substance that exhibits antimicrobial activity (kill or inhibit the growth of micro-organisms) at concentrations attainable in vivo. Anthelmintics and substances classed as disinfectants or antiseptics are excluded from this definition.

APIARY
  means a beehive or group of beehives whose management allows them to be considered as a single epidemiological unit.

APPROVED
  means officially approved, accredited or registered by the Veterinary Authority.

ARTIFICIAL INSEMINATION CENTRE
  means a facility approved by the Veterinary Authority and which meets the conditions set out in the Terrestrial Code for the collection, processing and/or storage of semen.
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**BEEHIVE**
means a structure for the keeping of honey bee colonies that is being used for that purpose, including frameless hives, fixed frame hives and all designs of moveable frame hives (including nucleus hives), but not including packages or cages used to confine bees for the purposes of transport or isolation.

**BIOSECURITY**
means a set of management and physical measures designed to reduce the risk of introduction, establishment and spread of animal diseases, infections or infestations to, from and within an animal population.

**BIOSECURITY PLAN**
means a plan that identifies potential pathways for the introduction and spread of disease in a zone or compartment, and describes the measures which are being or will be applied to mitigate the disease risks, if applicable, in accordance with the recommendations in the Terrestrial Code.

**BORDER POST**
means any airport, or any port, railway station or road check-point open to international trade of commodities, where import veterinary inspections can be performed.

**CAPTIVE WILD [ANIMAL]**
means an animal that has a phenotype not significantly affected by human selection but that is captive or otherwise lives under direct human supervision or control, including zoo animals and pets.

**CASE**
means an individual animal infected by a pathogenic agent, with or without clinical signs.

**CASINGS**
means intestines and bladders that, after cleaning, have been processed by tissue scraping, defatting and washing, and have been treated with salt.

**COLLECTION CENTRE**
means a facility approved by the Veterinary Authority for the collection of oocytes or embryos and used exclusively for donor animals which meet the conditions of the Terrestrial Code.

**COMMODITY**
means live animals, products of animal origin, animal genetic material, biological products and pathological material.

**COMPARTMENT**
means an animal subpopulation contained in one or more establishments, separated from other susceptible populations by a common biosecurity management system, and with a specific animal health status with respect to one or more infections or infestations for which the necessary surveillance, biosecurity and control measures have been applied for the purposes of international trade or disease prevention and control in a country or zone.

**COMPETENT AUTHORITY**
means the Veterinary Authority or other Governmental Authority of a Member Country having the responsibility and competence for ensuring or supervising the implementation of animal health and welfare measures, international veterinary certification and other standards and recommendations in the Terrestrial Code and in the OIE Aquatic Animal Health Code in the whole territory.

**CONTAINER**
means a non-self-propelled receptacle or other rigid structure for holding animals during a journey by one or several means of transport.

**CONTAINMENT ZONE**
means an infected zone defined within a previously free country or zone, which includes all suspected or confirmed cases that are epidemiologically linked and where movement control, biosecurity and sanitary measures are applied to prevent the spread of, and to eradicate, the infection or infestation.

**DAY-OLD BIRDS**
means birds aged not more than 72 hours after hatching.
**DEATH**

means the irreversible loss of brain activity demonstrable by the loss of brain stem reflexes.

**DISINFECTION**

means the application, after thorough cleansing, of procedures intended to destroy the infectious or parasitic agents of animal diseases, including zoonoses; this applies to premises, vehicles and different objects which may have been directly or indirectly contaminated.

**DISINFESTATION**

means the application of procedures intended to eliminate infestation.

**EARLY DETECTION SYSTEM**

means a system for the timely detection and identification of an incursion or emergence of diseases or infections in a country, zone or compartment. An early detection system should be under the control of the Veterinary Services and should include the following characteristics:

a) representative coverage of target animal populations by field services;
b) ability to undertake effective disease investigation and reporting;
c) access to laboratories capable of diagnosing and differentiating relevant diseases;
d) a training programme for veterinarians, veterinary paraprofessionals, livestock owners/keepers and others involved in handling animals for detecting and reporting unusual animal health incidents;
e) the legal obligation of private veterinarians to report to the Veterinary Authority;
f) a national chain command.

**EMERGING DISEASE**

means a new occurrence in an animal of a disease, infection or infestation, causing a significant impact on animal or public health resulting from:

a) a change of a known pathogenic agent or its spread to a new geographic area or species; or
b) a previously unrecognised pathogenic agent or disease diagnosed for the first time.

**EPIDEMIOLOGICAL UNIT**

means a group of animals with a defined epidemiological relationship that share approximately the same likelihood of exposure to a pathogenic agent. This may be because they share a common environment (e.g. animals in a pen), or because of common management practices. Usually, this is a herd or a flock. However, an epidemiological unit may also refer to groups such as animals belonging to residents of a village, or animals sharing a communal animal handling facility. The epidemiological relationship may differ from disease to disease, or even strain to strain of the pathogenic agent.

**ERADICATION**

means the elimination of a pathogenic agent from a country or zone.

**ESTABLISHMENT**

means the premises in which animals are kept.

**EUTHANASIA**

means the act of inducing death using a method that causes a rapid and irreversible loss of consciousness with minimum pain and distress to animal.

**EXPORTING COUNTRY**

means a country from which commodities are sent to another country.

**FEED**

means any material (single or multiple), whether processed, semi-processed or raw, which is intended to be fed directly to terrestrial animals (except bees).
**FEED INGREDIENT**

means a component part or constituent of any combination or mixture making up a *feed*, whether or not it has a nutritional value in the *animal*’s diet, including feed additives. Ingredients are of plant (including aquatic plants) or terrestrial or aquatic animal origin, or other organic or inorganic substances.

**FERAL [ANIMAL]**

means an *animal* of a domesticated species that now lives without direct human supervision or control.

**FLOCK**

means a number of *animals* of one kind kept together under human control or a congregation of gregarious wild *animals*. A *flock* is usually regarded as an *epidemiological unit*.

**FREE COMPARTMENT**

means a *compartment* in which the absence of the animal pathogenic agent causing the disease under consideration has been demonstrated by all requirements specified in the *Terrestrial Code* for free status being met.

**FREE ZONE**

means a *zone* in which the absence of a specific *infection* or *infestation* in an *animal population* has been demonstrated in accordance with the relevant requirements of the *Terrestrial Code*.

**FRESH MEAT**

means *meat* that has not been subjected to any treatment irreversibly modifying its organoleptic and physicochemical characteristics. This includes frozen *meat*, chilled *meat*, minced *meat* and mechanically recovered *meat*.

**GOOD MANUFACTURING PRACTICE**

means a production and testing practice recognised by the *Competent Authority* to ensure the quality of a product.

**GREAVES**

means the protein-containing residue obtained after the partial separation of fat and water during the process of rendering.

**HATCHING EGGS**

means fertilised bird eggs, suitable for incubation and hatching.

**HAZARD**

means a biological, chemical or physical agent in, or a condition of, an *animal* or animal product with the potential to cause an adverse health effect.

**HEADQUARTERS**

means the Permanent Secretariat of the World Organisation for Animal Health located at:

12, rue de Prony, 75017 Paris, FRANCE
Telephone: 33-(0)1 44 15 18 88
Fax: 33-(0)1 42 67 09 87
Electronic mail: oie@oie.int
WWW: http://www.oie.int

**HERD**

means a number of *animals* of one kind kept together under human control or a congregation of gregarious wild *animals*. A *herd* is usually regarded as an *epidemiological unit*.

**IMPORTING COUNTRY**

means a country that is the final destination to which *commodities* are sent.

**INCIDENCE**

means the number of new *cases* or *outbreaks* of a disease that occur in a population at risk in a particular geographical area within a defined time interval.
**INCUBATION PERIOD**
means the longest period that elapses between the introduction of the pathogenic agent into the animal and the occurrence of the first clinical signs of the disease.

**INFECTED ZONE**
means a zone either in which an infection or infestation has been confirmed, or one that is defined as such in the relevant chapters of the Terrestrial Code.

**INFECTION**
means the entry and development or multiplication of a pathogenic agent in the body of humans or animals.

**INFECTIVE PERIOD**
means the longest period during which an affected animal can be a source of infection.

**INFESTATION**
means the external invasion or colonisation of animals or their immediate surroundings by arthropods, which may cause clinical signs or are potential vectors of pathogenic agents.

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE**
means importation, exportation and transit of commodities.

**INTERNATIONAL VETERINARY CERTIFICATE**
means a certificate, issued in accordance with Chapter 5.2., describing the animal health and public health requirements that are fulfilled by the exported commodities.

**JOURNEY**
An animal transport journey commences when the first animal is loaded onto a vehicle/vessel or into a container and ends when the last animal is unloaded, and includes any stationary resting/holding periods. The same animals do not commence a new journey until after a suitable period for rest and recuperation, with adequate feed and water.

**KILLING**
means any procedure that causes the death of an animal.

**LABORATORY**
means a properly equipped institution staffed by technically competent personnel under the control of a specialist in veterinary diagnostic methods, who is responsible for the validity of the results. The Veterinary Authority approves and monitors such laboratories with regard to the diagnostic tests required for international trade.

**LAIRAGE**
means pens, yards and other holding areas used for accommodating animals in order to give them necessary attention (such as water, feed, rest) before they are moved on or used for specific purposes including slaughter.

**LISTED DISEASE**
means a disease, infection or infestation listed in Chapter 1.3. after adoption by the World Assembly of OIE Delegates.

**LOADING/UNLOADING**
Loading means the procedure of moving animals onto a vehicle/vessel or into a container for transport purposes, while unloading means the procedure of moving animals off a vehicle/vessel or out of a container.

**MARKET**
means a place where animals are assembled for the purposes of trade or sale.

**MEAT**
means all edible parts of an animal.

**MEAT-AND-BONE MEAL**
means the solid protein products obtained when animal tissues are rendered, and includes any intermediate protein product other than peptides of a molecular weight less than 10,000 daltons and amino-acids.
**MEAT PRODUCTS**

means meat that has been subjected to a treatment irreversibly modifying its organoleptic and physicochemical characteristics.

**MILK**

means the normal mammary secretion of milking animals obtained from one or more milking without either addition to it or extraction from it.

**MILK PRODUCT**

means the product obtained by any processing of milk.

**MONITORING**

means the intermittent performance and analysis of routine measurements and observations, aimed at detecting changes in the environment or health status of a population.

**NOTIFIABLE DISEASE**

means a disease listed by the Veterinary Authority, and that, as soon as detected or suspected, should be brought to the attention of this Authority, in accordance with national regulations.

**NOTIFICATION**

means the procedure by which:

a) the Veterinary Authority informs the Headquarters,

b) the Headquarters inform the Veterinary Authority,

of the occurrence of disease, infection or infestation in accordance with Chapter 1.1.

**OFFICIAL CONTROL PROGRAMME**

means a programme which is approved, and managed or supervised by the Veterinary Authority of a Member Country for the purposes of controlling a vector, pathogenic agent or disease by specific measures applied throughout that Member Country, or within a zone or compartment of that Member Country.

**OFFICIAL VETERINARIAN**

means a veterinarian authorised by the Veterinary Authority of the country to perform certain designated official tasks associated with animal health or public health and inspections of commodities and, when appropriate, to certify in accordance with Chapters 5.1. and 5.2.

**OFFICIAL VETERINARY CONTROL**

means the operations whereby the Veterinary Services, knowing the location of the animals and after taking appropriate actions to identify their owner or responsible keeper, are able to apply appropriate animal health measures, as required. This does not exclude other responsibilities of the Veterinary Services e.g. food safety.

**OUTBREAK**

means the occurrence of one or more cases in an epidemiological unit.

**OWNED DOG**

means a dog for which a person claims responsibility.

**PATHOLOGICAL MATERIAL**

means samples obtained from live or dead animals, containing or suspected of containing infectious or parasitic agents, to be sent to a laboratory.

**PLACE OF SHIPMENT**

means the place where the commodities are loaded into the vehicle or handed to the agency that will transport them to another country.

**POPULATION**

means a group of units sharing a common defined characteristic.
POULTRY
means all domesticated birds, including backyard poultry, used for the production of meat or eggs for consumption, for the production of other commercial products, for restocking supplies of game, or for breeding these categories of birds, as well as fighting cocks used for any purpose.

Birds that are kept in captivity for any reason other than those reasons referred to in the preceding paragraph, including those that are kept for shows, races, exhibitions, competitions or for breeding or selling these categories of birds as well as pet birds, are not considered to be poultry.

PRE-JOURNEY PERIOD
means the period during which animals are identified, and often assembled for the purposes of loading them.

PREVALENCE
means the total number of cases or outbreaks of a disease that are present in a population at risk, in a particular geographical area, at one specified time or during a given period.

PROTECTION ZONE
means a zone where specific biosecurity and sanitary measures are implemented to prevent the entry of a pathogenic agent into a free country or zone from a neighbouring country or zone of a different animal health status.

QUALITATIVE RISK ASSESSMENT
means an assessment where the outputs on the likelihood of the outcome or the magnitude of the consequences are expressed in qualitative terms such as 'high', 'medium', 'low' or 'negligible'.

QUANTITATIVE RISK ASSESSMENT
means an assessment where the outputs of the risk assessment are expressed numerically.

QUARANTINE STATION
means an establishment under the control of the Veterinary Authority where animals are maintained in isolation with no direct or indirect contact with other animals, to ensure that there is no transmission of specified pathogenic agents outside the establishment while the animals are undergoing observation for a specified length of time and, if appropriate, testing or treatment.

REGISTRATION
is the action by which information on animals (such as identification, animal health, movement, certification, epidemiology, establishments) is collected, recorded, securely stored and made appropriately accessible and able to be utilised by the Competent Authority.

RESPONSIBLE DOG OWNERSHIP
means the situation whereby a person accepts and commits to perform various duties in accordance with the legislation in place and focused on the satisfaction of the behavioural, environmental and physical needs of a dog and to the prevention of risks (aggression, disease transmission or injuries) that the dog may pose to the community, other animals or the environment.

RESTING POINT
means a place where the journey is interrupted to rest, feed or water the animals; the animals may remain in the vehicle/vessel or container, or be unloaded for these purposes.

RESTRAINT
means the application to an animal of any procedure designed to restrict its movements.

RISK
means the likelihood of the occurrence and the likely magnitude of the biological and economic consequences of an adverse event or effect to animal or human health.

RISK ANALYSIS
means the process composed of hazard identification, risk assessment, risk management and risk communication.
**RISK ASSESSMENT**
means the evaluation of the likelihood and the biological and economic consequences of entry, establishment and spread of a hazard.

**RISK COMMUNICATION**
is the interactive transmission and exchange of information and opinions throughout the risk analysis process concerning risk, risk-related factors and risk perceptions among risk assessors, risk managers, risk communicators, the general public and other interested parties.

**RISK MANAGEMENT**
means the process of identifying, selecting and implementing measures that can be applied to reduce the level of risk.

**SAFE COMMODITY**
means a commodity that can be traded without the need for risk mitigation measures specifically directed against a particular listed disease, infection or infestation and regardless of the status of the country or zone of origin for that disease, infection or infestation.

**SANITARY MEASURE**
means a measure, such as those described in various chapters of the Terrestrial Code, destined to protect animal or human health or life within the territory of the Member Country from risks arising from the entry, establishment and/or spread of a hazard.

**SLAUGHTER**
means any procedure that causes the death of an animal by bleeding.

**SLAUGHTERHOUSE/ABATTOIR**
means premises, including facilities for moving or lairaging animals, used for the slaughter of animals to produce animal products and approved by the Veterinary Services or other Competent Authority.

**SPACE ALLOWANCE**
means the measure of the floor area and height allocated per individual or body weight of animals.

**SPECIFIC SURVEILLANCE**
means the surveillance targeted to a specific disease or infection.

**STAMPING-OUT POLICY**
means a policy designed to eliminate an outbreak by carrying out under the authority of the Veterinary Authority the following:

a) the killing of the animals which are affected and those suspected of being affected in the herd or flock and, where appropriate, those in other herds or flocks which have been exposed to infection by direct animal to animal contact, or by indirect contact with the causal pathogenic agent; animals should be killed in accordance with Chapter 7.6.;

b) the disposal of carcasses and, where relevant, animal products by rendering, burning or burial, or by any other method described in Chapter 4.12.;

c) the cleansing and disinfection of establishments through procedures defined in Chapter 4.13.

**STOCKING DENSITY**
means the number or body weight of animals per unit area on a vehicle/vessel or container.

**STRAY DOG**
means any dog not under direct control by a person or not prevented from roaming. Types of stray dog:

a) free-roaming owned dog not under direct control or restriction at a particular time,

b) free-roaming dog with no owner,

c) feral dog: domestic dog that has reverted to the wild state and is no longer directly dependent upon humans.
STUNNING
means any mechanical, electrical, chemical or other procedure that causes immediate loss of consciousness; when used before slaughter, the loss of consciousness lasts until death from the slaughter process; in the absence of slaughter, the procedure would allow the animal to recover consciousness.

SUBPOPULATION
means a distinct part of a population identifiable in accordance with specific common animal health characteristics.

SURVEILLANCE
means the systematic ongoing collection, collation, and analysis of information related to animal health and the timely dissemination of information so that action can be taken.

TERRESTRIAL CODE
means the OIE Terrestrial Animal Health Code.

TERRESTRIAL MANUAL
means the OIE Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals.

TRANSIT COUNTRY
means a country through which commodities destined for an importing country are transported or in which a stopover is made at a border post.

UNIT
means an individually identifiable element used to describe, for example, the members of a population or the elements selected when sampling; examples of units include individual animals, herds, flocks and apiaries.

VACCINATION
means the administration of a vaccine, in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions and the Terrestrial Manual, when relevant, with the intention of inducing immunity in an animal or group of animals against one or more pathogenic agents.

VECTOR
means an insect or any living carrier that transports an infectious agent from an infected individual to a susceptible individual or its food or immediate surroundings. The organism may or may not pass through a development cycle within the vector.

VEHICLE/VESSEL
means any means of conveyance including train, truck, aircraft or ship that is used for carrying animals.

VETERINARIAN
means a person with appropriate education, registered or licensed by the relevant veterinary statutory body of a country to practice veterinary medicine/science in that country.

VETERINARY AUTHORITY
means the Governmental Authority of a Member Country, comprising veterinarians, other professionals and paraprofessionals, having the responsibility and competence for ensuring or supervising the implementation of animal health and welfare measures, international veterinary certification and other standards and recommendations in the Terrestrial Code in the whole territory.

VETERINARY LEGISLATION
means laws, regulations and all associated legal instruments that pertain to the veterinary domain.

VETERINARY MEDICINAL PRODUCT
means any product with approved claims to having a prophylactic, therapeutic or diagnostic effect or to alter physiological functions when administered or applied to an animal.
Glossary

VETERINARY PARAPROFESSIONAL
means a person who, for the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, is authorised by the veterinary statutory body to carry out certain designated tasks (dependent upon the category of veterinary paraprofessional) in a territory, and delegated to them under the responsibility and direction of a veterinarian. The tasks for each category of veterinary paraprofessional should be defined by the veterinary statutory body depending on qualifications and training, and in accordance with need.

VETERINARY SERVICES
means the governmental and non-governmental organisations that implement animal health and welfare measures and other standards and recommendations in the Terrestrial Code and the OIE Aquatic Animal Health Code in the territory. The Veterinary Services are under the overall control and direction of the Veterinary Authority. Private sector organisations, veterinarians, veterinary paraprofessionals or aquatic animal health professionals are normally accredited or approved by the Veterinary Authority to deliver the delegated functions.

VETERINARY STATUTORY BODY
means an autonomous regulatory body for veterinarians and veterinary paraprofessionals.

WILD [ANIMAL]
means an animal that has a phenotype unaffected by human selection and lives independent of direct human supervision or control.

WILDLIFE
means feral animals, captive wild animals and wild animals.

ZONE
means a part of a country defined by the Veterinary Authority, containing an animal population or subpopulation with a specific animal health status with respect to an infection or infestation for the purposes of international trade or disease prevention or control.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2018.
SECTION 8.

MULTIPLE SPECIES

CHAPTER 8.1.

ANTHRAX

Article 8.1.1.

General provisions

This chapter is intended to manage the human and animal health risks associated with the presence of Bacillus anthracis (B. anthracis) in commodities and the environment.

There is no evidence that anthrax is transmitted by animals before the onset of clinical and pathological signs. Early detection of outbreaks, quarantine of affected premises, destruction of diseased animals and fomites, and implementation of appropriate sanitary procedures at abattoirs and dairy factories will ensure the safety of products of animal origin intended for human consumption.

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the incubation period for anthrax shall be 20 days.

Anthrax should be notifiable in the whole country.

When authorising import or transit of commodities covered in the chapter, with the exception of those listed in Article 8.1.2., Veterinary Authorities should require the conditions prescribed in this chapter.

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 8.1.2.

Safe commodities

When authorising import or transit of the following commodities, Veterinary Authorities should not require any anthrax-related conditions: semen and embryos collected and processed in accordance with Chapters 4.5., 4.6., 4.7., 4.8. and 4.9., as relevant.

Article 8.1.3.

Recommendations for the importation of ruminants, equids and pigs

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of anthrax on the day of shipment;

AND

2) were kept for the 20 days prior to shipment in an establishment where no case of anthrax was officially declared during that period; or
3) were vaccinated, not less than 20 days and not more than 12 months prior to shipment in accordance with the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 8.1.4.

**Recommendations for the importation of fresh meat and meat products destined for human consumption**

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the products originate from animals that:

1) have shown no sign of anthrax during ante- and post-mortem inspections; and
2) were not vaccinated against anthrax using live vaccine during the 14 days prior to slaughter or a longer period depending on the manufacturer’s recommendations; and
3) come from establishments that are not placed under movement restrictions for the control of anthrax and where there has been no case of anthrax during the 20 days prior to slaughter.

Article 8.1.5.

**Recommendations for the importation of hides, skins and hair (from ruminants, equids and pigs)**

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the products originate from animals that:
   a) have shown no sign of anthrax during ante- and post-mortem inspections; and
   b) come from establishments that are not placed under movement restrictions for the control of anthrax;

OR

2) hair from ruminants or equids has been treated in accordance with the recommendations in Article 8.1.11.

Article 8.1.6.

**Recommendations for the importation of wool**

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the product:

1) originates from live animals; and
2) originates from animals that, at the time of shearing, were part of a flock that was not subject to movement restrictions for the control of anthrax;

OR

3) has been treated in accordance with the recommendations in Article 8.1.11.

Article 8.1.7.

**Recommendations for the importation of milk and milk products intended for human consumption**

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the milk originates from animals showing no clinical sign of anthrax at the time of milking;
2) if the milk originates from herds or flocks that have had a case of anthrax within the previous 20 days, it has been chilled promptly and processed using a heat treatment at least equivalent to pasteurisation.
Article 8.1.8.

**Recommendations for the importation of bristles (from pigs)**

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the products originate from animals which:

1) have shown no sign of anthrax during ante- and post-mortem inspections; and
2) come from establishments that are not placed under movement restrictions for the control of anthrax;

OR
3) have been processed to ensure the destruction of *B. anthracis* by boiling for 60 minutes.

Article 8.1.9.

**Procedures for the inactivation of *B. anthracis* spores in skins and trophies from wild animals**

In situations in which skins and trophies from wild animals may be contaminated with *B. anthracis* spores, the following disinfection procedure is recommended:

1) fumigation with ethylene oxide 500 mg/litre, at relative humidity 20–40%, at 55°C for 30 minutes; or
2) fumigation with formaldehyde 400 mg/m³ at relative humidity 30%, at >15°C for 4 hours; or
3) gamma irradiation with a dose of 40 kilogray.

Article 8.1.10.

**Procedures for the inactivation of *B. anthracis* spores in bone-meal and meat-and-bone meal**

In situations where raw materials used to produce bone meal or meat-and-bone meal may be contaminated with *B. anthracis* spores, the following inactivation procedures should be used:

1) the raw material should be reduced to a maximum particle size of 50 mm before heating; and
2) the raw material should be subjected to moist heat at one of the following temperature and time regimes:
   a) 105°C for at least 8 minutes; or
   b) 100°C for at least 10 minutes; or
   c) 95°C for at least 25 minutes; or
   d) 90°C for at least 45 minutes;

OR
3) the raw material should be subjected to dry heat at one of the following temperature and time regimes:
   a) 130°C for at least 20 minutes; or
   b) 125°C for at least 25 minutes; or
   c) 120°C for at least 45 minutes;

OR
4) an industrial process demonstrated to be of equivalent efficacy.

Article 8.1.11.

**Procedures for the inactivation of *B. anthracis* spores in wool and hair**

In situations in which wool or hair may be contaminated with *B. anthracis* spores, the following procedures are recommended:

1) gamma irradiation with a dose of 25 kilogray; or
2) a five-step washing procedure:
   a) immersion in 0.25–0.3% soda liquor for 10 minutes at 40.5°C;
   b) immersion in soap liquor for 10 minutes at 40.5°C;
   c) immersion in 2% formaldehyde solution for 10 minutes at 40.5°C;
   d) a second immersion in 2% formaldehyde solution for 10 minutes at 40.5°C;
   e) rinsing on cold water followed by drying in hot air.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2011.
CHAPTER 8.2.

INFECTION WITH AUJESZKY’S DISEASE VIRUS

Article 8.2.1.

General provisions

Pigs are the natural host for Aujeszky’s disease (AD) virus, although it can infect cattle, sheep, cats, dogs and rats causing fatal disease. The definition of pig includes all varieties of *Sus scrofa*, both domestic and wild.

For the purposes of the *Terrestrial Code*, AD is defined as an *infection* of domestic pigs or *captive wild* pigs, which are under direct human supervision or control.

For the purposes of this chapter, a distinction is made between domestic pig and *captive wild* pig populations on the one hand, and *wild* pig and *feral* pig populations on the other hand.

A Member Country should not impose trade bans in response to a *notification of infection* with AD virus in *wild* and *feral* pigs in accordance with Article 1.1.3.

When authorising import or transit of the *commodities* covered in the chapter, with the exception of those listed in Article 8.2.3., *Veterinary Authorities* should require the conditions prescribed in this chapter relevant to the AD status of the *exporting country or zone*.

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the *Terrestrial Manual*.

Article 8.2.2.

Determination of the AD status of a country or zone

The AD free or provisionally free status of a country or zone can only be determined after considering the following criteria, as applicable:

1) AD is notifiable in the whole country, and all clinical signs suggestive of AD are subjected to field and *laboratory* investigations;
2) an ongoing awareness programme is in place to encourage reporting of all cases suggestive of AD;
3) the *Veterinary Authority* has current knowledge of, and authority over, all domestic and *captive wild* pig establishments in the country or zone;
4) the *Veterinary Authority* has current knowledge about the population and habitat of *wild* and *feral* pigs in the country or zone;
5) appropriate *surveillance*, capable of detecting the presence of *infection* even in the absence of clinical signs, is in place; this may be achieved through a *surveillance* programme in accordance with Chapter 1.4.

Article 8.2.3.

Safe commodities

When authorising import or transit of the following *commodities* and any products made from these, *Veterinary Authorities* should not require any AD-related conditions, regardless of the AD status of the *exporting country or zone*:

1) *fresh meat* of domestic and *wild* pigs not containing offal (head, and thoracic and abdominal viscera);
2) *meat products* of domestic and *wild* pigs not containing offal (head, and thoracic and abdominal viscera);
3) products of animal origin not containing offal (head, and thoracic and abdominal viscera).
Chapter 8.2.- Infection with Aujeszky’s disease virus

Article 8.2.4.

AD free country or zone

1. Qualification

a) A country or zone may be considered free from the disease without formally applying a specific surveillance programme (historical freedom) if the disease has not been reported for at least 25 years, and if for at least the past 10 years:

i) It has been a notifiable disease;

ii) An early detection system has been in place;

iii) Measures to prevent the introduction of the AD virus into the country or zone have been in place;

iv) No vaccination against the disease has been carried out;

v) Infection is not known to be established in wild and feral pigs, or appropriate measures have been implemented to prevent any transmission of the AD virus from wild and feral pigs to domestic and captive wild pigs.

b) A country or zone which does not meet the conditions of the above paragraph may be considered free from AD when:

i) Animal health regulations to control the movement of commodities with the exception of those listed in Article 8.2.3. in order to prevent the introduction of infection into the establishments of the country or zone have been in place for at least two years;

ii) Vaccination against AD has been banned for all domestic and captive wild pigs in the country or zone for at least two years unless there are means, validated to OIE standards (Chapter 2.1.2. of the Terrestrial Manual), of distinguishing between vaccinated and infected pigs;

iii) If AD has never been reported in the country or zone, serological surveys, with negative results, have been conducted on a representative sample of all pig establishments in accordance with the recommendations in Chapter 1.4. at an acceptable level of confidence, no more than three years prior to qualification; the serological surveys should be directed at the detection of antibodies to the whole virus, and based on the breeding pig population or, for establishments that contain no breeding pigs, on a comparable number of fattening pigs; or

iv) If AD has been reported in the country or zone, a surveillance and control programme has been in place to detect every infected establishment and eradicate AD from it; the surveillance programme should be carried out in accordance with the recommendations in Chapter 1.4. and demonstrate that no establishments within the country or zone have had any clinical, virological or serological evidence of AD for at least two years.

In countries or zones with wild and feral pigs, measures should be implemented to prevent any transmission of the AD virus from wild and feral pigs to domestic and captive wild pigs.

2. Maintenance of free status

In order to maintain its free status, a country or zone should comply with the following requirements:

a) Periodic serological surveys directed at the detection of antibodies to the whole AD virus should be carried out on a statistically significant number of breeding pigs in accordance with the recommendations in Chapter 1.4.;

b) The importation of the commodities with the exception of those listed in Article 8.2.3. into the country or zone is carried out in accordance with the import conditions contained in the relevant articles of the present chapter;

c) The ban on AD vaccination remains in force;

d) Appropriate measures aimed at preventing the transmission of the AD virus from wild and feral pigs to domestic and captive wild pigs remain in force.

3. Recovery of free status

Should an AD outbreak occur in an establishment of a free country or zone, the status of the country or zone may be restored if either:

a) All the pigs in the infected epidemiological units have been slaughtered; and, during and after the application of this measure, an epidemiological investigation including clinical examination, and serological or virological testing has been carried out in all pig establishments which have been directly or indirectly in contact with the infected establishment and in all pig establishments located within a prescribed radius from the infected epidemiological units, demonstrating that these establishments are not infected; or
b) **vaccination** with gE- deleted vaccines has been applied and:

i) a serological testing procedure (differential ELISA) has been implemented in the establishments where vaccination has been applied to demonstrate the absence of infection;

ii) the movement of pigs from these establishments has been banned, except for immediate slaughter, until the above procedure has demonstrated the absence of infection;

iii) during and after the application of the measures described in points i) to ii) above, a thorough epidemiological investigation including clinical examination and serological or virological testing has been carried out in all pig establishments which have been directly or indirectly in contact with the infected establishment and in all pig establishments located within a prescribed radius from the outbreak, demonstrating that these establishments are not infected.

**Article 8.2.5.**

**AD provisionally free country or zone**

1. **Qualification**

   A country or zone may be considered as provisionally free from AD if the following conditions are complied with:

   a) animal health regulations to control the movement of commodities with the exception of those listed in Article 8.2.3. in order to prevent the introduction of infection into the establishments of the country or zone have been in place for at least two years;

   b) if AD has never been reported in the country or zone, a serological survey, with negative results, has been conducted on a representative sample of all pig establishments in accordance with the recommendations in Chapter 1.4. (but not at an acceptable level of confidence); the serological survey should be directed at the detection of antibodies to the whole virus, and based on the breeding pig population or, for establishments that contain no breeding pigs, on a comparable number of fattening pigs; or

   c) if AD has been reported in the country or zone, a surveillance and control programme has been in place to detect infected establishments and eradicate AD from these establishments, the herd prevalence rate in the country or zone has not exceeded 1% for at least three years (the sampling procedure described in point 1 e) of the definition of ‘AD free establishment’ should be applied within the establishments of the country or zone), and at least 90% of the establishments in the country or zone are qualified free;

   d) in countries or zones with wild and feral pigs, appropriate measures should be taken to prevent any transmission of the AD virus between wild and feral pigs and domestic and captive wild pigs.

2. **Maintenance of provisionally free status**

   In order to maintain its provisionally free status, a country or zone should comply with the following requirements:

   a) the measures described in points 1 b) and 1 d) above should be continued;

   b) the percentage of infected establishments remains ≤ 1%;

   c) the importation of the commodities with the exception of those listed in Article 8.2.3. into the country or zone is carried out in accordance with the import conditions contained in the relevant articles of the present chapter.

3. **Recovery of provisionally free status**

   Should the percentage of infected establishments exceed 1% in a provisionally free country or zone, the status of the country or zone is cancelled and may be restored only once the percentage of infected establishments has remained < 1% for at least six months, and this result is confirmed by a serological survey conducted in accordance with point 1 c) above.

**Article 8.2.6.**

**AD infected country or zone**

For the purposes of this chapter, countries and zones which do not fulfil the conditions to be considered free or provisionally free of AD should be considered as infected.
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Article 8.2.7.

AD free establishment

1. Qualification
   To qualify as free from AD, an establishment should satisfy the following conditions:
   a) it is under the control of the Veterinary Authority;
   b) no clinical, virological or serological evidence of AD has been found for at least one year;
   c) the introduction of pigs, semen, oocytes and embryos into the establishment is carried out in accordance with the import conditions for these commodities contained in the relevant articles of the present chapter;
   d) vaccination against AD has not been carried out in the establishment for at least 12 months, and any previously vaccinated pigs are free from gE antibodies;
   e) a representative sample of breeding pigs from the establishment has been subjected, with negative results, to serological tests to the whole AD virus, applying a sampling procedure set out in accordance with the recommendations in Chapter 1.4.; these tests should have been carried out on two occasions, at an interval of two months; for establishments that contain no breeding pigs, the tests should be carried out only once on a comparable number of fattening or weaning pigs;
   f) a surveillance and control programme has been in place to detect infected establishments located within a prescribed radius from the establishment and no establishment is known to be infected within this zone.

2. Maintenance of free status
   For establishments located in an infected country or zone, the testing procedure described in point 1 e) above should be carried out every four months.
   For establishments located in a provisionally free country or zone, the testing procedure described in point 1 e) above should be carried out every year.

3. Recovery of free status
   Should a free establishment become infected, or should an outbreak occur within a prescribed radius from a free establishment, the free status of the establishment should be suspended until the following conditions are met:
   a) in the infected establishment:
      i) all the pigs in the establishment have been slaughtered; or
      ii) at least 30 days after removal of all infected animals, all breeding animals have been subjected to a serological test to the whole AD virus, with negative results, on two occasions, at an interval of 2 months;
   b) in other establishments located within the prescribed radius: a number of breeding pigs from each establishment has been subjected, with negative results, to serological tests to the whole AD virus (non-vaccinated establishments) or to gE antibodies (vaccinated establishments), applying the sampling procedure described in point 1 e) above.

Article 8.2.8.

Recommendations for importation from AD free countries or zones

For domestic and captive wild pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:
1) showed no clinical sign of AD on the day of shipment;
2) come from an establishment located in an AD free country or zone;
3) have not been vaccinated against AD.

Article 8.2.9.

Recommendations for importation from AD provisionally free countries or zones

For domestic and captive wild pigs for breeding or rearing

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:
1) showed no clinical sign of AD on the day of shipment;
2) have been kept exclusively in AD free establishments since birth;
3) have not been vaccinated against AD;
4) were subjected to a serological test to the whole AD virus, with negative results, within 15 days prior to shipment.

Article 8.2.10.

Recommendations for importation from AD infected countries or zones

For domestic and captive wild pigs for breeding or rearing

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:
1) showed no clinical sign of AD on the day of shipment;
2) were kept exclusively in AD free establishments since birth;
3) have not been vaccinated against AD;
4) were isolated in the establishment of origin or a quarantine station, and were subjected to a serological test to the whole AD virus, with negative results, on two occasions, at an interval of not less than 30 days between each test, the second test being performed during the 15 days prior to shipment.

Article 8.2.11.

Recommendations for importation from AD provisionally free countries or zones or AD infected countries or zones

For domestic and captive wild pigs for slaughter

The pigs should be transported directly from the place of shipment to the slaughterhouse/abattoir from immediate slaughter.

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:
1) a surveillance and control programme is in place in the country or zone to detect infected establishments and eradicate AD;
2) the animals:
   a) are not being eliminated as part of an eradication programme;
   b) showed no clinical sign of AD on the day of shipment; and
      i) have been kept exclusively in AD free establishments since birth; or
      ii) have been vaccinated against AD at least 15 days prior to shipment.

Article 8.2.12.

Recommendations for importation from AD free countries or zones

For wild and feral swine

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:
1) showed no clinical sign of AD on the day of shipment;
2) were captured in an AD free country or zone;
3) have not been vaccinated against the disease;
4) were isolated in a quarantine station, and were subjected to a serological test to the whole AD virus, with negative results, on two occasions, at an interval of not less than 30 days between each test, the second test being performed during the 15 days prior to shipment.
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Article 8.2.13.

Recommendations for importation from AD free countries or zones

For semen of pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor animals:
   a) showed no clinical sign of AD on the day of collection of the semen;
   b) were kept in an establishment or artificial insemination centre located in an AD free country or zone at the time of semen collection;

2) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.

Article 8.2.14.

Recommendations for importation from AD provisionally free countries or zones

For semen of pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor animals:
   a) have been kept for at least four months prior to semen collection in an artificial insemination centre which has the status of AD free establishment, and where all boars are subjected to a serological test to the whole AD virus, with negative results, every four months;
   b) showed no clinical sign of AD on the day of collection;

2) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.

Article 8.2.15.

Recommendations for importation from AD infected countries or zones

For semen of pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor animals:
   a) were kept in an AD free establishment for at least six months prior to entering the artificial insemination centre;
   b) have been kept for at least four months prior to semen collection in the artificial insemination centre which has the status of AD free establishment, and where all boars are subjected to a serological test to the whole AD virus, with negative results, every four months;
   c) were subjected to a serological test to the whole AD virus, with negative results, within 10 days prior to or 21 days after semen collection;
   d) showed no clinical sign of AD on the day of collection;

2) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.

Article 8.2.16.

Recommendations for importation from AD free countries or zones

For in vivo derived embryos of pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor females:
   a) showed no clinical sign of AD on the day of collection of the embryos;
   b) were kept in an establishment located in an AD free country or zone prior to collection;

2) the embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.7. and 4.9., as relevant.
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Article 8.2.17.

Recommendations for importation from AD provisionally free countries or zones

For in vivo derived embryos of pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor females:
   a) showed no clinical sign of AD on the day of collection of the embryos;
   b) were kept in an AD free establishment for at least three months prior to collection;

2) the embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.7. and 4.9., as relevant.

Article 8.2.18.

Recommendations for importation from AD infected countries or zones

For in vivo derived embryos of pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor females:
   a) showed no clinical sign of AD on the day of collection of the embryos;
   b) were kept in an AD free establishment for at least three months prior to collection;
   c) were subjected to a serological test to the whole AD virus, with negative results, within ten days prior to collection;

2) the embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.7. and 4.9., as relevant.

Article 8.2.19.

Recommendations for importation from AD free countries or zones

For offal (head, and thoracic and abdominal viscera) of pigs or products containing pig offal

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the entire consignment of offal or products containing pig offal comes from animals which come from establishments located in an AD free country or zone.

Article 8.2.20.

Recommendations for importation from AD provisionally free countries or zones or from AD infected countries or zones

For offal (head, and thoracic and abdominal viscera) of pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the entire consignment of offal comes from animals:

1) which have been kept in an AD free establishment since birth;

2) which have not been in contact with animals from establishments not considered free from AD during their transport to the approved slaughterhouse/abattoir and therein.
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Article 8.2.21.

Recommendations for importation from AD provisionally free countries or zones or from AD infected countries or zones

For products containing pig offal (head, and thoracic and abdominal viscera)

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) either the entire consignment of offal used to prepare the products complied with the conditions referred to in Article 8.2.20.; or

2) the products have been processed to ensure the destruction of the AD virus; and

3) the necessary precautions were taken after processing to avoid contact of the products with any source of AD virus.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1986; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2012.
CHAPTER 8.3.

INFECTION WITH BLUETONGUE VIRUS

Article 8.3.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, bluetongue is defined as an infection of ruminants and camelids with bluetongue virus (BTV), that is transmitted by Culicoides vectors.

The following defines the occurrence of infection with BTV:

1) BTV has been isolated from a sample from a ruminant or camelid or a product derived from that ruminant or camelid; or

2) antigen or ribonucleic acid specific to BTV has been identified in a sample from a ruminant or camelid showing clinical signs consistent with bluetongue, or epidemiologically linked to a suspected or confirmed case; or

3) antigen or ribonucleic acid specific to a BTV live vaccine strain has been identified in a sample from a ruminant or camelid that is unvaccinated, or has been vaccinated with an inactivated vaccine, or with a different live vaccine strain, showing clinical signs consistent with bluetongue, or epidemiologically linked to a suspected or confirmed case; or

4) antibodies to structural or nonstructural proteins of BTV that are not a consequence of vaccination have been identified in a sample from a ruminant or camelid that either shows clinical signs consistent with bluetongue, or is epidemiologically linked to a suspected or confirmed case.

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the infective period for bluetongue shall be 60 days.

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

When authorising import or transit of the commodities covered in the chapter, with the exception of those listed in Article 8.3.2., Veterinary Authorities should require the conditions prescribed in this chapter relevant to the BTV status of the ruminant and camelid populations of the exporting country or zone.

Article 8.3.2.

Safe commodities

When authorising import or transit of the following commodities, Veterinary Authorities should not require any bluetongue-related conditions regardless of the bluetongue status of the exporting country:

1) milk and milk products;
2) meat and meat products;
3) hides and skins;
4) wool and fibre;
5) in vivo derived bovine embryos collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapter 4.7.

Article 8.3.3.

Country or zone free from bluetongue

1) Historical freedom as described in Chapter 1.4. does not apply to bluetongue.

2) A country or a zone may be considered free from bluetongue when infection with BTV is notifiable in the entire country and either:

a) a surveillance programme in accordance with Articles 8.3.14. to 8.3.17. has demonstrated no evidence of infection with BTV in the country or zone during the past two years; or

b) an ongoing surveillance programme has found no Culicoides for at least two years in the country or zone.
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3) A country or zone free from bluetongue in which ongoing vector surveillance, performed in accordance with point 5) of Article 8.3.16., has found no Culicoides will not lose its free status through the introduction of vaccinated, seropositive or infective ruminants or camellids, or their semen or embryos from infected countries or zones.

4) A country or zone free from bluetongue in which surveillance has found evidence that Culicoides are present will not lose its free status through the introduction of seropositive or vaccinated ruminants or camellids, or semen or embryos from infected countries or zones, provided:
   a) an ongoing surveillance programme focused on transmission of BTV and a consideration of the epidemiology of infection with BTV, in accordance with Articles 8.3.14. to 8.3.17. and Chapter 4.3., has demonstrated no evidence of transmission of BTV in the country or zone; or
   b) the ruminants or camellids, their semen and embryos were introduced in accordance with this chapter.

5) A country or zone free from bluetongue adjacent to an infected country or zone should include a zone in which surveillance is conducted in accordance with Articles 8.3.14. to 8.3.17.

Article 8.3.4.

Country or zone seasonally free from bluetongue

1) A country or zone seasonally free from bluetongue is, respectively, an infected country or a part of an infected country or zone, for which surveillance conducted in accordance with Articles 8.3.14. to 8.3.17. demonstrates no evidence either of transmission of BTV or of adult Culicoides for part of a year.

2) For the application of Articles 8.3.7., 8.3.9. and 8.3.11., the free season is taken to commence the day following the last evidence of transmission of BTV (as demonstrated by the surveillance programme), and of the cessation of activity of adult Culicoides.

3) For the application of Articles 8.3.7., 8.3.9. and 8.3.11., the free season is taken to conclude either:
   a) at least 28 days before the earliest date that historical data show transmission of BTV may recommence; or
   b) immediately if current climatic data or data from a surveillance programme indicate transmission of BTV or an earlier resurgence of activity of adult Culicoides.

4) A seasonally free zone in which ongoing surveillance has found no evidence that Culicoides are present will not lose its free status through the introduction of vaccinated, seropositive or infective ruminants or camellids, or semen or embryos from infected countries or zones.

Article 8.3.5.

Country or zone infected with BTV

For the purposes of this chapter, a country or zone infected with BTV is one that does not fulfil the requirements to qualify as either free or seasonally free from bluetongue.

Article 8.3.6.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones free from bluetongue

For ruminants and camellids

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the animals showed no clinical sign of bluetongue on the day of shipment;

AND

2) the animals were kept in a country or zone free from bluetongue since birth or for at least 60 days prior to shipment; or

3) the animals were kept in a country or zone free from bluetongue for at least 28 days, then were subjected, with negative results, to a serological test to detect antibodies to the BTV group and remained in the free country or zone until shipment; or

4) the animals were kept in a country or zone free from bluetongue for at least 14 days, then were subjected, with negative results, to an agent identification test, and remained in the free country or zone until shipment; or
5) the animals:
   a) were vaccinated, at least 60 days before the introduction into the free country or zone, from which they are to be exported, against all serotypes demonstrated to be present in the source population through a surveillance programme as described in Articles 8.3.14. to 8.3.17.;
   b) were identified as having been vaccinated;
   c) remained in the free country or zone for at least seven days until shipment;

AND

6) if the animals were exported from a free zone within an infected country, either:
   a) did not transit through an infected zone during transportation to the place of shipment; or
   b) were protected from attacks from Culicoides in accordance with point 2 of Article 8.3.13. at all times when transiting through an infected zone; or
   c) had been vaccinated in accordance with point 5) above.

Article 8.3.7.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones seasonally free from bluetongue

For ruminants and camelids

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of bluetongue on the day of shipment;

AND

2) were kept during the free season in a seasonally free country or zone since birth or for at least 60 days prior to shipment; or

3) were kept during the free season in a seasonally free country or zone for at least 28 days prior to shipment, and were subjected during that residence period to a serological test to detect antibodies to the BTV group, with negative results, carried out at least 28 days after the commencement of the residence period; or

4) were kept during the free season in a seasonally free country or zone for at least 14 days prior to shipment, and were subjected during that residence period to an agent identification test, with negative results, carried out at least 14 days after the commencement of the residence period; or

5) were:
   a) vaccinated, at least 60 days before shipment, against all serotypes demonstrated to be present in the source population through a surveillance programme in accordance with Articles 8.3.14. to 8.3.17.;
   b) identified as having been vaccinated;
   c) kept during the free season in the seasonally free country or zone for at least seven days, and until shipment;

AND

6) either:
   a) did not transit through an infected zone during transportation to the place of shipment; or
   b) were protected from attacks from Culicoides in accordance with point 2 of Article 8.3.13. at all times when transiting through an infected zone; or
   c) were vaccinated in accordance with point 5) above.

Article 8.3.8.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones infected with BTV

For ruminants and camelids

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of bluetongue on the day of shipment;

AND

2) were protected from attacks from Culicoides in accordance with Article 8.3.13. in a vector-protected establishment for at least 60 days prior to shipment and during transportation to the place of shipment; or
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3) were protected from attacks from Culicoides in accordance with Article 8.3.13. in a vector-protected establishment for at least 28 days prior to shipment and during transportation to the place of shipment, and were subjected during that period to a serological test to detect antibodies to the BTV group, with negative results, carried out at least 28 days after introduction into the vector-protected establishment; or

4) were protected from attacks from Culicoides in accordance with Article 8.3.13. in a vector-protected establishment for at least 14 days prior to shipment and during transportation to the place of shipment, and were subjected during that period to an agent identification test, with negative results, carried out at least 14 days after introduction into the vector-protected establishment; or

5) were:
   a) vaccinated, at least 60 days before shipment, against all serotypes demonstrated to be present in the source population through a surveillance programme in accordance with Articles 8.3.14. to 8.3.17.;
   b) identified as having been vaccinated; or

6) were demonstrated to have antibodies for at least 60 days prior to shipment against all serotypes demonstrated to be present in the source population through a surveillance programme in accordance with Articles 8.3.14. to 8.3.17.

Article 8.3.9.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones free or seasonally free from bluetongue

For semen of ruminants and camelids

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor males:
   a) showed no clinical sign of bluetongue on the day of collection and were kept in a country or zone free from bluetongue or in a seasonally free country or zone during the free season for at least 60 days before commencement of, and during, collection of the semen; or
   b) comply with point 1 of Article 8.3.10.;

2) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.

Article 8.3.10.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones infected with BTV

For semen of ruminants and camelids

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor males:
   a) showed no clinical sign of bluetongue on the day of collection;

   AND

   b) were kept in a vector-protected establishment in accordance with point 1 of Article 8.3.13. for at least 60 days before commencement of, and during, collection of the semen; or

   c) were subjected to a serological test to detect antibodies to the BTV group, with negative results, between 28 and 60 days after each collection for this consignment; or

   d) were subjected to an agent identification test on blood samples collected at commencement and conclusion of, and at least every 7 days (virus isolation test) or at least every 28 days (PCR test) during, semen collection for this consignment, with negative results;

2) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.
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Article 8.3.11.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones free or seasonally free from bluetongue

For in vivo derived embryos of ruminants (other than bovine embryos) and other BTV susceptible herbivores and for in vitro produced bovine embryos

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor females:
   a) showed no clinical sign of bluetongue on the day of collection and were kept in a country or zone free from bluetongue or in a seasonally free country or zone during the free season for at least the 60 days prior to, and at the time of, collection of the embryos; or
   b) comply with point 1 of Article 8.3.12.;

2) the embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.7., 4.8. and 4.9., as relevant;

3) the semen used to fertilise the oocytes complied with Article 8.3.9. or Article 8.3.10.

Article 8.3.12.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones infected with BTV

For in vivo derived embryos of ruminants (other than bovine embryos) and other BTV susceptible animals and for in vitro produced bovine embryos

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor females:
   a) showed no clinical sign of bluetongue on the day of collection;
   AND
   b) were kept in a vector-protected establishment in accordance with point 1 of Article 8.3.13. for at least 60 days before commencement of, and during, collection of the embryos; or
   c) were subjected to a serological test to detect antibodies to the BTV group, between 28 and 60 days after collection, with negative results; or
   d) were subjected to an agent identification test on a blood sample taken on the day of collection, with negative results;

2) the embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.7., 4.8. and 4.9., as relevant;

3) the semen used to fertilise the oocytes complied with Article 8.3.9. or Article 8.3.10.

Article 8.3.13.

Protecting animals from Culicoides attacks

1) Vector-protected establishment or facility

The establishment or facility should be approved by the Veterinary Authority and the means of protection should at least comprise the following:

a) appropriate physical barriers at entry and exit points, such as double-door entry-exit system;

b) openings of the building are vector screened with mesh of appropriate gauge impregnated regularly with an approved insecticide in accordance with manufacturers' instructions;

c) vector surveillance and control within and around the building;

d) measures to limit or eliminate breeding sites for vectors in the vicinity of the establishment or facility;

e) standard operating procedures, including description of back-up and alarm systems, for operation of the establishment or facility and transport of animals to the place of loading.
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2) During transportation

When transporting animals through infected countries or zones, Veterinary Authorities should require strategies to protect animals from attacks from Culicoides during transport, taking into account the local ecology of the vector.

a) Transport by road

*Risk management* strategies may include:

i) treating animals with insect repellents prior to and during transportation;

ii) *loading*, transporting and *unloading* animals at times of low *vector* activity (i.e. bright sunshine, low temperature);

iii) ensuring *vehicles* do not stop en route during dawn or dusk, or overnight, unless the animals are held behind insect-proof netting;

iv) darkening the interior of the *vehicle*, for example by covering the roof or sides of *vehicles* with shade cloth;

v) *surveillance* for vectors at common stopping and *unloading* points to gain information on seasonal variations;

vi) using historical information or information from appropriately verified and validated bluetongue epidemiological models to identify low risk ports and transport routes.

b) Transport by air

Prior to *loading* the animals, the crates, containers or jet stalls should be sprayed with an insecticide approved in the country of dispatch.

Crates, containers or jet stalls in which animals are being transported and the cargo hold of the aircraft should be sprayed with an approved insecticide when the doors have been closed and prior to take-off. All possible insect harbourage should be treated. The spray containers should be retained for inspection on arrival.

In addition, during any stopover in countries or zones not free from bluetongue prior to the opening of any aircraft door and until all doors are closed, netting of appropriate gauge impregnated with an approved insecticide should be placed over crates, containers or jet stalls.

Article 8.3.14.

Introduction to surveillance

Articles 8.3.14. to 8.3.17. define the principles and provide guidance on *surveillance* for *infection* with BTV, complementary to Chapter 1.4. and for vectors complementary to Chapter 1.5.

Bluetongue is a *vector*-borne *infection* transmitted by various species of *Culicoides* in a range of ecosystems.

The purpose of *surveillance* is the detection of transmission of BTV in a country or zone and not determination of the status of an individual animal or *herds* or *flocks*. *Surveillance* deals with the evidence of *infection* with BTV in the presence or absence of clinical signs.

An important component of the epidemiology of bluetongue is the capacity of its *vector*, which provides a measure of disease *risk* that incorporates vector competence, abundance, biting rates, survival rates and extrinsic *incubation period*. However, methods and tools for measuring some of these vector factors remain to be developed, particularly in a field context. Therefore, *surveillance* for bluetongue should focus on transmission of BTV in domestic ruminants and camelids.

The impact and epidemiology of bluetongue widely differ in different regions of the world and therefore it is not appropriate to provide specific recommendations for all situations. Member Countries should provide scientific data that explain the epidemiology of bluetongue in the country or zone concerned and adapt the *surveillance* strategies for defining their status to the local conditions. There is considerable latitude available to Member Countries to justify their status at an acceptable level of confidence.

*Surveillance* for bluetongue should be in the form of a continuing programme.
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**General conditions and methods for surveillance**

1) A surveillance system in accordance with Chapter 1.4. should be under the responsibility of the Veterinary Authority. In particular:
   - a) a formal and ongoing system for detecting and investigating outbreaks of disease should be in place;
   - b) a procedure should be in place for the rapid collection and transport of samples from suspected cases of infection with BTV to a laboratory for diagnosis;
   - c) a system for recording, managing and analysing diagnostic and surveillance data should be in place.

2) The bluetongue surveillance programme should:
   - a) in a free country or zone or seasonally free zone, have an early warning system which obliges farmers and workers, who have regular contact with domestic ruminants, as well as diagnosticians, to report promptly any suspicion of bluetongue to the Veterinary Authority.
      An effective surveillance system will periodically identify suspected cases that require follow-up and investigation to confirm or exclude whether the cause of the condition is bluetongue. The rate at which such suspected cases are likely to occur will differ between epidemiological situations and cannot therefore be predicted reliably. All suspected cases of bluetongue should be investigated immediately and samples should be taken and submitted to a laboratory. This requires that sampling kits and other equipment be available for those responsible for surveillance;
   - AND
   - b) conduct random or targeted serological and virological surveillance appropriate to the status of the country or zone.

**Surveillance strategies**

The target population for surveillance aimed at identification of disease or infection should cover susceptible domestic ruminants and camelds, and other susceptible herbivores of epidemiological significance within the country or zone. Active and passive surveillance for bluetongue should be ongoing as epidemiologically appropriate. Surveillance should be composed of random or targeted approaches using virological, serological and clinical methods appropriate for the status of the country or zone.

It may be appropriate to focus surveillance in an area adjacent to a border of an infected country or zone for up to 100 kilometres, taking into account relevant ecological or geographical features likely to interrupt the transmission of BTV or the presence in the bordering infected country or zone of a bluetongue surveillance programme (in accordance with Articles 8.3.14. to 8.3.17.) that supports a lesser distance.

A Member Country should justify the surveillance strategy chosen as being adequate to detect the presence of infection with BTV in accordance with Chapter 1.4. and the prevailing epidemiological situation. It may, for example, be appropriate to target clinical surveillance at particular species likely to exhibit clinical signs (e.g. sheep).

Similarly, virological and serological testing may be targeted to species that rarely show clinical signs (e.g. bovines).

In vaccinated populations, serological and virological surveillance is necessary to detect the BTV serotypes circulating to ensure that all circulating serotypes are included in the vaccination programme.

If a Member Country wishes to declare freedom from bluetongue in a specific zone, the design of the surveillance strategy should be aimed at the population within the zone.

For random surveys, the design of the sampling strategy should incorporate epidemiologically appropriate design prevalence. The sample size selected for testing should be large enough to detect evidence of infection if it were to occur at a predetermined minimum rate. The sample size and expected prevalence determine the level of confidence in the results of the survey. The Member Country should justify the choice of design prevalence and confidence level based on the objectives of surveillance and the epidemiological situation, in accordance with Chapter 1.4. Selection of the design prevalence in particular should be based on the prevailing or historical epidemiological situation.

Irrespective of the survey approach selected, the sensitivity and specificity of the diagnostic tests employed are key factors in the design, sample size determination and interpretation of the results obtained. Ideally, the sensitivity and specificity of the tests used should be validated for the vaccination and infection history and the different species in the target population.
Irrespective of the testing system employed, surveillance system design should anticipate the occurrence of false positive reactions. If the characteristics of the testing system are known, the rate at which these false positives are likely to occur can be calculated in advance. There should be an effective procedure for following up positive reactions to ultimately determine with a high level of confidence, whether they are indicative of infection or not. This should involve both supplementary tests and follow-up investigation to collect diagnostic material from the original sampling unit as well as those which may be epidemiologically linked to it.

The principles involved in surveillance for disease or infection are technically well defined. The design of surveillance programmes to prove the absence of infection with, and transmission of, BTV should be carefully followed to avoid producing results that are either insufficiently reliable to be accepted by international trading partners, or excessively costly and logistically complicated.

1. Clinical surveillance

Clinical surveillance aims to detect clinical signs of bluetongue at the herd or flock level, particularly during a newly introduced infection. In sheep and occasionally goats, clinical signs may include oedema, hyperaemia of mucosal membranes, coronitis and cyanotic tongue.

Suspected cases of bluetongue detected by clinical surveillance should always be confirmed by laboratory testing.

2. Serological surveillance

An active programme of surveillance of host populations to detect evidence of transmission of BTV is essential to establish the bluetongue status of a country or zone. Serological testing of ruminants is one of the most effective methods of detecting the presence of BTV. The species tested should reflect the epidemiology of bluetongue. Bovines are usually the most sensitive indicator species. Management variables that may influence likelihood of infection, such as the use of insecticides and animal housing, should be considered.

Samples should be examined for antibodies against BTV. Positive test results can have four possible causes:

a) natural infection,
b) vaccination,
c) maternal antibodies,
d) the lack of specificity of the test.

It may be possible to use sera collected for other survey purposes for bluetongue surveillance. However, the principles of survey design described in these recommendations and the requirements for a statistically valid survey for the presence of infection with BTV should not be compromised.

The results of random or targeted serological surveys are important in providing reliable evidence that no infection with BTV is present in a country or zone. It is, therefore, essential that the survey is thoroughly documented. It is critical to interpret the results in light of the movement history of the animals being sampled.

Serological surveillance in a free zone should target those areas that are at highest risk of transmission of BTV, based on the results of previous surveillance and other information. This will usually be towards the boundaries of the free zone. In view of the epidemiology of bluetongue, either random or targeted sampling is suitable to select herds, flocks or animals for testing.

Serological surveillance in infected zones will identify changes in the boundary of the zone, and can also be used to identify the BTV types circulating. In view of the epidemiology of bluetongue, either random or targeted sampling is suitable.

3. Virological surveillance

Isolation and genetic analysis of BTV from a proportion of infected animals provides information on serotype and genetic characteristics of the viruses concerned.

Virological surveillance can be conducted:

a) to identify virus transmission in at risk populations,
b) to confirm clinically suspected cases,
c) to follow up positive serological results,
d) to better characterise the genotype of circulating virus in a country or zone.
4. Sentinel animals

Sentinel animals are a form of targeted surveillance with a prospective study design. They are the preferred strategy for bluetongue surveillance. They comprise groups of unexposed animals that have not been vaccinated and are managed at fixed locations and sampled regularly to detect new infections with BTV.

The primary purpose of a sentinel animal programme is to detect infections with BTV occurring at a particular place, for instance sentinel groups may be located on the usual boundaries of infected zones to detect changes in distribution of BTV. In addition, sentinel animal programmes allow the timing and dynamics of infections to be observed.

A sentinel animal programme should use animals of known source and history of exposure, control management variables such as use of insecticides and animal housing (depending on the epidemiology of bluetongue in the area under consideration), and be flexible in its design in terms of sampling frequency and choice of tests.

Care is necessary in choosing the sites for the sentinel groups. The aim is to maximise the chance of detecting transmission of BTV at the geographical location for which the sentinel site acts as a sampling point. The effect of secondary factors that may influence events at each location, such as climate, may also be analysed. To avoid bias, sentinel groups should comprise animals selected to be of similar age and susceptibility to infection with BTV.

Bovines are the most appropriate sentinels but other domestic ruminant species may be used. The only feature distinguishing groups of sentinels should be their geographical location.

Sera from sentinel animal programmes should be stored methodically in a serum bank to allow retrospective studies to be conducted in the event of new serotypes being isolated.

The frequency of sampling will depend on the reason for choosing the sampling site. In endemic areas, virus isolation will allow monitoring of the serotypes and genotypes of BTV circulating during each time period. The borders between infected and uninfected areas can be defined by serological detection of infective period. Monthly sampling intervals are frequently used. Sentinels in declared free zones add to confidence that infection with BTV is not occurring unobserved. In such cases, sampling prior to and after the possible period of transmission is sufficient.

Definitive information on the presence of BTV in a country or zone is provided by isolation and identification of the viruses. If virus isolation is required, sentinels should be sampled at sufficiently frequent intervals to ensure that samples are collected during the period of viraemia.

5. Vector surveillance

BTV is transmitted between ruminant hosts by species of Culicoides which vary around the world. It is therefore important to be able to identify potential vector species accurately although many such species are closely related and difficult to differentiate with certainty.

Vector surveillance aims to demonstrate the absence of vectors or to determine areas of different levels of risk and local details of seasonality by determining the various vector species present in an area, their respective seasonal occurrence, and abundance. Vector surveillance has particular relevance to potential areas of spread.

Long term surveillance can also be used to assess vector abatement measures or to confirm continued absence of vectors.

The most effective way of gathering this information should take account of the biology and behavioural characteristics of the local vector species of Culicoides and may include the use of Onderstepoort-type light traps or similar, operated from dusk to dawn in locations adjacent to domestic ruminants, or the use of drop traps over ruminants.

Vector surveillance should be based on scientific sampling techniques. The choice of the number and type of traps to be used and the frequency of their use should take into account the size and ecological characteristics of the area to be surveyed.

The operation of vector surveillance sites at the same locations as sentinel animals is advisable.

The use of a vector surveillance system to detect the presence of circulating virus is not recommended as a routine procedure as the typically low vector infection rates mean that such detections can be rare.

Animal-based surveillance strategies are preferred to detect virus transmission.

Article 8.3.17.

Documentation of bluetongue free status

1. Additional surveillance requirements for Member Countries declaring freedom from bluetongue

In addition to the general requirements described above, a Member Country declaring freedom from bluetongue for the entire country or a zone should provide evidence for the existence of an effective surveillance programme.
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The strategy and design of the surveillance programme will depend on the prevailing epidemiological circumstances and should be planned and implemented in accordance with general conditions and methods described in this chapter, to demonstrate absence of infection with BTV during the preceding 24 months in susceptible domestic ruminant populations. This requires the support of a laboratory able to undertake identification of infection with BTV through virus detection and antibody tests. This surveillance should be targeted to unvaccinated animals. Clinical surveillance may be effective in sheep while serological surveillance is more appropriate in bovines.

2. Additional requirements for countries or zones that practise vaccination

Vaccination to prevent the transmission of BTV may be part of a disease control programme. The level of flock or herd immunity required to prevent transmission will depend on the flock or herd size, composition (e.g. species) and density of the susceptible population. It is therefore impossible to be prescriptive. The vaccine should also comply with the provisions stipulated for BTV vaccines in the Terrestrial Manual. Based on the epidemiology of bluetongue in the country or zone, it may be decided to vaccinate only certain species or other subpopulations. In countries or zones that practise vaccination, virological and serological tests should be carried out to ensure the absence of virus transmission. These tests should be performed on unvaccinated subpopulations or on sentinels. The tests should be repeated at appropriate intervals in accordance with the purpose of the surveillance programme. For example, longer intervals may be adequate to confirm endemicity, while shorter intervals may allow on-going demonstration of absence of transmission.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2018.
CHAPTER 8.4.

INFECTION WITH BRUCELLA ABORTUS, B. MELITENSI S AND B. SUIS

Article 8.4.1.

General provisions
1) The aim of this chapter is to mitigate the risk of spread of, and the risk to human health from, Brucella abortus, B. melitensis and B. suis in animals.

2) For the purposes of this chapter:
   a) ‘Brucella’ means B. abortus, B. melitensis or B. suis, excluding vaccine strains.
   b) ‘Animals’ means domestic and captive wild animal populations of the following categories:
      i) bovids: this term means cattle (Bos taurus, B. indicus, B. frontalis, B. javanicus and B. grunniens), bison (Bison bison and B. bonasus) and water buffalo (Bubalus bubalis);
      ii) sheep (Ovis aries) and goats (Capra aegagrus);
      iii) pigs (Sus scrofa);
      iv) camels: this term means dromedary camel (Camelus dromedarius), Bactrian camel (Camelus bactrianus), llama (Lama glama), alpaca (Lama pacos), guanaco (Lama guanicoe) and vicuna (Vicugna vicugna);
      v) cervids: this term means roe deer (Capreolus capreolus), red deer (Cervus elaphus elaphus), wapiti/elk (C. elaphus canadensis), sika (C. nippon), samba (C. unicolor unicolor), rusa (C. timorensis), fallow deer (Dama dama), white-tailed, black-tailed, mule deer (Odocoileus spp.) and reindeer/caribou (Rangifer tarandus);
      vi) European hare (Lepus europaeus).

3) For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, a case is an animal infected with Brucella.

4) The chapter deals not only with the occurrence of clinical signs caused by infection with Brucella, but also with the presence of infection with Brucella in the absence of clinical signs.

5) The following defines infection with Brucella:
   a) Brucella has been isolated from a sample from an animal;
   OR
   b) positive results to a diagnostic test have been obtained, and there is an epidemiological link to a case.

6) When authorising import or transit of commodities listed in this chapter, with the exception of those listed in Article 8.4.2., Veterinary Authorities should require the conditions prescribed in this chapter relevant to the Brucella infection status of the animal population of the exporting country, zone, herd or flock.

7) Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 8.4.2.

Safe commodities

When authorising import or transit of the following commodities, Veterinary Authorities should not require any Brucella-related conditions, regardless of the Brucella infection status of the animal population of the exporting country:

1) skeletal muscle meat, brain and spinal cord, digestive tract, thymus, thyroid and parathyroid glands and derived products;
2) cured hides and skins;
3) gelatine, collagen, tallow and meat-and-bone meal.
Article 8.4.3.

Country or zone historically free from infection with *Brucella* in specified animal categories

A country or zone may be considered free from infection with *Brucella* in specified animal categories when:

1) *infection* with *Brucella* in animals is a *notifiable disease* in the entire country;

2) historical freedom in the relevant animal categories has been demonstrated as described in point 1) of Article 1.4.6.

Article 8.4.4.

Country or zone free from infection with *Brucella* in bovids without vaccination

1) To qualify as free from *infection* with *Brucella* in bovids without *vaccination*, a country or zone should satisfy the following requirements:

   a) *infection* with *Brucella* in animals is a *notifiable disease* in the entire country;
   
   b) no case has been recorded in bovids for at least the past three years;
   
   c) regular testing of all *herds* has been in place for the past three years; and this testing has demonstrated that during this period, *infection* with *Brucella* was not present in at least 99.8% of the *herds* representing at least 99.9% of bovids in the country or zone;
   
   d) regulatory measures have been implemented for the early detection of *infection* with *Brucella* in bovids, including at least the regular submission of samples from abortion cases to diagnostic laboratories;
   
   e) no bovids have been vaccinated against *infection* with *Brucella* for at least the past three years, and no bovids introduced into the country or zone have been vaccinated in the past three years;
   
   f) bovids and their genetic materials introduced into the country or zone comply with the recommendations in Articles 8.4.14. and 8.4.16. to 8.4.18.

2) To maintain the status as free from *infection* with *Brucella* in bovids without *vaccination*, a country or zone should satisfy the following requirements:

   a) the requirements in points 1 a), 1 b) and 1 d) to 1 f) above are met;
   
   b) a *surveillance* programme based on regular testing of bovids is in place in the country or zone to detect *infection* with *Brucella* in accordance with Article 1.4.4.;
   
   c) if the *surveillance* programme described in b) above has not detected *infection* with *Brucella* for two consecutive years, *surveillance* may be maintained in accordance with Article 1.4.5.

3) The country or zone status of free from *infection* with *Brucella* in bovids without *vaccination* is not affected by the occurrence of *infection* with *Brucella* in other animal categories or *feral or wild animals* provided that effective measures have been implemented to prevent transmission of *infection* with *Brucella* to bovids.

Article 8.4.5.

Country or zone free from infection with *Brucella* in bovids with vaccination

1) To qualify as free from *infection* with *Brucella* in bovids with *vaccination*, a country or zone should satisfy the following requirements:

   a) *infection* with *Brucella* in animals is a *notifiable disease* in the entire country;
   
   b) no case has been recorded in bovids for at least the past three years;
   
   c) regular testing of all *herds* has been in place for the past three years; and this testing has demonstrated that during this period, *infection* with *Brucella* was not present in at least 99.8% of the *herds* representing at least 99.9% of bovids in the country or zone;
   
   d) regulatory measures have been implemented for the early detection of *infection* with *Brucella* in bovids, including at least the regular submission of samples from abortion cases to diagnostic laboratories;
   
   e) vaccinated bovids should be permanently identified as such;
   
   f) bovids and their genetic materials introduced into the country or zone comply with the recommendations in Articles 8.4.14. and 8.4.16. to 8.4.18.

2) To maintain the status as free from *infection* with *Brucella* in bovids with *vaccination*, a country or zone should satisfy the following requirements:

   a) the requirements in points 1 a), 1 b) and 1 d) to 1 f) above are met;
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Country or zone free from infection with Brucella in sheep and goats without vaccination

1) To qualify as free from infection with Brucella in sheep and goats without vaccination, a country or zone should satisfy the following requirements:
   a) infection with Brucella in animals is a notifiable disease in the entire country;
   b) no case has been recorded in sheep and goats for at least the past three years;
   c) regular testing of all flocks has been in place for the past three years; and this testing has demonstrated that during this period, infection with Brucella was not present in at least 99.8% of the flocks representing at least 99.9% of sheep and goats in the country or zone;
   d) regulatory measures have been implemented for the early detection of infection with Brucella in sheep and goats, including at least the regular submission of samples from abortion cases to diagnostic laboratories;
   e) no sheep and goats have been vaccinated against infection with Brucella for at least the past three years and no sheep and goats introduced into the country or zone have been vaccinated in the past three years;
   f) sheep and goats and their genetic materials introduced into the country or zone comply with the recommendations in Articles 8.4.14. and 8.4.16. to 8.4.18.

2) To maintain the status as free from infection with Brucella in sheep and goats without vaccination, a country or zone should satisfy the following requirements:
   a) the requirements in points 1 a), 1 b) and 1 d) to 1 f) above are met;
   b) a surveillance programme based on regular testing of sheep and goats is in place in the country or zone to detect infection with Brucella in accordance with Article 1.4.4.;
   c) if the surveillance programme described in b) above has not detected infection with Brucella for two consecutive years, surveillance may be maintained in accordance with Article 1.4.5.

3) The country or zone status of free from infection with Brucella in sheep and goats without vaccination is not affected by the occurrence of infection with Brucella in other animal categories or feral or wild animals provided that effective measures have been implemented to prevent transmission of infection with Brucella to bovids.

Country or zone free from infection with Brucella in sheep and goats with vaccination

1) To qualify as free from infection with Brucella in sheep and goats with vaccination, a country or zone should satisfy the following requirements:
   a) infection with Brucella in animals is a notifiable disease in the entire country;
   b) no case has been recorded in sheep and goats for at least the past three years;
   c) regular testing of all flocks has been in place for the past three years; and this testing has demonstrated that during this period, infection with Brucella was not present in at least 99.8% of the flocks representing at least 99.9% of sheep and goats in the country or zone;
   d) regulatory measures have been implemented for the early detection of infection with Brucella in sheep and goats, including at least the regular submission of samples from abortion cases to diagnostic laboratories;
   e) vaccinated sheep and goats should be permanently identified as such;
   f) sheep and goats and their genetic materials introduced into the country or zone comply with the recommendations in Articles 8.4.14. and 8.4.16. to 8.4.18.
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2) To maintain the status as free from infection with Brucella in sheep and goats with vaccination, a country or zone should satisfy the following requirements:

a) the requirements in points 1 a), 1 b) and 1 d) to 1 f) above are met;

b) a surveillance programme based on regular testing of sheep and goats is in place in the country or zone to detect infection with Brucella in accordance with Article 1.4.4.;

c) if the surveillance programme described in b) above has not detected infection with Brucella for two consecutive years, surveillance may be maintained in accordance with Article 1.4.5.

3) The country or zone status of free from infection with Brucella in sheep and goats with vaccination is not affected by the occurrence of infection with Brucella in other animal categories or feral or wild animals provided that effective measures have been implemented to prevent transmission of infection with Brucella to sheep and goats.

4) The status of a country or zone free from infection with Brucella in sheep and goats with vaccination remains unchanged for a period of three years after vaccination has ceased, provided that the requirements in points 1 a), 1 b) and 1 d) to 1 f) of Article 8.4.6. are met, at which time this status may be changed to free from infection with Brucella in sheep and goats without vaccination.

Article 8.4.8.

Country or zone free from infection with Brucella in camels

1) To qualify as free from infection with Brucella in camels, a country or zone should satisfy the following requirements:

a) infection with Brucella in animals is a notifiable disease in the entire country;

b) no case has been recorded in camels for at least the past three years;

c) regular testing of all herds has been in place for the past three years; and this testing has demonstrated that during this period, infection with Brucella was not present in at least 99.8% of the herds representing at least 99.9% of camels in the country or zone;

d) regulatory measures have been implemented for the early detection of infection with Brucella in camels, including at least the regular submission of samples of abortion cases to diagnostic laboratories;

e) no camels have been vaccinated against infection with Brucella for at least the past three years and no camels introduced into the country or zone have been vaccinated in the past three years;

f) camels and their genetic materials introduced into the country or zone comply with the recommendations in Articles 8.4.14. and 8.4.16. to 8.4.18.

2) To maintain the status as free from infection with Brucella in camels, a country or zone should satisfy the following requirements:

a) the requirements in points 1 a), 1 b) and 1 d) to 1 f) above are met;

b) a surveillance programme based on regular testing of camels is in place in the country or zone to detect infection with Brucella in accordance with Article 1.4.4.;

c) if the surveillance programme described in b) above has not detected infection with Brucella for two consecutive years, surveillance may be maintained in accordance with Article 1.4.5.

3) The country or zone status of free from infection with Brucella in camels is not affected by the occurrence of infection with Brucella in other animal categories or feral or wild animals provided that effective measures have been implemented to prevent transmission of infection with Brucella to camels.
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Article 8.4.9.

Country or zone free from infection with Brucella in cervids

1) To qualify as free from infection with Brucella in cervids, a country or zone should satisfy the following requirements:
   a) infection with Brucella in animals is a notifiable disease in the entire country;
   b) no case has been recorded in cervids for at least the past three years;
   c) regular testing of all herds has been in place for the past three years; and this testing has demonstrated that during this period, infection with Brucella was not present in at least 99.8% of the herds representing at least 99.9% of cervids in the country or zone;
   d) regulatory measures have been implemented for the early detection of infection with Brucella in cervids, including at least the regular submission of samples from abortion cases to diagnostic laboratories;
   e) no cervids have been vaccinated against infection with Brucella for at least the past three years and no cervids introduced into the country or zone have been vaccinated in the past three years;
   f) cervids and their genetic materials introduced into the country or zone comply with the recommendations in Articles 8.4.14. and 8.4.16. to 8.4.18.

2) To maintain the status as free from infection with Brucella in cervids, a country or zone should satisfy the following requirements:
   a) the requirements in points 1 a), 1 b) and 1 d) to 1 f) above are met;
   b) a surveillance programme based on regular testing of cervids is in place in the country or zone to detect infection with Brucella in accordance with Article 1.4.4.;
   c) if the surveillance programme described in b) above has not detected infection with Brucella for two consecutive years, surveillance may be maintained in accordance with Article 1.4.5.

3) The country or zone status of free from infection with Brucella in cervids is not affected by the occurrence of infection with Brucella in other animal categories or feral or wild animals provided that effective measures have been implemented to prevent transmission of infection with Brucella to cervids.

Article 8.4.10.

Herd or flock free from infection with Brucella in bovids, sheep and goats, camelids or cervids without vaccination

1) To qualify as free from infection with Brucella without vaccination, a herd or flock of bovids, sheep and goats, camelids or cervids should satisfy the following requirements:
   a) the herd or flock is in a country or zone free from infection with Brucella without vaccination in the relevant animal category and is certified free without vaccination by the Veterinary Authority;
   OR
   b) the herd or flock is in a country or zone free from infection with Brucella with vaccination in the relevant animal category and is certified free without vaccination by the Veterinary Authority; and no animal of the herd or flock has been vaccinated in the past three years;
   OR
   c) the herd or flock met the following conditions:
      i) infection with Brucella in animals is a notifiable disease in the entire country;
      ii) no animal of the relevant category of the herd or flock has been vaccinated in the past three years;
      iii) no case has been detected in the herd or flock for at least the past year;
      iv) animals showing clinical signs consistent with infection with Brucella such as abortions have been subjected to the necessary diagnostic tests with negative results;
      v) for at least the past year, there has been no evidence of infection with Brucella in other herds or flocks of the same establishment, or measures have been implemented to prevent any transmission of the infection with Brucella from these other herds or flocks;
      vi) two tests have been performed with negative results on all sexually mature animals, i.e. except castrated males and spayed females, present in the herd at the time of testing, the first test being performed not before 3 months after the slaughter of the last case and the second test at an interval of more than 6 and less than 12 months.
2) To maintain the free status, the following conditions should be met:
   a) the requirements in points 1 a) or 1 b) or 1 c) i) to v) above are met;
   b) regular tests, at a frequency depending on the prevalence of herd or flock infection in the country or zone, demonstrate the continuing absence of infection with Brucella;
   c) animals of the relevant category introduced into the herd or flock are accompanied by a certificate from an Official Veterinarian attesting that they come from:
      i) a country or zone free from infection with Brucella in the relevant category without vaccination;
      OR
      ii) a country or zone free from infection with Brucella with vaccination and the animals of the relevant category have not been vaccinated in the past three years;
      OR
      iii) a herd or flock free from infection with Brucella with or without vaccination and that the animals have not been vaccinated in the past three years and were tested for infection with Brucella within 30 days prior to shipment with negative results; in the case of post-parturient females, the test is carried out at least 30 days after giving birth. This test is not required for sexually immature animals including castrated males and spayed females.

Article 8.4.11.

Herd or flock free from infection with Brucella in bovids, sheep and goats with vaccination

1) To qualify as free from infection with Brucella with vaccination, a herd of bovids or flock of sheep and goats should satisfy the following requirements:
   a) the herd or flock is in a country or zone free from infection with Brucella with vaccination for the relevant animal category and is certified free with vaccination by the Veterinary Authority;
   OR
   b) the herd or flock met the following conditions:
      i) infection with Brucella in animals is a notifiable disease in the entire country;
      ii) vaccinated animals of the relevant categories are permanently identified as such;
      iii) no case has been detected in the herd or flock for at least the past year;
      iv) animals showing clinical signs consistent with infection with Brucella such as abortions have been subjected to the necessary diagnostic tests with negative results;
      v) for at least the past year, there has been no evidence of infection with Brucella in other herds or flocks of the same establishment, or measures have been implemented to prevent any transmission of the infection with Brucella from these other herds or flocks;
      vi) two tests have been performed with negative results on all sexually mature animals present in the herd at the time of testing, the first test being performed not before 3 months after the slaughter of the last case and the second test at an interval of more than 6 and less than 12 months.

2) To maintain the free status, the following conditions should be met:
   a) the requirements in points 1 a) or 1 b) i) to v) above are met;
   b) regular tests, at a frequency depending on the prevalence of herd or flock infection in the country or zone, demonstrate the continuing absence of infection with Brucella;
   c) animals of the relevant category introduced into the herd or flock should be accompanied by a certificate from an Official Veterinarian attesting that they come from either:
      i) a country or zone free from infection with Brucella in the relevant category with or without vaccination;
      OR
      ii) a herd or flock free from infection with Brucella with or without vaccination and that the animals were tested for infection with Brucella within 30 days prior to shipment with negative results; in the case of post-parturient females, the test is carried out at least 30 days after giving birth. This test is not required for sexually immature animals or vaccinated animals less than 18 months of age.
Herd free from infection with *Brucella* in pigs

1) To qualify as free from *infection* with *Brucella*, a herd of pigs should satisfy the following requirements:
   a) *infection* with *Brucella* in animals is a *notifiable disease* in the entire country;
   b) no case has been detected in the herd for at least the past three years;
   c) animals showing clinical signs consistent with *infection* with *Brucella* such as abortions or orchitis have been subjected to the necessary diagnostic tests with negative results;
   d) no pigs of the herd have been vaccinated for at least the past three years and no pigs introduced into the herd have been vaccinated in the past three years;
   e) for at least the past three years, there has been no evidence of *infection* with *Brucella* in other herds of the same establishment, or measures have been implemented to prevent any transmission of *infection* with *Brucella* from these other herds.

2) To maintain the free status, the following conditions should be met:
   a) the requirements in point 1) above are met;
   b) animals introduced into the herd are accompanied by a certificate from an Official Veterinarian attesting that:
      i) they come from a herd free from *infection* with *Brucella*;
      OR
      ii) they come from a herd in which a statistically valid sample of the breeding pigs, selected in accordance with Article 1.4.4., was tested within 30 days prior to shipment, demonstrating the absence of *infection* with *Brucella*;
      OR
      iii) they were tested within 30 days prior to shipment with negative results.

Recovery of the *Brucella* infection free status in a country or a zone

Should a case of *infection* with *Brucella* in one or more animal categories occur in a free country or zone as described in Articles 8.4.4. to 8.4.9., the free status may be recovered once the following requirements are met:

1) all infected animals of the relevant category have been slaughtered or destroyed as soon as *infection* with *Brucella* is confirmed;

2) an epidemiological investigation has been performed within 60 days of confirmation of *infection* with *Brucella* in the herd or flock, aiming at identifying the likely source and the distribution of the *infection*, and shows that the number of outbreaks is limited and all are epidemiologically linked;

3) in the index herd or flock and herds or flocks identified by the epidemiological investigation:
   a) whole herd or flock depopulation has been practised; or
   b) whole herd or flock depopulation has not been practised, and all remaining sexually mature animals except castrated males have been tested, with negative results, on three occasions, at an interval of not less than two months, then a fourth test six months later and a final fifth test a year later;
   AND
   c) no animals are moved from the herds or flocks except directly for slaughter until the processes in point a) or b) above are completed;

4) cleansing and disinfection procedures have been applied at the end of the slaughter process and before new animals are introduced.

If these requirements have not been met, the status is not recovered and Articles 8.4.4. to 8.4.9. apply as relevant.

Recommendations for the importation of bovids, sheep and goats, camelids or cervids for breeding or rearing

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals of the relevant category:

1) showed no clinical sign of *infection* with *Brucella* on the day of shipment;
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2) originate from:
   a) a country or zone free from infection with Brucella as relevant;
   OR
   b) a herd or flock free from infection with Brucella and all sexually mature animals were tested for infection with Brucella with negative results within 30 days prior to shipment;
   OR
   c) a herd or flock not qualified free from infection with Brucella:
      i) in which no case has been reported during the year prior to shipment;
      ii) the animals were isolated for 30 days prior to shipment and all animals in isolation were tested for infection with Brucella within that period with negative results; in the case of post-parturient females, the test was carried out at least 30 days after giving birth.

Article 8.4.15.

Recommendations for the importation of pigs for breeding or rearing

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the pigs:

1) showed no clinical sign of infection with Brucella on the day of shipment;
2) EITHER
   a) originate from a herd free from infection with Brucella;
   OR
   b) originate from a herd in which a statistically valid sample of the breeding pigs, selected in accordance with Article 1.4.4., was tested within 30 days prior to shipment, demonstrating the absence of infection with Brucella;
   OR
   c) were isolated for 30 days prior to shipment and all pigs in isolation were tested for infection with Brucella within that period with negative results.

Article 8.4.16.

Recommendations for the importation of animals for slaughter

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of infection with Brucella on the day of shipment;
2) originate from a country, zone, herd or flock free from infection with Brucella;

OR

3) are not being culled as part of an eradication programme against Brucella infection and in the case of sexually mature bovids, sheep and goats, camelids or cervids, were tested for infection with Brucella with negative results within 30 days prior to shipment.

Article 8.4.17.

Recommendations for the importation of semen

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor animals showed no clinical sign of infection with Brucella on the day of collection of the semen;
2) the donor animals were not vaccinated against infection with *Brucella* and either:
   a) were kept in an *artificial insemination centre* complying with Chapter 4.5. and the semen was collected and processed in accordance with Chapter 4.6.;
   OR
   b) were kept in a *herd or flock free from infection with Brucella* and tested every six months for infection with *Brucella* with negative results, and the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Articles 4.5.3. to 4.5.5., and Articles 4.6.5. to 4.6.7.

**Article 8.4.18.**

**Recommendations for the importation of embryos**

*Veterinary Authorities* of importing countries should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

1) the donor animals showed no clinical signs of infection with *Brucella* on the day of collection;
2) the donor animals were not vaccinated against infection with *Brucella* in the past three years and either:
   a) were kept in a country or zone free from infection with *Brucella*, as relevant;
   OR
   b) were kept in a herd or flock free from infection with *Brucella* and tested every six months for infection with *Brucella* with negative results;
3) the embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.7. to 4.9.

**Article 8.4.19.**

**Recommendations for the importation of fresh meat and meat products other than mentioned in Article 8.4.2.**

*Veterinary Authorities* of importing countries should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that the meat and meat products come from animals:

1) which have been subjected to ante-and post-mortem inspections as described in Chapter 6.3.;
2) which:
   a) originate from a country or zone free from infection with *Brucella*, as relevant;
   OR
   b) originate from a herd or flock free from infection with *Brucella*;
   OR
   c) have not been culled as part of an eradication programme against infection with *Brucella*.

**Article 8.4.20.**

**Recommendations for the importation of milk and milk products**

*Veterinary Authorities* of importing countries should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that the milk or the milk products:

1) have been derived from animals in a country, zone, herd or flock free from infection with *Brucella* as relevant;

OR

2) were subjected to pasteurisation or any combination of control measures with equivalent performance as described in the Codex Alimentarius Code of Hygienic Practice for Milk and Milk Products.
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Article 8.4.21.

Recommendations for importation of wool and hair

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that these products:

1) have not been derived from animals culled as part of an eradication programme against *infection* with *Brucella*;

OR

2) have been processed to ensure the destruction of *Brucella*.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2018.
CHAPTER 8.5.

INFECTION WITH ECHINOCOCCUS GRANULOSUS

Article 8.5.1.

General provisions

Echinococcus granulosus (E. granulosus) is a widely distributed cestode (tapeworm). The adult worms occur in the small intestine of canids (definitive host). Larval stages (hydatid) occur in tissues of liver, lung and other organs of other mammals (intermediate host), including humans. Infection with the larval stage of the parasite in the intermediate host, referred to as ‘cystic echinococcosis’ or ‘hydatidosis’, is associated with significant economic losses in livestock production and causes a major disease burden in humans.

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, infection with E. granulosus is defined as a zoonotic parasitic infection of canids, ungulates and macropod marsupials with E. granulosus (ovine, bovine, cervid, camelid and porcine strains).

For the purposes of this chapter, offal is defined as internal organs of ungulates and macropod marsupials.

Transmission of E. granulosus to canids occurs through ingestion of hydatid-infected offal.

Infection in intermediate hosts, as well as in humans, occurs by ingestion of E. granulosus eggs from contaminated environments. In humans, infection may also occur following contact with infected canids or by consumption of food or water contaminated with E. granulosus eggs from canine faeces.

Infection in humans can be prevented by good food hygiene and personal hygiene, community health education and preventing infection of canids. Collaboration between the Competent Authority and the public health authority is an essential component in preventing and controlling E. granulosus transmission.

This chapter provides recommendations for prevention of, control of, and surveillance for infection with E. granulosus in dogs and livestock.

When authorising the import or transit of the commodities covered in this chapter, with the exception of those listed in Article 8.5.2., Veterinary Authorities should apply the recommendations in this chapter.

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 8.5.2.

Safe commodities

When authorising import or transit of the following commodities of livestock, Veterinary Authorities should not require any E. granulosus-related conditions regardless of the status of the animal population of the exporting country or zone:

1) skeletal muscle meat and skeletal muscle meat products;
2) processed fat;
3) casings;
4) milk and milk products;
5) hides and skins;
6) semen, oocytes and embryos.

Article 8.5.3.

Programmes for the prevention and control of infection with E. granulosus

In order to prevent and control infection with E. granulosus, the Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority should carry out community awareness programmes about the risk factors associated with transmission of E. granulosus, the
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role of dogs (including stray dogs) and the importance of responsible dog ownership. The Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority should also implement the following prevention and control measures.

1) Prevention of infection in dogs (owned and stray)
   a) Dogs should not be fed offal unless it has been treated in accordance with Article 8.5.6.
   b) Dogs should be prevented from scavenging on dead ungulates and macropod marsupials. Dead animals should be disposed of in accordance with Article 4.12.6.
   c) The Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority should ensure that slaughterhouses/abattoirs have implemented measures that prevent access of dogs to the premises, and to animal carcasses and waste containing offal.
   d) When livestock cannot be slaughtered in a slaughterhouse/abattoir and are slaughtered on-farm, dogs should be prevented from having access to raw offal, and not be fed offal unless it has been treated in accordance with Article 8.5.6.

2) Control of infection in dogs (owned and stray)
   a) For control of stray dog populations, the Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority should implement relevant aspects of Chapter 7.7.
   b) Dogs known to be infected or suspected of having access to raw offal or in contact with livestock should be dewormed at least every 4-6 weeks with praziquantel (5 mg/kg) or another cestocidal product with comparable efficacy. Where possible, faeces excreted up to 72 hours post treatment should be disposed of by incineration or burial.
   c) In areas of persistent transmission, the Veterinary Authority and other Competent Authority should collaborate to identify the possible origins of the infection, and review and amend the control programme, as appropriate.

3) Control of infection in livestock
   a) The Veterinary Authority should ensure that all slaughtered livestock are subjected to post-mortem meat inspection in accordance with Chapter 6.2., including inspection of offal for hydatids.
   b) When hydatids are detected during post-mortem meat inspection:
      i) offal containing hydatids should be disposed of in accordance with Article 4.12.6., or treated in accordance with Article 8.5.6.;
      ii) an investigation should be carried out by the Veterinary Authority and other Competent Authority to identify the possible origin of the infection, and review and amend, as appropriate, the control programme.

Article 8.5.4.

Surveillance and monitoring for infection with E. granulosus

An animal identification and animal traceability system should be implemented in accordance with Chapters 4.1. and 4.2.

1) Monitoring in dogs
   a) Monitoring for infection with E. granulosus in dogs should be undertaken at regular intervals as it is an essential activity for assessing the risk of transmission to dog populations and for evaluating the success of control programmes. This can be achieved through testing of faeces from dogs, and canine faecal samples from the environment.
   b) Monitoring strategies should be appropriate to local conditions, in particular where large populations of stray dogs and wild canids exist. Under these circumstances testing of environmental samples (faeces, soil) may provide a useful indicator of infection pressure.

2) Surveillance in slaughterhouses/abattoirs
   a) The Veterinary Services should carry out systematic surveillance for hydatids in livestock in slaughterhouses/abattoirs.
   b) Data collected should be used for the design or amendment of control programmes.

Veterinary Authorities should use information from public health authorities on cases of human hydatidosis in initial design and any subsequent modification of surveillance and monitoring programmes.
Article 8.5.5.

Recommendations for the importation of dogs and wild canids from an infected country

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:
1) the animal has been treated between 24 and 72 hours prior to embarkation with praziquantel (5 mg/kg), or another cestocidal product with comparable efficacy against intestinal forms of *E. granulosus*;
2) adequate precautions have been taken to avoid reinfection of the animal between treatment and embarkation.

Article 8.5.6.

Procedures for the inactivation of *E. granulosus* hydatids in offal

For the inactivation of *E. granulosus* hydatids present in offal, one of the following procedures should be used:
1) heat treatment to a core temperature of at least 80°C for ten minutes or an equivalent time and temperature;
2) freezing to minus 20°C or below for at least two days.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1982.
CHAPTER 8.6.

INFECTION WITH ECHINOCOCCUS MULTILOCULARIS

Article 8.6.1.

General provisions

*Echinococcus multilocularis* (*E. multilocularis*) is a cestode (tapeworm) which is widespread in some parts of the Northern Hemisphere, and it is maintained mainly in wild animal populations. The adult worms occur in the small intestine of canids (definitive hosts), particularly foxes. Larval stages (metacestode) occur in tissues of liver and other organs of other mammals (commonly rodents) (intermediate hosts). Humans are infected occasionally with the larval stage, which causes severe disease, referred to as ‘alveolar echinococcosis’. Infection does not cause discernible health impacts in livestock.

Foxes and some other wild canids are the most important definitive hosts in maintaining the cycle at the wildlife-human interface through contaminating both rural and urban environments. Dogs may also act as important and efficient definitive hosts in both rural and urban environments, providing an important potential source for human infections. Even though the potential role of felids in transmission of infection to humans cannot be excluded, their epidemiological role is considered negligible. Pigs may become infected but the parasite remains infertile; therefore, they have no role in transmission of the parasite.

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, infection with *E. multilocularis* is defined as a zoonotic parasitic infection of domestic and wild canids, and rodents.

Transmission of *E. multilocularis* to canids occurs through ingestion of metacestode-infected organs from a range of wild small mammals.

Infection in intermediate hosts, as well as in humans, occurs by ingestion of *E. multilocularis* eggs from contaminated environments. In humans, infection may also occur following contact with infected definitive hosts or by consumption of food or water contaminated with faeces of canids.

Prevention of infection in humans is difficult, particularly in areas with a high infection pressure maintained by rural and urban foxes. Good food hygiene and personal hygiene, community health education and preventing infection of dogs reduces the risk of human infection. Good communication and collaboration between the Competent Authority and public health authorities is an important component in monitoring the extent of infection with *E. multilocularis* in human and animal populations.

This chapter provides recommendations for prevention, control and monitoring of infection with *E. multilocularis* in dogs, and monitoring in wild canids.

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 8.6.2.

Safe commodities

When authorising import or transit of any commodities of livestock, Veterinary Authorities should not require any related conditions regardless of the status of the animal population of the exporting country or zone.

Article 8.6.3.

Programmes for the prevention and control of infection with *E. multilocularis* in owned and stray dogs

In order to achieve success in the prevention and control of infection with *E. multilocularis*, the Competent Authority should carry out community awareness programmes to inform people of the risk factors associated with transmission of
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*E. multilocularis.* Such programmes should include information on the importance of echinococciosis in animals and humans, the role of foxes, other wild canids, and dogs, the need to implement preventive and control measures, and the importance of responsible dog ownership.

Whenever the epidemiological situation indicates that a control programme is necessary, the following measures should be undertaken:

1) *Owned dogs* should not be allowed to roam freely unless treated in accordance with point 3).
2) For control of *stray dog* populations, the *Competent Authority* should ensure compliance with relevant aspects of Chapter 7.7.
3) Dogs known to be infected should immediately be treated with praziquantel (5 mg/kg) or another cestocidal product with a comparable efficacy; dogs suspected of having access to rodents or other small mammals should be treated every 21-26 days. Where possible, faeces excreted up to 72 hours post treatment should be disposed of by incineration or burial.

**Article 8.6.4.**

**Monitoring for infection with *E. multilocularis***

1) Monitoring in foxes and other wild canids
   a) Monitoring for *infection* with *E. multilocularis* in foxes and other wild canids should be undertaken as it is an essential component for assessing the prevalence of *infection*.
   b) Monitoring strategies should be appropriate to local conditions, in particular where large populations of definitive hosts exist. Under these circumstances testing of environmental samples (faeces) may provide a useful indicator of *infection* pressure.

2) Surveillance in slaughterhouses/abattoirs
   As an indicator of the presence of the parasite in the environment, *Veterinary Services* should consider carrying out targeted surveillance for larval lesions of *E. multilocularis* in livers of pigs raised in outdoor conditions.

*Veterinary Authorities* should use information from public health authorities on cases of human *infection*, in the initial design and any subsequent modification of *surveillance* and monitoring programmes.

**Article 8.6.5.**

**Recommendations for the importation of dogs and wild canids from an infected country**

*Veterinary Authorities of importing countries* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

1) the animal has been treated between 24 and 72 hours prior to embarkation with praziquantel (5 mg/kg), or another cestocidal product with a comparable efficacy against intestinal forms of *E. multilocularis*;
2) adequate precautions have been taken to avoid reinfection of the animal between treatment and embarkation.

**NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1982.**
CHAPTER 8.7.

INFECTION WITH EPIZOOTIC HEMORRHAGIC DISEASE VIRUS

Article 8.7.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, epizootic hemorrhagic disease (EHD) is defined as an infection of cervids and bovids with epizootic hemorrhagic disease virus (EHDV) that is transmitted by Culicoides vectors.

The following defines the occurrence of infection with EHDV:

1) EHDV has been isolated from a sample from a cervid or bovid; or
2) antigen or ribonucleic acid specific to EHDV has been identified in samples from a cervid or bovid showing clinical signs consistent with EHD, or epidemiologically linked to a suspected or confirmed case; or
3) antibodies to structural or nonstructural proteins of EHDV that are not a consequence of vaccination have been identified in a cervid or bovid that either shows clinical signs consistent with EHD, or is epidemiologically linked to a suspected or confirmed case.

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the infective period for EHD shall be 60 days.

In the absence of clinical disease in a country or zone, its EHD status should be determined by an ongoing surveillance programme in accordance with Article 8.7.14.

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 8.7.2.

Safe commodities

When authorising import or transit of the following commodities, Veterinary Authorities should not require any EHD-related conditions regardless of the EHD status of the ruminant population of the exporting country:

1) milk and milk products;
2) meat and meat products;
3) hides, skins, antlers and hooves;
4) wool and fibre.

Article 8.7.3.

Country or zone free from EHD

1) Historical freedom as described in Chapter 1.4. does not apply to EHD.

2) A country or a zone may be considered free from EHD when infection with EHDV is notifiable in the entire country, importation of animals and their semen or embryos is carried out in accordance with this chapter and either:
   a) a surveillance programme in accordance with Article 8.7.14. has demonstrated no evidence of transmission of EHDV in the country or zone during the past two years; or
   b) an ongoing surveillance programme in accordance with Article 8.7.14. and Chapter 4.3. has found no Culicoides for at least two years in the country or zone.

3) A country or zone free from EHD in which ongoing vector surveillance has found no evidence of Culicoides will not lose its free status through the introduction of seropositive or infective animals, or semen or embryos from countries or zones infected with EHDV.
4) A country or zone free from EHD in which Culicoides are present will not lose its free status through the introduction of seropositive animals, or semen or embryos provided that:
   a) an ongoing surveillance programme has focused on transmission of EHDV in domestic bovids and farmed cervids and has demonstrated no evidence of transmission in the country or zone; or
   b) the animals, semen and embryos were introduced in accordance with this chapter.

Article 8.7.4.

Zone seasonally free from EHD

A seasonally free zone is a part of an infected country or an infected zone in which for part of a year, surveillance demonstrates no evidence either of transmission of EHDV or of adult Culicoides.

For the application of Articles 8.7.7., 8.7.9. and 8.7.11., the seasonally free period is taken to commence the day following the last evidence of transmission of EHDV (as demonstrated by the surveillance programme), and of the cessation of activity of adult Culicoides.

For the application of Articles 8.7.7., 8.7.9. and 8.7.11., the seasonally free period is taken to conclude either:
   1) at least 28 days before the earliest date that historical data show vector activity may recommence; or
   2) immediately if current climatic data or data from a surveillance programme indicate an earlier resurgence of activity of adult Culicoides.

A seasonally free zone in which ongoing surveillance has found no evidence that Culicoides are present will not lose its free status through the introduction of vaccinated, seropositive or infective animals, or semen or embryos from countries or zones infected with EHDV.

Article 8.7.5.

Country or zone infected with EHDV

For the purposes of this chapter, a country or zone infected with EHDV is one that does not fulfil the requirements to qualify as either a country or zone free from EHD or a zone seasonally free from EHD.

Article 8.7.6.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones free from EHD

For bovids and cervids

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the animals showed no clinical sign of EHD on the day of shipment;

2) the animals were kept in a country or zone free from EHD since birth or for at least 60 days prior to shipment; or

3) the animals were kept in a country or zone free from EHD for at least 28 days, then were subjected, with negative results, to a serological test to detect antibody to the EHDV group and remained in the free country or zone until shipment; or

4) the animals were kept in a country or zone free from EHD for at least 14 days, then were subjected, with negative results, to an agent identification test and remained in the free country or zone until shipment; or

5) the animals:
   a) were kept in a country or zone free from EHD for at least seven days;
   b) were vaccinated at least 60 days before the introduction into the free country or zone against all serotypes demonstrated to be present in the source population through a surveillance programme as described in Article 8.7.14.;
   c) were identified as having been vaccinated;
   d) remained in the free country or zone until shipment;
AND

6) if the animals were exported from a free zone within an infected country either:
   a) did not transit through an infected zone during transportation to the place of shipment; or
   b) were protected from attacks from *Culicoides* at all times when transiting through an infected zone.

Article 8.7.7.

Recommendations for importation from zones seasonally free from EHD

For bovids and cervids

*Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of EHD on the day of shipment;

2) were kept in a *zone* seasonally free from EHD during the seasonally free period since birth or for at least 60 days prior to shipment; or

3) were kept in a *zone* seasonally free from EHD during the seasonally free period for at least 28 days prior to shipment, and were subjected during the residence period in the *zone* to a serological test to detect antibodies to the EHDV group with negative results, carried out at least 28 days after the commencement of the residence period; or

4) were kept in a *zone* seasonally free from EHD during the seasonally free period for at least 14 days prior to shipment, and were subjected during the residence period in the *zone* to an agent identification test with negative results, carried out at least 14 days after the commencement of the residence period; or

5) were kept in a *zone* seasonally free from EHD during the seasonally free period and were vaccinated, at least 60 days before the introduction into the free country or *zone*, against all serotypes the presence of which in the source population has been demonstrated through a *surveillance* programme in accordance with Article 8.7.14. and were identified as having been vaccinated and remained in the free country or *zone* until shipment;

AND

6) either:
   a) did not transit through an infected *zone* during transportation to the place of shipment; or
   b) were protected from attacks from *Culicoides* at all times when transiting through an infected *zone*; or
   c) were vaccinated in accordance with point 5) above.

Article 8.7.8.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones infected with EHDV

For bovids and cervids

*Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of EHD on the day of shipment;

2) were protected from attacks from *Culicoides* in a *vector-protected establishment* for at least 60 days prior to shipment and during transportation to the *place of shipment*; or

3) were protected from attacks from *Culicoides* in a *vector-protected establishment* for at least 28 days prior to shipment and during transportation to the *place of shipment*, and were subjected during that period to a serological test to detect antibodies to the EHDV group, with negative results, carried out at least 28 days after introduction into the *vector-protected establishment*; or

4) were protected from attacks from *Culicoides* in a *vector-protected establishment* for at least 14 days prior to shipment and during transportation to the *place of shipment*, and were subjected during that period to an agent identification test with negative results, carried out at least 14 days after introduction into the *vector-protected establishment*; or

5) were demonstrated to have antibodies for at least 60 days prior to dispatch against all serotypes whose presence has been demonstrated in the source population through a *surveillance* programme in accordance with Article 8.7.14.
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Article 8.7.9.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones free or zones seasonally free from EHD

For semen of bovids and cervids

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor males:
   a) showed no clinical sign of EHD on the day of collection;
   b) were kept in a country or zone free from EHD or in a seasonally free zone during the seasonally free period for at least 60 days before commencement of, and during, collection of the semen; or
   c) were subjected to a serological test to detect antibodies to the EHDV group, between 28 and 60 days after the last collection for this consignment, with negative results; or
   d) were subjected to an agent identification test on blood samples collected at commencement and conclusion of, and at least every 7 days (virus isolation test) or at least every 28 days (PCR test) during, semen collection for this consignment, with negative results;

2) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.

Article 8.7.10.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones infected with EHDV

For semen of bovids and cervids

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor males:
   a) showed no clinical sign of EHD on the day of collection;
   b) were kept in a vector-protected establishment for at least 60 days before commencement of, and during, collection of the semen; or
   c) were subjected to a serological test to detect antibodies to the EHDV group, with negative results, at least every 60 days throughout the collection period and between 28 and 60 days after the final collection for this consignment; or
   d) were subjected to an agent identification test on blood samples collected at commencement and conclusion of, and at least every 7 days (virus isolation test) or at least every 28 days (PCR test) during, semen collection for this consignment, with negative results;

2) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.

Article 8.7.11.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones free or zones seasonally free from EHD

For embryos of bovids and cervids

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor females:
   a) showed no clinical sign of EHD on the day of collection;
   b) were kept in a country or zone free from EHD or in a seasonally free zone during the seasonally free period for at least the 60 days prior to, and at the time of, collection of the embryos; or
   c) were subjected to a serological test to detect antibodies to the EHDV group, between 28 and 60 days after collection, with negative results; or
   d) were subjected to an agent identification test on a blood sample taken on the day of collection, with negative results;

2) the embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.7., 4.8. and 4.9., as relevant.
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Article 8.7.12.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones infected with EHDV

For embryos of bovids and cervids

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor females:
   a) showed no clinical sign of EHD on the day of collection;
   b) were kept in a vector-protected establishment for at least 60 days before commencement of, and during, collection of the embryos; or
   c) were subjected to a serological test to detect antibodies to the EHDV group, between 28 and 60 days after collection, with negative results; or
   d) were subjected to an agent identification test on a blood sample taken on the day of collection, with negative results;

2) the embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.7., 4.8. and 4.9., as relevant.

Article 8.7.13.

Protecting animals from Culicoides attacks

1) Vector-protected establishment or facility

   The establishment or facility should be approved by the Veterinary Authority and the means of protection should at least comprise the following:

   a) appropriate physical barriers at entry and exit points, such as double-door entry-exit system;
   b) openings of the building are vector screened with mesh of appropriate gauge impregnated regularly with an approved insecticide in accordance with the manufacturers’ instructions;
   c) vector surveillance and control within and around the building;
   d) measures to limit or eliminate breeding sites for vectors in the vicinity of the establishment or facility;
   e) standard operating procedures, including description of back-up and alarm systems, for operation of the establishment or facility and transport of animals to the place of loading.

2) During transportation

   When transporting animals through countries or zones infected with EHDV, Veterinary Authorities should require strategies to protect animals from attacks from Culicoides during transport., taking into account the local ecology of the vector.

   a) Transport by road

      Risk management strategies may include:

       i) treating animals with insect repellents prior to and during transportation;
       ii) loading, transporting and unloading animals at times of low vector activity (i.e. bright sunshine, low temperature);
       iii) ensuring vehicles do not stop en route during dawn or dusk, or overnight, unless the animals are held behind insect-proof netting;
       iv) darkening the interior of the vehicle, for example by covering the roof or sides of vehicles with shade cloth;
       v) surveillance for vectors at common stopping and unloading points to gain information on seasonal variations;
       vi) using historical information or information from appropriately verified and validated EHD epidemiological models to identify low risk ports and transport routes.
b) Transport by air

Prior to loading the animals, the crates, containers or jet stalls should be sprayed with an insecticide approved in the country of dispatch.

Crates, containers or jet stalls in which animals are being transported and the cargo hold of the aircraft should be sprayed with an approved insecticide when the doors have been closed and prior to take-off. All possible insect harbourage should be treated. The spray containers should be retained for inspection on arrival.

In addition, during any stopover in countries or zones not free from EHD, prior to the opening of any aircraft door and until all doors are closed, netting of appropriate gauge impregnated with an approved insecticide should be placed over crates, containers or jet stalls.

Article 8.7.14.

Surveillance

This article is complementary to Chapter 1.4. and, for vectors, complementary to Chapter 1.5. and outlines the principles for surveillance for EHD applicable to Member Countries seeking to determine the EHD status of a country or a zone.

EHD is a vector-borne infection transmitted by different species of Culicoides in a range of ecosystems.

An important component of the epidemiology of EHD is the capacity of its vector, which provides a measure of disease risk that incorporates vector competence, abundance, seasonal incidence, biting rates, survival rates and extrinsic incubation period. However, methods and tools for measuring some of these vector factors remain to be developed, particularly in a field context. Therefore, surveillance for EHD should focus on transmission of EHDV in domestic bovids and farmed cervids.

The purpose of surveillance is the detection of transmission of EHDV in a country or zone and not determination of the status of an individual animal or herd.

The impact and epidemiology of EHD differ widely in different regions of the world and it is not appropriate to provide specific recommendations for all situations. Member Countries should provide scientific data that explain the epidemiology of EHD in the country or zone concerned and adapt the surveillance strategies for defining their status to the local conditions. There is considerable latitude available to Member Countries to justify their status at an acceptable level of confidence.

Surveillance for EHD should be in the form of a continuing programme.

General provisions on surveillance for arthropod vectors are in Chapter 1.5.

More specific approaches to surveillance for Culicoides transmitted Orbivirus infections are described in Chapters 8.3. and 12.1. Passive surveillance for clinical cases of EHD in wild cervids can be a useful tool for detecting disease, based on lesions of haemorrhagic disease combined with appropriate diagnostic tests.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 2015; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2016.
CHAPTER 8.8.

INFECTION WITH FOOT AND MOUTH DISEASE VIRUS

Article 8.8.1.

1) Many different species belonging to diverse taxonomic orders are known to be susceptible to infection with foot and mouth disease virus (FMDV). Their epidemiological significance depends upon the degree of susceptibility, the husbandry system, the density and extent of populations and the contacts between them. Amongst Camelidae, only Bactrian camels (Camelus bactrianus) are sufficiently susceptible to have potential for epidemiological significance. Dromedaries (Camelus dromedarius) are not susceptible to infection with FMDV while South American camelids are not considered to be of epidemiological significance.

2) For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, foot and mouth disease (FMD) is defined as an infection of animals of the suborder ruminantia and of the family suidae of the order Artiodactyla, and Camelus bactrianus with FMDV.

3) The following defines the occurrence of infection with FMDV:
   a) FMDV has been isolated from a sample from an animal listed in point 2); or
   b) viral antigen or viral ribonucleic acid specific to FMDV has been identified in a sample from an animal listed in point 2), showing clinical signs consistent with FMD, or epidemiologically linked to a suspected or confirmed outbreak of FMD, or giving cause for suspicion of previous association or contact with FMDV; or
   c) antibodies to structural or nonstructural proteins of FMDV, that are not a consequence of vaccination, have been identified in a sample from an animal listed in point 2), showing clinical signs consistent with FMD, or epidemiologically linked to a suspected or confirmed outbreak of FMD, or giving cause for suspicion of previous association or contact with FMDV.

4) Transmission of FMDV in a vaccinated population is demonstrated by change in virological or serological evidence indicative of recent infection, even in the absence of clinical signs.

5) For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the incubation period of FMD shall be 14 days.

6) Infection with FMDV can give rise to disease of variable severity and to FMDV transmission. FMDV may persist in the pharynx and associated lymph nodes of ruminants for a variable but limited period of time beyond 28 days. Such animals have been termed carriers. However, the only persistently infected species from which transmission of FMDV has been proven is the African buffalo (Syncerus caffer).

7) This chapter deals not only with the occurrence of clinical signs caused by FMDV, but also with the presence of infection with FMDV and transmission, in the absence of clinical signs.

8) Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 8.8.2.

FMD free country or zone where vaccination is not practised

In defining a zone where vaccination is not practised the principles of Chapter 4.3. should be followed. Susceptible animals in the FMD free country or zone where vaccination is not practised should be protected by the application of biosecurity measures that prevent the entry of FMDV into the free country or zone. Taking into consideration physical or geographical barriers with any neighbouring infected country or zone, these measures may include a protection zone.

To qualify for inclusion in the list of FMD free countries or zones where vaccination is not practised, a Member Country should:

1) have a record of regular and prompt animal disease reporting;
2) send a declaration to the OIE stating that during the past 12 months, within the proposed FMD free country or zone:
   a) there has been no case of FMD;
   b) no vaccination against FMD has been carried out;
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3) supply documented evidence that for the past 12 months:
   a) surveillance in accordance with Articles 8.8.40. to 8.8.42. has been implemented to detect clinical signs of
      FMD and demonstrate no evidence of:
         i) infection with FMDV in unvaccinated animals;
         ii) FMDV transmission in previously vaccinated animals when the FMD free country or zone where
             vaccination is practised is seeking to become one where vaccination is not practised;
   b) regulatory measures for the prevention and early detection of FMD have been implemented;

4) describe in detail and supply documented evidence that for the past 12 months the following have been properly
   implemented and supervised:
   a) in the case of a FMD free zone, the boundaries of the proposed FMD free zone;
   b) the boundaries and measures of a protection zone, if applicable;
   c) the system for preventing the entry of FMDV into the proposed FMD free country or zone;
   d) the control of the movement of susceptible animals, their meat and other products into the proposed FMD free
      country or zone, in particular the measures described in Articles 8.8.8., 8.8.9. and 8.8.12.;
   e) no vaccinated animal has been introduced except in accordance with Articles 8.8.8. and 8.8.9.

The Member Country or the proposed free zone will be included in the list of FMD free countries or zones where
vaccination is not practised only after the submitted evidence, based on the provisions of Chapter 1.11., has been
accepted by the OIE.

Retention on the list requires that the information in points 2), 3) and 4) above be re-submitted annually and changes in
the epidemiological situation or other significant events including those relevant to points 3 b) and 4) should be reported
to the OIE in accordance with the requirements in Chapter 1.1.

Provided the conditions of points 1) to 4) are fulfilled, the status of a country or zone will not be affected by applying
official emergency vaccination to FMD susceptible animals in zoological collections in the face of a FMD threat identified
by the Veterinary Authorities, provided that the following conditions are met:
   – the zoological collection has the primary purpose of exhibiting animals or preserving rare species, has been
     identified, including the boundaries of the facility, and is included in the country's contingency plan for FMD;
   – appropriate biosecurity measures are in place, including effective separation from other susceptible domestic
     populations or wildlife;
   – the animals are identified as belonging to the collection and any movements can be traced;
   – the vaccine used complies with the standards described in the Terrestrial Manual;
   – vaccination is conducted under the supervision of the Veterinary Authority;
   – the zoological collection is placed under surveillance for at least 12 months after vaccination.

In the event of the application for the status of a FMD free zone where vaccination is not practised to be assigned to a
new zone adjacent to another FMD free zone where vaccination is not practised, it should be stated if the new zone is
being merged with the adjacent zone to become one enlarged zone. If the two zones remain separate, details should be
provided on the control measures to be applied for the maintenance of the status of the separate zones and particularly
on the identification and the control of the movement of animals between the zones of the same status in accordance
with Chapter 4.3.

Article 8.8.3.

FMD free country or zone where vaccination is practised

In defining a zone where vaccination is practised the principles of Chapter 4.3. should be followed.

Susceptible animals in the FMD free country or zone where vaccination is practised should be protected by the
application of biosecurity measures that prevent the entry of FMDV into the free country or zone. Taking into
consideration physical or geographical barriers with any neighbouring infected country or zone, these measures may
include a protection zone.

Based on the epidemiology of FMD in the country, it may be decided to vaccinate only a defined subpopulation
comprised of certain species or other subsets of the total susceptible population.
To qualify for inclusion in the list of FMD free countries or zones where vaccination is practised, a Member Country should:

1) have a record of regular and prompt animal disease reporting;

2) send a declaration to the OIE stating that, based on the surveillance described in point 3), within the proposed FMD free country or zone:
   a) there has been no case of FMD during the past two years;
   b) there has been no evidence of FMDV transmission during the past 12 months;

3) supply documented evidence that:
   a) surveillance in accordance with Articles 8.8.40. to 8.8.42. has been implemented to detect clinical signs of FMD and demonstrate no evidence of:
      i) infection with FMDV in unvaccinated animals;
      ii) FMDV transmission in vaccinated animals;
   b) regulatory measures for the prevention and early detection of FMD have been implemented;
   c) compulsory systematic vaccination in the target population has been carried out to achieve adequate vaccination coverage and population immunity;
   d) vaccination has been carried out following appropriate vaccine strain selection;

4) describe in detail and supply documented evidence that the following have been properly implemented and supervised:
   a) in case of FMD free zone, the boundaries of the proposed FMD free zone;
   b) the boundaries and measures of a protection zone, if applicable;
   c) the system for preventing the entry of FMDV into the proposed FMD free country or zone, in particular the measures described in Articles 8.8.8., 8.8.9. and 8.8.12.;
   d) the control of the movement of susceptible animals and their products into the proposed FMD free country or zone.

The Member Country or the proposed free zone will be included in the list of FMD free countries or zones where vaccination is practised only after the submitted evidence, based on the provisions of Chapter 1.11., has been accepted by the OIE.

Retention on the list requires that the information in points 2), 3) and 4) above be re-submitted annually and changes in the epidemiological situation or other significant events including those relevant to points 3 b) and 4) should be reported to the OIE in accordance with the requirements in Chapter 1.1.

If a Member Country that meets the requirements of a FMD free country or zone where vaccination is practised wishes to change its status to FMD free country or zone where vaccination is not practised, it should notify the OIE in advance of the intended date of cessation of vaccination and apply for the new status within 24 months of the cessation. The status of this country or zone remains unchanged until compliance with Article 8.8.2. is approved by the OIE. If the dossier for the new status is not provided within 24 months then the status of the country or zone as being free with vaccination will be suspended. If the country does not comply with requirements of Article 8.8.2., evidence should be provided within three months that it complies with Article 8.8.3. Otherwise the status will be withdrawn.

In the event of the application for the status of a FMD free zone where vaccination is practised to be assigned to a new zone adjacent to another FMD free zone where vaccination is practised, it should be stated if the new zone is being merged with the adjacent zone to become one enlarged zone. If the two zones remain separate, details should be provided on the control measures to be applied for the maintenance of the status of the separate zones and particularly on the identification and the control of the movement of animals between the zones of the same status in accordance with Chapter 4.3.

Article 8.8.4.

FMD free compartment

A FMD free compartment can be established in either a FMD free country or zone or in an infected country or zone. In defining such a compartment the principles of Chapters 4.3. and 4.4. should be followed. Susceptible animals in the FMD free compartment should be separated from any other susceptible animals by the application of an effective biosecurity management system.
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A Member Country wishing to establish a FMD free compartment should:

1) have a record of regular and prompt animal disease reporting and, if not FMD free, have an official control programme and a surveillance system for FMD in place in accordance with Articles 8.8.40. to 8.8.42. that allows knowledge of the prevalence, distribution and characteristics of FMD in the country or zone;

2) declare for the FMD free compartment that:
   a) there has been no case of FMD during the past 12 months;
   b) no evidence of infection with FMDV has been found during the past 12 months;
   c) vaccination against FMD is prohibited;
   d) no animal vaccinated against FMD within the past 12 months is in the compartment;
   e) animals, semen, embryos and animal products may only enter the compartment in accordance with relevant articles in this chapter;
   f) documented evidence shows that surveillance in accordance with Articles 8.8.40. to 8.8.42. is in operation;
   g) an animal identification and traceability system in accordance with Chapters 4.1. and 4.2. is in place;

3) describe in detail:
   a) the animal subpopulation in the compartment;
   b) the biosecurity plan to mitigate the risks identified by the surveillance carried out in accordance with point 1).

The compartment should be approved by the Veterinary Authority. The first approval should only be granted when no case of FMD has occurred within a ten-kilometre radius of the compartment during the past three months.

Article 8.8.5.

FMD infected country or zone

For the purposes of this chapter, a FMD infected country or zone is one that does not fulfil the requirements to qualify as either FMD free where vaccination is not practised or FMD free where vaccination is practised.

Article 8.8.6.

Establishment of a containment zone within a FMD free country or zone

In the event of limited outbreaks within a FMD free country or zone, including within a protection zone, with or without vaccination, a single containment zone, which includes all outbreaks, may be established for the purpose of minimising the impact on the entire country or zone.

For this to be achieved and for the Member Country to take full advantage of this process, the Veterinary Authority should submit as soon as possible to the OIE, in support of the application, documented evidence that:

1) on suspicion, a strict standstill has been imposed on the suspected establishments and in the country or zone animal movement control has been imposed and effective controls on the movement of other commodities mentioned in this chapter are in place;

2) on confirmation, an additional standstill of susceptible animals has been imposed in the entire containment zone and the movement controls described in point 1) have been reinforced;

3) the definitive boundaries of the containment zone have been established after an epidemiological investigation (trace-back, trace-forward) has demonstrated that the outbreaks are epidemiologically related and limited in number and geographic distribution;

4) investigations into the likely source of the outbreaks have been carried out;

5) a stamping-out policy, with or without the use of emergency vaccination, has been applied;

6) no new cases have been found in the containment zone within a minimum of two incubation periods as defined in Article 8.8.1. after the application of a stamping-out policy to the last detected case;

7) the susceptible domestic and captive wild animal populations within the containment zone are clearly identified as belonging to the containment zone;

8) surveillance in accordance with Articles 8.8.40. to 8.8.42. is in place in the containment zone and in the rest of the country or zone;

9) measures that prevent the spread of FMDV to the rest of the country or zone, taking into consideration physical and geographical barriers, are in place.
The free status of the areas outside the containment zone is suspended while the containment zone is being established. The free status of these areas may be reinstated irrespective of the provisions of Article 8.8.7., once the containment zone has been approved by the OIE as complying with points 1) to 9) above. Commodities from susceptible animals for international trade should be identified as to their origin, either from inside or outside the containment zone.

In the event of recurrence of infection with FMDV in unvaccinated animals or FMDV transmission in vaccinated animals in the containment zone, the approval of the containment zone is withdrawn and the FMD status of the whole country or zone is suspended until the relevant requirements of Article 8.8.7. are fulfilled.

The recovery of the FMD free status of the containment zone should be achieved within 12 months of its approval and follow the provisions of Article 8.8.7.

Article 8.8.7.

Recovery of free status (see Figures 1 and 2)

1) When a FMD case occurs in a FMD free country or zone where vaccination is not practised, one of the following waiting periods is required to regain this free status:
   a) three months after the disposal of the last animal killed where a stamping-out policy, without emergency vaccination, and surveillance are applied in accordance with Articles 8.8.40. to 8.8.42.; or
   b) three months after the disposal of the last animal killed or the slaughter of all vaccinated animals, whichever occurred last, where a stamping-out policy, emergency vaccination and surveillance in accordance with Articles 8.8.40. to 8.8.42. are applied; or
   c) six months after the disposal of the last animal killed or the last vaccination whichever occurred last, where a stamping-out policy, emergency vaccination not followed by the slaughtering of all vaccinated animals, and surveillance in accordance with Articles 8.8.40. to 8.8.42. are applied. However, this requires a serological survey based on the detection of antibodies to nonstructural proteins of FMDV to demonstrate no evidence of infection in the remaining vaccinated population.

The country or zone will regain the status of FMD free country or zone where vaccination is not practised only after the submitted evidence, based on the provisions of Chapter 1.11., has been accepted by the OIE. The time periods in points 1 a) to 1 c) are not affected if official emergency vaccination of zoological collections has been carried out following the relevant provisions of Article 8.8.2.

Where a stamping-out policy is not practised, the above waiting periods do not apply, and Article 8.8.2. applies.

2) When a FMD case occurs in a FMD free country or zone where vaccination is not practised, the following waiting period is required to gain the status of FMD free country or zone where vaccination is practised: six months after the disposal of the last animal killed where a stamping-out policy has been applied and a continued vaccination policy has been adopted, provided that surveillance is applied in accordance with Articles 8.8.40. to 8.8.42., and a serological survey based on the detection of antibodies to nonstructural proteins of FMDV demonstrates no evidence of FMDV transmission.

The country or zone can gain the status of FMD free country or zone where vaccination is practised only after the submitted evidence, based on the provisions of Chapter 1.11., has been accepted by the OIE. Where a stamping-out policy is not practised, the above waiting periods do not apply, and Article 8.8.3. applies.

3) When a case of FMD occurs in a FMD free country or zone where vaccination is practised, one of the following waiting periods is required to regain this free status:
   a) six months after the disposal of the last animal killed where a stamping-out policy, with emergency vaccination, and surveillance in accordance with Articles 8.8.40. to 8.8.42. are applied, provided that serological surveillance based on the detection of antibodies to nonstructural proteins of FMDV demonstrates no evidence of virus transmission; or
   b) 12 months after the disposal of the last case where a stamping-out policy is not applied, but where emergency vaccination and surveillance in accordance with Articles 8.8.40. to 8.8.42. are applied, provided that serological surveillance based on the detection of antibodies to nonstructural proteins of FMDV demonstrates no evidence of virus transmission.

Where emergency vaccination is not applied, the above waiting periods do not apply, and Article 8.8.3. applies.

The country or zone will regain the status of FMD free country or zone where vaccination is practised only after the submitted evidence, based on the provisions of Chapter 1.11., has been accepted by the OIE.

4) When a FMD case occurs in a FMD free compartment, Article 8.8.4. applies.
5) Member Countries applying for the recovery of status should do so only when the respective requirements for the recovery of status are met. When a containment zone has been established, the restrictions within the containment zone should be lifted in accordance with the requirements of this article only when the disease has been successfully eradicated within the containment zone.

For Member Countries not applying for recovery within 24 months after suspension, the provisions of Article 8.8.2., Article 8.8.3. or Article 8.8.4. apply.

Article 8.8.8.

Direct transfer of FMD susceptible animals from an infected zone for slaughter in a free zone (whether vaccination is practised or not)

In order not to jeopardise the status of a free zone, FMD susceptible animals should only leave the infected zone if transported directly to slaughter in the nearest designated slaughterhouse/abattoir under the following conditions:

1) no FMD susceptible animal has been introduced into the establishment of origin and no animal in the establishment of origin has shown clinical signs of FMD for at least 30 days prior to movement;
2) the animals were kept in the establishment of origin for at least three months prior to movement;
3) FMD has not occurred within a 10 kilometre radius of the establishment of origin for at least four weeks prior to movement;
4) the animals should be transported under the supervision of the Veterinary Authority in a vehicle, which was cleansed and disinfected before loading, directly from the establishment of origin to the slaughterhouse/abattoir without coming into contact with other susceptible animals;
5) such a slaughterhouse/abattoir is not approved for the export of fresh meat during the time it is handling the meat of animals from the infected zone;
6) vehicles and the slaughterhouse/abattoir should be subjected to thorough cleansing and disinfection immediately after use.

The animals should have been subjected to ante- and post-mortem inspection within 24 hours before and after slaughter with no evidence of FMD, and the meat derived from them treated in accordance with point 2) of Article 8.8.22. or Article 8.8.23. Other products obtained from the animals and any products coming into contact with them should be treated in accordance with Articles 8.8.31. to 8.8.38. in order to destroy any FMDV potentially present.

Article 8.8.9.

Direct transfer of FMD susceptible animals from a containment zone for slaughter in a free zone (whether vaccination is practised or not)

In order not to jeopardise the status of a free zone, FMD susceptible animals should only leave the containment zone if transported directly to slaughter in the nearest designated slaughterhouse/abattoir under the following conditions:

1) the containment zone has been officially established in accordance with the requirements in Article 8.8.6.;
2) the animals should be transported under the supervision of the Veterinary Authority in a vehicle, which was cleansed and disinfected before loading, directly from the establishment of origin to the slaughterhouse/abattoir without coming into contact with other susceptible animals;
3) such an slaughterhouse/abattoir is not approved for the export of fresh meat during the time it is handling the meat of animals from the containment zone;
4) vehicles and the slaughterhouse/abattoir should be subjected to thorough cleansing and disinfection immediately after use.

The animals should have been subjected to ante- and post-mortem inspection within 24 hours before and after slaughter with no evidence of FMD and the meat derived from them treated in accordance with point 2) of Article 8.8.22. or Article 8.8.23. Other products obtained from the animals and any products coming into contact with them should be treated in accordance with Articles 8.8.31. to 8.8.38. in order to destroy any FMDV potentially present.
Article 8.8.10.

Recommendations for importation from FMD free countries or zones where vaccination is not practised or FMD free compartments

For FMD susceptible animals

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of FMD on the day of shipment;
2) were kept since birth or for at least the past three months in a FMD free country or zone where vaccination is not practised or a FMD free compartment;
3) if transiting an infected zone, were not exposed to any source of FMDV during transportation to the place of shipment.

Article 8.8.11.

Recommendations for importation from FMD free countries or zones where vaccination is practised

For domestic ruminants and pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of FMD on the day of shipment;
2) were kept since birth or for at least the past three months in a FMD free country or zone where vaccination is practised;
3) were subjected to a test for FMD with negative results;
4) if transiting an infected zone, were not exposed to any source of FMDV during transportation to the place of shipment.

Article 8.8.12.

Recommendations for importation from FMD infected countries or zones where an official control programme exists

For domestic ruminants and pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the animals showed no clinical sign of FMD on the day of shipment;
2) prior to isolation, the animals were kept in the establishment of origin:
   a) for 30 days, or since birth if younger than 30 days, if a stamping-out policy is applied to control FMD in the exporting country or zone, or
   b) for three months, or since birth if younger than three months if a stamping-out policy is not applied to control FMD in the exporting country or zone;
3) FMD has not occurred within the establishment of origin for the relevant period as defined in points 2 a) and 2 b) above;
4) the animals were isolated in an establishment for the 30 days prior to shipment, and all animals in isolation were subjected to diagnostic virological and serological tests for evidence of FMDV with negative results on samples collected at least 28 days after the start of isolation period, and that FMD did not occur within a 10 kilometre radius of the establishment during that period, or the establishment is a quarantine station;
5) the animals were not exposed to any source of FMDV during their transportation from the establishment to the place of shipment.
Article 8.8.13.

Recommendations for importation from FMD free countries or zones where vaccination is not practised or FMD free compartments
For fresh semen of domestic ruminants and pigs
Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:
1) the donor males:
   a) showed no clinical sign of FMD on the day of collection of the semen;
   b) were kept for at least three months prior to collection in a FMD free country or zone where vaccination is not practiced or FMD free compartments;
   c) were kept in an artificial insemination centre where none of the animals had a history of infection with FMDV;
2) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.

Article 8.8.14.

Recommendations for importation from FMD free countries or zones where vaccination is not practised or FMD free compartments
For frozen semen of domestic ruminants and pigs
Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:
1) the donor males:
   a) showed no clinical sign of FMD on the day of collection of the semen and for the following 30 days;
   b) were kept for at least three months prior to collection in a FMD free country or zone where vaccination is not practised or FMD free compartments;
2) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.

Article 8.8.15.

Recommendations for importation from FMD free countries or zones where vaccination is practised
For frozen semen of domestic ruminants and pigs
Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:
1) the donor males:
   a) showed no clinical sign of FMD on the day of collection of the semen and for the following 30 days;
   b) were kept for at least three months prior to collection in a FMD free country or zone where vaccination is practised;
   c) either
      i) have been vaccinated at least twice, with the last vaccination not less than one month and not more than six months prior to collection, unless protective immunity has been demonstrated for more than six months;
      or
      ii) were subjected, not less than 21 days after collection of the semen, to tests for antibodies against FMDV, with negative results;
2) the semen:
   a) was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.;
   b) was stored in the country of origin for a period of at least one month following collection, and during this period no animal on the establishment where the donor animals were kept showed any sign of FMD.
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Article 8.8.16.

Recommendations for importation from FMD infected countries or zones

For frozen semen of domestic ruminants and pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor males:
   a) showed no clinical sign of FMD on the day of collection of the semen and for the following 30 days;
   b) were kept in an artificial insemination centre where no animal had been added in the 30 days before collection, and that FMD has not occurred within a 10 kilometre radius of the artificial insemination centre for the 30 days before and after collection;
   c) either
      i) have been vaccinated at least twice, with the last vaccination not less than one month and not more than six months prior to collection, unless protective immunity has been demonstrated for more than six months;
      or
      ii) were subjected, not less than 21 days after collection of the semen, to tests for antibodies against FMDV, with negative results;

2) the semen:
   a) was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.;
   b) was subjected, with negative results, to a test for evidence of FMDV if the donor male has been vaccinated within the 12 months prior to collection;
   c) was stored in the country of origin for a period of at least one month following collection, and that during this period no animal on the establishment where the donor males were kept showed any sign of FMD.

Article 8.8.17.

Recommendations for the importation of in vivo derived embryos of cattle

Irrespective of the FMD status of the exporting country, zone or compartment, Veterinary Authorities should authorise without restriction on account of FMD the import or transit through their territory of in vivo derived embryos of cattle subject to the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.7. and 4.9., as relevant.

Article 8.8.18.

Recommendations for importation from FMD free countries or zones where vaccination is not practised or FMD free compartments

For in vitro produced embryos of cattle

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor females:
   a) showed no clinical sign of FMD at the time of collection of the oocytes;
   b) were kept for at least three months prior to collection in a FMD free country or zone where vaccination is not practised or FMD free compartments;

2) fertilisation was achieved with semen meeting the conditions referred to in Articles 8.8.13., 8.8.14., 8.8.15. or 8.8.16., as relevant;

3) the oocytes were collected, and the embryos were processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.8. and 4.9., as relevant.
Article 8.8.19.

Recommendations for importation from FMD free countries or zones where vaccination is practised

**For in vitro produced embryos of cattle**

_Veterinary Authorities_ should require the presentation of an _international veterinary certificate_ attesting that:

1) the donor females:
   1. showed no clinical sign of FMD at the time of collection of the oocytes;
   2. were kept for at least three months prior to collection in a FMD free country or zone where _vaccination_ is practised;
   3. either
      1. have been vaccinated at least twice, with the last _vaccination_ not less than one month and not more than six months prior to collection, unless protective immunity has been demonstrated for more than six months;
      2. were subjected, not less than 21 days after collection, to tests for antibodies against FMDV, with negative results;

2) fertilisation was achieved with semen meeting the conditions referred to in Articles 8.8.13., 8.8.14., 8.8.15. or 8.8.16., as relevant;

3) the oocytes were collected, and the embryos were processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.8. and 4.9., as relevant.

Article 8.8.20.

Recommendations for importation from FMD free countries or zones where vaccination is not practised or FMD free compartments

_For fresh meat or meat products of FMD susceptible animals_

_Veterinary Authorities_ should require the presentation of an _international veterinary certificate_ attesting that the entire consignment of _meat_ comes from animals which:

1) have been kept in a FMD free country or zone where _vaccination_ is not practised or FMD free _compartment_, or which have been imported in accordance with Article 8.8.10., Article 8.8.11. or Article 8.8.12.;

2) have been slaughtered in an approved _slaughterhouse/abattoir_ and have been subjected to ante- and post-mortem inspections with favourable results.

Article 8.8.21.

Recommendations for importation from FMD free countries or zones where vaccination is practised

_For fresh meat and meat products of ruminants and pigs_

_Veterinary Authorities_ should require the presentation of an _international veterinary certificate_ attesting that the entire consignment of _meat_ comes from animals which:

1) have been kept in the FMD free country or zone where _vaccination_ is practised, or which have been imported in accordance with Article 8.8.10., Article 8.8.11. or Article 8.8.12.;

2) have been slaughtered in an approved _slaughterhouse/abattoir_ and have been subjected to ante- and post-mortem inspections for FMD with favourable results;

3) for ruminants the head, including the pharynx, tongue and associated lymph nodes, has been excluded from the shipment.
Chapter 8.8. - Infection with foot and mouth disease virus

Article 8.8.22.

Recommendations for importation from FMD infected countries or zones where an official control programme exists

For fresh meat of cattle and water buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*) (excluding feet, head and viscera)

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that the entire consignment of meat:

1) comes from animals which:
   a) have remained, for at least three months prior to *slaughter*, in a *zone* of the exporting country where cattle and water buffaloes are regularly vaccinated against FMD and where an *official control programme* is in operation;
   b) have been vaccinated at least twice with the last *vaccination* not more than six months, unless protective immunity has been demonstrated for more than six months, and not less than one month prior to *slaughter*;
   c) were kept for the past 30 days in an *establishment*, and that FMD has not occurred within a 10 kilometre radius of the *establishment* during that period, or the *establishment* is a *quarantine station*;
   d) have been transported, in a *vehicle* which was cleansed and disinfected before the cattle and water buffaloes were loaded, directly from the *establishment* of origin or *quarantine station* to the approved *slaughterhouse/abattoir* without coming into contact with other animals which do not fulfil the required conditions for export;
   e) have been slaughtered in an approved *slaughterhouse/abattoir*:
      i) which is officially designated for export;
      ii) in which no FMD has been detected during the period between the last *disinfection* carried out before *slaughter* and the shipment for export has been dispatched;
   f) have been subjected to ante- and post-mortem inspections within 24 hours before and after *slaughter* with no evidence of FMD;

2) comes from deboned carcasses:
   a) from which the major lymphatic nodes have been removed;
   b) which, prior to deboning, have been submitted to maturation at a temperature greater than +2°C for a minimum period of 24 hours following *slaughter* and in which the pH value was less than 6.0 when tested in the middle of both the longissimus dorsi muscle.

Article 8.8.23.

Recommendations for importation from FMD infected countries or zones

For meat products of FMD susceptible animals

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

1) the entire consignment of *meat products* come from animals which have been slaughtered in an approved *slaughterhouse/abattoir* and have been subjected to ante- and post-mortem inspections for FMD with favourable results;

2) the *meat products* have been processed to ensure the destruction of FMDV in accordance with one of the procedures in Article 8.8.31.;

3) the necessary precautions were taken after processing to avoid contact of the *meat products* with any potential source of FMDV.

Article 8.8.24.

Recommendations for importation from FMD free countries or zones where vaccination either is or is not practised or FMD free compartments

For milk and milk products intended for human consumption and for products of animal origin (from FMD susceptible animals) intended for use in animal feeding or for agricultural or industrial use

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that these products come from animals which have been kept in a FMD free country, *zone or compartment*, or which have been imported in accordance with Article 8.8.10., Article 8.8.11. or Article 8.8.12.
Article 8.8.25.

Recommendations for importation from FMD infected countries or zones where an official control programme exists

For milk and milk products

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) these products:
   a) originate from establishments which were not infected or suspected of being infected with FMD at the time of milk collection;
   b) have been processed to ensure the destruction of FMDV in accordance with one of the procedures in Article 8.8.35. and in Article 8.8.36.;
2) the necessary precautions were taken after processing to avoid contact of the products with any potential source of FMDV.


Recommendations for importation from FMD infected countries

For blood-meal and meat-meals from FMD susceptible animals

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the manufacturing method for these products included heating to a minimum core temperature of 70°C for at least 30 minutes.

Article 8.8.27.

Recommendations for importation from FMD infected countries

For wool, hair, bristles, raw hides and skins from FMD susceptible animals

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) these products have been processed to ensure the destruction of FMDV in accordance with one of the procedures in Articles 8.8.32., 8.8.33. and 8.8.34.;
2) the necessary precautions were taken after collection or processing to avoid contact of the products with any potential source of FMDV.

Veterinary Authorities should authorise, without restriction, the import or transit through their territory of semi-processed hides and skins (limed hides, pickled pelts, and semi-processed leather such as wet blue and crust leather), provided that these products have been submitted to the usual chemical and mechanical processes in use in the tanning industry.

Article 8.8.28.

Recommendations for importation from FMD infected countries or zones

For straw and forage

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that these commodities:

1) are free of grossly identified contamination with material of animal origin;
2) have been subjected to one of the following treatments, which, in the case of material sent in bales, has been shown to penetrate to the centre of the bale:
   a) either to the action of steam in a closed chamber such that the centre of the bales has reached a minimum temperature of 80°C for at least ten minutes,
   b) or to the action of formalin fumes (formaldehyde gas) produced by its commercial solution at 35-40% in a chamber kept closed for at least eight hours and at a minimum temperature of 19°C;
3) have been kept in bond for at least four months before being released for export.

Article 8.8.29.

Recommendations for importation from FMD free countries or zones where vaccination either is or is not practised
For skins and trophies derived from FMD susceptible wildlife

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that these products are derived from animals that have been killed in such a country or zone or which have been imported from a country, zone or compartment free from FMD.

Article 8.8.30.

Recommendations for importation from FMD infected countries or zones
For skins and trophies derived from FMD susceptible wildlife

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that these products have been processed to ensure the destruction of FMDV in accordance with the procedures in Article 8.8.37.

Article 8.8.31.

Procedures for the inactivation of FMDV in meat and meat products

For the inactivation of FMDV present in meat and meat products, one of the following procedures should be used:

1. **Canning**
   Meat and meat products are subjected to heat treatment in a hermetically sealed container to reach an internal core temperature of at least 70°C for a minimum of 30 minutes or to any equivalent treatment which has been demonstrated to inactivate FMDV.

2. **Thorough cooking**
   Meat, previously deboned and defatted, and meat products are subjected to a heat treatment that results in a core temperature of at least 70°C for a minimum of 30 minutes.
   After cooking, they should be packed and handled in such a way they are not exposed to a source of FMDV.

3. **Drying after salting**
   When rigor mortis is complete, the meat is deboned, treated with salt (NaCl) and ‘completely dried’. It should not deteriorate at ambient temperature.
   ‘Completely dried’ is defined as a moisture protein ratio that is not greater than 2.25:1 or a water activity (Aw) that is not greater than 0.85.

Article 8.8.32.

Procedures for the inactivation of FMDV in wool and hair

For the inactivation of FMDV present in wool and hair for industrial use, one of the following procedures should be used:

1) industrial washing, which consists of the immersion of the wool in a series of baths of water, soap and sodium hydroxide (soda) or potassium hydroxide (potash);
2) chemical depilation by means of slaked lime or sodium sulphide;
3) fumigation with formaldehyde in a hermetically sealed chamber for at least 24 hours;
4) industrial scouring which consists of the immersion of wool in a water-soluble detergent held at 60-70°C;
5) storage of wool at 4°C for four months, 18°C for four weeks or 37°C for eight days.
Article 8.8.33.

Procedures for the inactivation of FMDV in bristles

For the inactivation of FMDV present in bristles for industrial use, one of the following procedures should be used:

1) boiling for at least one hour; or
2) immersion for at least 24 hours in a 1% aqueous solution of formaldehyde.

Article 8.8.34.

Procedures for the inactivation of FMDV in raw hides and skins

For the inactivation of FMDV present in raw hides and skins for industrial use, the following procedure should be used: treatment for at least 28 days with salt (NaCl) containing 2% sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃).

Article 8.8.35.

Procedures for the inactivation of FMDV in milk and cream for human consumption

For the inactivation of FMDV present in milk and cream for human consumption, one of the following procedures should be used:

1) a process applying a minimum temperature of 132°C for at least one second (ultra-high temperature [UHT]), or
2) if the milk has a pH less than 7.0, a process applying a minimum temperature of 72°C for at least 15 seconds (high temperature - short time pasteurisation [HTST]), or
3) if the milk has a pH of 7.0 or greater, the HTST process applied twice.

Article 8.8.36.

Procedures for the inactivation of FMDV in milk for animal consumption

For the inactivation of FMDV present in milk for animal consumption, one of the following procedures should be used:

1) the HTST process applied twice; or
2) HTST combined with another physical treatment, e.g. maintaining a pH 6 for at least one hour or additional heating to at least 72°C combined with desiccation; or
3) UHT combined with another physical treatment referred to in point 2) above.

Article 8.8.37.

Procedures for the inactivation of FMDV in skins and trophies from wildlife susceptible to the disease

For the inactivation of FMDV present in skins and trophies from wild animals susceptible to FMD, one of the following procedures should be used prior to complete taxidermal treatment:

1) boiling in water for an appropriate time so as to ensure that any matter other than bone, horns, hooves, claws, antlers or teeth is removed; or
2) gamma irradiation at a dose of at least 20 kilogray at room temperature (20°C or higher); or
3) soaking, with agitation, in a 4% (weight/volume) solution of sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃) maintained at pH 11.5 or greater for at least 48 hours; or
4) soaking, with agitation, in a formic acid solution (100 kg salt [NaCl] and 12 kg formic acid per 1,000 litres water) maintained at pH less than 3.0 for at least 48 hours; wetting and dressing agents may be added; or
5) in the case of raw hides, treating for at least 28 days with salt (NaCl) containing 2% sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃).
Article 8.8.38.

Procedures for the inactivation of FMDV in casings of ruminants and pigs

For the inactivation of FMDV present in casings of ruminants and pigs, the following procedures should be used: treating for at least 30 days either with dry salt (NaCl) or with saturated brine (NaCl, aw < 0.80), or with phosphate supplemented salt containing 86.5% NaCl, 10.7% Na2HPO4 and 2.8% Na3PO4 (weight/weight/weight), either dry or as a saturated brine (aw < 0.80), and kept at a temperature of greater than 12°C during this entire period.

Article 8.8.39.

OIE endorsed official control programme for FMD

The overall objective of an OIE endorsed official control programme for FMD is for countries to progressively improve the situation and eventually attain FMD free status. The official control programme should be applicable to the entire country even if certain measures are directed towards defined subpopulations only.

Member Countries may, on a voluntary basis, apply for endorsement of their official control programme for FMD when they have implemented measures in accordance with this article.

For a Member Country’s official control programme for FMD to be endorsed by the OIE, the Member Country should:
1) have a record of regular and prompt animal disease reporting in accordance with the requirements in Chapter 1.1.;
2) submit documented evidence of the capacity of the Veterinary Services to control FMD; one way of providing this evidence is through the OIE PVS Pathway;
3) submit a detailed plan of the programme to control and eventually eradicate FMD in the country or zone including:
   a) the timeline;
   b) the performance indicators for assessing the efficacy of the control measures to be implemented;
   c) documentation indicating that the official control programme for FMD is applicable to the entire country;
4) submit a dossier on the epidemiology of FMD in the country describing the following:
   a) the general epidemiology in the country highlighting the current knowledge and gaps and the progress that has been made in controlling FMD;
   b) the measures implemented to prevent introduction of infection, the rapid detection of, and response to, all FMD outbreaks in order to reduce the incidence of FMD outbreaks and to eliminate FMDV transmission in at least one zone in the country;
   c) the main livestock production systems and movement patterns of FMD susceptible animals and their products within and into the country;
5) submit evidence that FMD surveillance is in place:
   a) taking into account provisions in Chapter 1.4. and the provisions on surveillance of this chapter;
   b) have diagnostic capability and procedures, including regular submission of samples to a laboratory that carries out diagnosis and further characterisation of strains;
6) where vaccination is practised as a part of the official control programme for FMD, provide:
   a) evidence (such as copies of legislation) that vaccination of selected populations is compulsory;
   b) detailed information on vaccination campaigns, in particular on:
      i) target populations for vaccination;
      ii) monitoring of vaccination coverage, including serological monitoring of population immunity;
      iii) technical specification of the vaccines used, including matching with the circulating FMDV strains, and description of the licensing procedures in place;
      iv) the proposed timeline for the transition to the use of vaccines fully compliant with the standards and methods described in the Terrestrial Manual;
7) provide an emergency preparedness and response plan to be implemented in case of outbreaks.

The Member Country’s official control programme for FMD will be included in the list of programmes endorsed by the OIE only after the submitted evidence, based on the provisions of Article 1.11.5., has been accepted by the OIE. Retention on the list requires an annual update on the progress of the official control programme and information on significant changes concerning the points above. Changes in the epidemiological situation and other significant events should be reported to the OIE in accordance with the requirements in Chapter 1.1.
The OIE may withdraw the endorsement of the official control programme if there is evidence of:
- non-compliance with the timelines or performance indicators of the programme; or
- significant problems with the performance of the Veterinary Services; or
- an increase in the incidence of FMD that cannot be addressed by the programme.

Article 8.8.40.

General principles of surveillance

Articles 8.8.40 to 8.8.42 define the principles and provide a guide for the surveillance of FMD in accordance with Chapter 1.4. applicable to Member Countries seeking establishment, maintenance or recovery of freedom from FMD at the country, zone or compartment level or seeking endorsement by the OIE of their official control programme for FMD, in accordance with Article 8.8.39. Surveillance aimed at identifying disease and FMDV infection or transmission should cover domestic and, where appropriate, wildlife species as indicated in point 2) of Article 8.8.1.

1. Early detection

A surveillance system in accordance with Chapter 1.4. should be the responsibility of the Veterinary Authority and should provide an early warning system to report suspected cases throughout the entire production, marketing and processing chain. A procedure should be in place for the rapid collection and transport of samples to a laboratory for FMD diagnosis. This requires that sampling kits and other equipment be available to those responsible for surveillance. Personnel responsible for surveillance should be able to seek assistance from a team with expertise in FMD diagnosis and control.

2. Demonstration of freedom

The impact and epidemiology of FMD widely differ in different regions of the world and therefore it is inappropriate to provide specific recommendations for all situations. Surveillance strategies employed for demonstrating freedom from FMD in the country, zone or compartment at an acceptable level of confidence should be adapted to the local situation. For example, the approach to demonstrating freedom from FMD following an outbreak caused by a pig-adapted strain of FMDV should differ significantly from an approach designed to demonstrate freedom from FMD in a country or zone where African buffaloes (Syncerus caffer) provide a potential reservoir of infection.

Surveillance for FMD should be in the form of a continuing programme. Programmes to demonstrate no evidence of infection with FMDV and transmission should be carefully designed and implemented to avoid producing results that are insufficient to be accepted by the OIE or trading partners, or being excessively costly and logistically complicated.

The strategy and design of the surveillance programme will depend on the historical epidemiological circumstances including whether or not vaccination has been used.

A Member Country wishing to substantiate FMD freedom where vaccination is not practised should demonstrate no evidence of infection with FMDV.

A Member Country wishing to substantiate FMD freedom where vaccination is practised should demonstrate that FMDV has not been transmitted in any susceptible populations. Within vaccinated populations, serological surveys to demonstrate no evidence of FMDV transmission should target animals that are less likely to show vaccine-derived antibodies to nonstructural proteins, such as young animals vaccinated a limited number of times, or unvaccinated animals. In any unvaccinated subpopulation, surveillance should demonstrate no evidence of infection with FMDV.

Surveillance strategies employed for establishing and maintaining a compartment should identify the prevalence, distribution and characteristics of FMD outside the compartment.

3. OIE endorsed official control programme

Surveillance strategies employed in support of an OIE endorsed official control programme should demonstrate the effectiveness of any vaccination used and of the ability to rapidly detect all FMD outbreaks.

Therefore considerable latitude is available to Member Countries to design and implement surveillance to establish that the whole territory or part of it is free from FMDV infection and transmission and to understand the epidemiology of FMD as part of the official control programme.

The Member Country should submit a dossier to the OIE in support of its application that not only explains the epidemiology of FMD in the region concerned but also demonstrates how all the risk factors, including the role of wildlife, if appropriate, are identified and managed. This should include provision of scientifically based supporting data.
4. **Surveillance strategies**

The strategy employed to establish the prevalence of *infection* with FMDV or to substantiate freedom from FMDV *infection* or transmission may be based on randomised or targeted clinical investigation or sampling at an acceptable level of statistical confidence, as described in Articles 1.4.4. and 1.4.5. If an increased likelihood of *infection* in particular localities or species can be identified, targeted sampling may be appropriate. Clinical inspection may be targeted at particular species likely to exhibit clear clinical signs (e.g. cattle and pigs). The Member Country should justify the surveillance strategy chosen and the frequency of sampling as adequate to detect the presence of FMDV *infection* or transmission in accordance with Chapter 1.4. and the epidemiological situation.

The design of the sampling strategy should incorporate an epidemiologically appropriate design prevalence. The sample size selected for testing should be adequate to detect *infection* or transmission if it were to occur at a predetermined minimum rate. The sample size and expected disease prevalence determine the level of confidence in the results of the survey. The Member Country should justify the choice of design prevalence and confidence level based on the objectives of surveillance and the prevailing or historical epidemiological situation, in accordance with Chapter 1.4.

5. **Follow-up of suspected cases and interpretation of results**

An effective surveillance system will identify suspected cases that require immediate follow-up and investigation to confirm or exclude that the cause of the condition is FMDV. Samples should be taken and submitted for diagnostic testing, unless the suspected case can be confirmed or ruled out by epidemiological and clinical investigation. Details of the occurrence of suspected cases and how they were investigated and dealt with should be documented. This should include the results of diagnostic testing and the control measures to which the animals concerned were subjected during the investigation.

The sensitivity and specificity of the diagnostic tests employed, including the performance of confirmatory tests, are key factors in the design, sample size determination and interpretation of the results obtained. The sensitivity and specificity of the tests used should be validated for the vaccination or infection history and production class of animals in the target population.

The surveillance design should anticipate the occurrence of false positive reactions. If the characteristics of the testing system are known, the rate at which these false positives are likely to occur can be calculated in advance. There should be an effective procedure for following-up positives to determine with a high level of confidence, whether or not they are indicative of *infection* or transmission. This should involve supplementary tests and follow-up investigation to collect diagnostic material from the original epidemiological unit and herds or flocks which may be epidemiologically linked to it.

Laboratory results should be examined in the context of the epidemiological situation. Corollary information needed to complement the serological survey and assess the possibility of viral transmission includes but is not limited to:

- characterisation of the existing production systems;
- results of clinical surveillance of the suspects and their cohorts;
- description of number of, and protocol for, vaccinations performed in the area under assessment;
- biosecurity and history of the establishments with reactors;
- identification and traceability of animals and control of their movements;
- other parameters of regional significance in historic FMDV transmission.
6. **Demonstration of population immunity**

Following routine *vaccination*, evidence should be provided to demonstrate the effectiveness of the *vaccination* programme such as adequate *vaccination* coverage and population immunity. This can help to reduce reliance on post-*vaccination* surveys for residual *infection* and transmission.

In designing serological surveys to estimate population immunity, blood sample collection should be stratified by age to take account of the number of *vaccinations* the animals have received. The interval between last *vaccination* and sampling depends upon the intended purpose. Sampling at one or two months after *vaccination* provides information on the efficiency of the *vaccination* programme, while sampling before or at the time of revaccination provides information on the duration of immunity. When multivalent vaccines are used, tests should be carried out to determine the antibody level at least for each serotype, if not for each antigen blended into the vaccine. The test cut-off for an acceptable level of antibody should be selected with reference to protective levels demonstrated by vaccine-challenge test results for the antigen concerned. Where the threat from circulating virus has been characterised as resulting from a field virus with significantly different antigenic properties from the vaccine virus, this should be taken into account when interpreting the protective effect of population immunity. Figures for population immunity should be quoted with reference to the total of susceptible animals in a given *subpopulation* and in relation to the subset of vaccinated animals.

The entire investigative process should be documented within the *surveillance* programme.

All the epidemiological information should be substantiated, and the results should be collated in the final report.

**Article 8.8.41.**

**Methods of surveillance**

1. **Clinical surveillance**

   Farmers and workers who have day-to-day contact with livestock, as well as *veterinary paraprofessionals*, *veterinarians* and diagnosticians, should report promptly any suspicion of FMD. The *Veterinary Authority* should implement programmes to raise awareness among them.

   Clinical *surveillance* requires the physical examination of susceptible animals. Although significant emphasis is placed on the diagnostic value of mass serological screening, *surveillance* based on clinical inspection may provide a high level of confidence of detection of disease if a sufficient number of clinically susceptible animals is examined at an appropriate frequency and investigations are recorded and quantified.

   Clinical examination and diagnostic testing should be applied to clarify the status of suspected *cases*. Diagnostic testing may confirm clinical suspicion, while clinical *surveillance* may contribute to confirmation of positive laboratory test results. Clinical *surveillance* may be insufficient in *wildlife* and domestic species that usually do not show clinical signs or husbandry systems that do not permit sufficient observations. In such situations, serological *surveillance* should be used. Hunting, capture and non-invasive sampling and observation methods can be used to obtain information and diagnostic samples from *wildlife* species.

2. **Virological surveillance**

   Establishment of the molecular, antigenic and other biological characteristics of the causative virus, as well as its source, is mostly dependent upon clinical *surveillance* to provide samples. FMDV isolates should be sent regularly to an OIE Reference Laboratory.

   Virological *surveillance* aims to:
   
   a) confirm clinically suspected *cases*;
   
   b) follow up positive serological results;
   
   c) characterise isolates for epidemiological studies and vaccine matching;
   
   d) monitor populations at risk for the presence and transmission of the virus.
3. Serological surveillance

Serological surveillance aims to detect antibodies resulting from infection or vaccination using nonstructural protein tests or structural protein tests.

Serological surveillance may be used to:

a) estimate the prevalence or substantiate freedom from FMDV infection or transmission;
b) monitor population immunity.

Serum collected for other purposes can be used for FMD surveillance, provided the principles of survey design described in this chapter are met.

The results of random or targeted serological surveys are important in providing reliable evidence of the FMD situation in a country, zone or compartment. It is therefore essential that the survey be thoroughly documented.

Article 8.8.42.

The use and interpretation of serological tests (see Figure 3)

The selection and interpretation of serological tests should be considered in the context of the epidemiological situation. Test protocols, reagents, performance characteristics and validation of all tests used should be known. Where combinations of tests are used, the overall test system performance characteristics should also be known.

Animals infected with FMDV produce antibodies to both the structural proteins and the nonstructural proteins of the virus. Vaccinated animals produce antibodies mainly or entirely to the structural proteins of the virus depending upon vaccine purity. The structural protein tests are serotype specific and for optimal sensitivity one should select an antigen or virus closely related to the field strain expected. In unvaccinated populations, structural protein tests may be used to screen sera for evidence of FMDV infection or transmission or to detect the introduction of vaccinated animals. In vaccinated populations, structural protein tests may be used to monitor the serological response to the vaccination.

Nonstructural protein tests may be used to screen sera for evidence of infection or transmission of all serotypes of FMDV regardless of the vaccination status of the animals provided the vaccines comply with the standards of the Terrestrial Manual with respect to purity. However, although animals vaccinated and subsequently infected with FMDV develop antibodies to nonstructural proteins, the levels may be lower than those found in infected animals that have not been vaccinated. To ensure that all animals that had contact with FMDV have seroconverted, it is recommended that for each vaccination area samples for nonstructural protein antibody testing are taken not earlier than 30 days after the last case and in any case not earlier than 30 days after the last vaccination.

Positive FMDV antibody test results can have four possible causes:

– infection with FMDV;
– vaccination against FMD;
– maternal antibodies (maternal antibodies in cattle are usually found only up to six months of age but in some individuals and in some other species, maternal antibodies can be detected for longer periods);
– non-specific reactivity of the serum in the tests used.
1. Procedure in case of positive test results

The proportion and strength of seropositive reactors should be taken into account when deciding if they are laboratory confirmed reactors or further investigation and testing are required.

When false positive results are suspected, seropositive reactors should be retested in the laboratory using repeat and confirmatory tests. Tests used for confirmation should be of high diagnostic specificity to minimise false positive test results. The diagnostic sensitivity of the confirmatory test should approach that of the screening test.

All herds or flocks with at least one laboratory confirmed reactor should be investigated. The investigation should examine all evidence, which may include the results of virological tests and of any further serological tests that might confirm or refute the hypothesis that the positive results to the serological tests employed in the initial survey were due to FMDV transmission. This investigation should document the status for each positive herd. Epidemiological investigation should be continued concurrently.

Clustering of seropositive results within herds or flocks or within a region should be investigated as it may reflect any of a series of events, including the demographics of the population sampled, vaccinal exposure or the presence of infection or transmission. As clustering may signal infection or transmission, the investigation of all instances should be incorporated in the survey design.

Paired serology can be used to identify FMDV transmission by demonstrating an increase in the number of seropositive animals or an increase in antibody titre at the second sampling.

The investigation should include the reactor animals, susceptible animals of the same epidemiological unit and susceptible animals that have been in contact or otherwise epidemiologically associated with the reactor animals. The samples should remain in the establishment pending test results, should be clearly identified, accessible and should not be vaccinated during the investigations, so that they can be retested after an appropriate period of time. Following clinical examination, a second sample should be taken, after an appropriate time has lapsed, from the animals tested in the initial survey with emphasis on animals in direct contact with the reactors. If the animals are not individually identified, a new serological survey should be carried out in the establishments after an appropriate time, repeating the application of the primary survey design. If FMDV is not circulating, the magnitude and prevalence of antibody reactivity observed should not differ in a statistically significant manner from that of the primary sample.

In some circumstances, unvaccinated sentinel animals may also be used. These can be young animals from unvaccinated dams or animals in which maternally conferred immunity has lapsed and preferably of the same species as in the positive sampling units. If other susceptible, unvaccinated animals are present, they could act as sentinels to provide additional serological evidence. The sentinels should be kept in close contact with the animals of the epidemiological unit under investigation for at least two incubation periods and should remain serologically negative if FMDV is not circulating.

2. Follow-up of field and laboratory findings

If transmission is demonstrated, an outbreak is declared.

The significance of small numbers of seropositive animals in the absence of current FMDV transmission is difficult to determine. Such findings may be an indication of past infection followed by recovery or by the development of a carrier state, in ruminants, or due to non-specific serological reactions. Antibodies to nonstructural proteins may be induced by repeated vaccination with vaccines that do not comply with the requirements for purity. However, the use of such vaccines is not permissible in countries or zones applying for an official status. In the absence of evidence of FMDV infection and transmission, such findings do not warrant the declaration of a new outbreak and the follow-up investigations may be considered complete.

However, if the number of seropositive animals is greater than the number of false positive results expected from the specificity of the diagnostic tests used, susceptible animals that have been in contact or otherwise epidemiologically associated with the reactor animals should be investigated further.

Abbreviations and acronyms:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNT</td>
<td>Virus neutralisation test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSP</td>
<td>Nonstructural protein(s) of foot and mouth disease virus (FMDV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3ABC</td>
<td>NSP antibody test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Structural protein of foot and mouth disease virus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the minimum waiting periods and pathways for recovery of FMD free status after an outbreak in a free country or zone where vaccination is not practised.

Waiting periods are minima depending upon outcome of surveillance specified in respective articles. If there are multiple waiting periods because of different control measures, the longest applies.
Fig. 2. Schematic representation of the minimum waiting periods and pathways for recovery of FMD free status after an outbreak in a free country or zone where vaccination is practised.

Waiting periods are minima depending upon outcome of surveillance specified in respective articles. If there are multiple waiting periods because of different control measures, the longest applies.
Fig. 3. Schematic representation of laboratory tests for determining evidence of infection with FMDV by means of serological surveys.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2015.
CHAPTER 8.9.

HEARTWATER

Article 8.9.1.

General provisions

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 8.9.2.

Trade in commodities

Veterinary Authorities of countries free from heartwater may prohibit importation or transit through their territory, from countries considered infected with heartwater, of domestic and wild ruminants.

Article 8.9.3.

Recommendations for importation from countries considered infected with heartwater

For domestic and wild ruminants

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:
1) showed no clinical sign of heartwater on the day of shipment;
2) were subjected to a diagnostic test for heartwater with negative results during the 15 days prior to shipment;
3) were treated with acaricides prior to shipment and were completely free of ticks.

CHAPTER 8.10.

JAPANESE ENCEPHALITIS

Article 8.10.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the incubation period for Japanese encephalitis shall be 21 days.

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 8.10.2.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones infected with Japanese encephalitis

For horses

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of Japanese encephalitis on the day of shipment; and

EITHER

2) were kept for the 21 days prior to shipment, in an insect-proof quarantine station and were protected from insect vector attacks during their transportation from the quarantine station to the place of shipment;

OR

3) were vaccinated against Japanese encephalitis not less than 7 days and no more than 12 months prior to shipment.

CHAPTER 8.11.

INFECTION WITH MYCOBACTERIUM TUBERCULOSIS COMPLEX

Article 8.11.1.

General provisions

The recommendations in this chapter are intended to manage the human and animal health risks associated with infection of animals with a member of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis (M. tuberculosis) complex.

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, M. tuberculosis complex comprises M. bovis, M. caprae and M. tuberculosis, but excludes vaccine strains.

Many different domestic and wild animal species belonging to diverse mammalian taxa are known to be susceptible to infection with M. tuberculosis complex. Their epidemiological significance depends on the degree of susceptibility, the husbandry system, the density, spatial distribution and ecology of populations as well as the pathogenesis and transmission pathways. In some geographical regions, certain wild animal species can act as reservoirs.

For the purposes of this chapter, ‘animals’ means domestic and captive wild animal populations of the following categories:

1. bovids: this term means bovines (Bos taurus, B. indicus, B. frontalis, B. javanicus and B. grunniens), water buffaloes (Bubalus bubalis), and bison (Bison bison and B. bonasus);
2. cervids: this term means red deer (Cervus elaphus elaphus), wapiti/elk (C. elaphus canadensis), sika (C. nippon), samba (C. unicolor unicolor), rusa (C. timorensis), roe deer (Capreolus capreolus), fallow deer (Dama dama), white-tailed, black-tailed and mule deer (Odocoileus spp.) and reindeer/caribou (Rangifer tarandus);
3. goats (Capra hircus);
4. New World camelids: this term means alpacas (Lama guanicoe pacos) and llamas (Lama guanicoe glama).

The chapter deals not only with the occurrence of clinical signs caused by infection with M. tuberculosis complex, but also with the presence of infection with M. tuberculosis complex in the absence of clinical signs.

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the following defines the occurrence of infection with M. tuberculosis complex:

– a member of M. tuberculosis complex has been identified in a sample from an animal or a product derived from that animal;

OR

– positive results to a diagnostic test have been obtained and there is an epidemiological link to a case of infection with M. tuberculosis complex or there is other reason to suspect infection with M. tuberculosis complex.

When authorising import or transit of commodities listed in this chapter, with the exception of those listed in Article 8.11.2., Veterinary Authorities should require the conditions prescribed in this chapter relevant to the M. tuberculosis complex infection status of the animal population of the country, zone or herd of origin.

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 8.11.2.

Safe commodities

When authorising import or transit of the following commodities, Veterinary Authorities should not require any M. tuberculosis complex-related conditions, regardless of the M. tuberculosis complex infection status of the animal populations of the country, zone or herd of origin:

1. fresh meat and meat products originating from animals that have been subjected to ante- and post-mortem inspections as described in Chapter 6.2.;
2) cured hides, skins and trophies;
3) gelatine, collagen, tallow and meat-and-bone meal.

Article 8.11.3.

Country or zone historically free from infection with *M. tuberculosis* complex in specified animal categories

A country or zone may be considered historically free from *infection* with *M. tuberculosis* complex in specified animal categories when the requirements of point 1 a) of Article 1.4.6. have been met for the relevant animal categories.

Article 8.11.4.

Country or zone free from infection with *M. tuberculosis* complex in bovids

1) To qualify as free from *infection* with *M. tuberculosis* complex in bovids, a country or zone should satisfy the following requirements:

   a) *infection* in animals is a *notifiable disease* in the entire country;

   b) a *surveillance* programme based on regular testing of all herds has been in place for at least three years and for the past three years this testing has demonstrated that *infection* with *M. tuberculosis* complex was not present in at least 99.8% of the herds representing at least 99.9% of the bovids in the country or zone;

   c) a *surveillance* programme in accordance with Chapter 1.4. is in place to detect *infection* with *M. tuberculosis* complex in the country or zone through ante- and post-mortem inspections of bovids as described in Chapter 6.3.;

   d) regulatory measures have been implemented for the early detection of *infection* with *M. tuberculosis* complex in bovids;

   e) bovids and their germplasm introduced into the country or zone comply with the recommendations in Articles 8.11.7., 8.11.10. and 8.11.12.

2) To maintain the status as free from *infection* with *M. tuberculosis* complex in bovids, a country or zone should satisfy the following requirements:

   a) the requirements in points 1 a), 1 c), 1 d) and 1 e) above are met;

   b) a *surveillance* programme based on regular testing of bovids is in place in the country or zone to detect *infection* with *M. tuberculosis* complex in accordance with Article 1.4.4.;

   c) once the *surveillance* programme described in point b) has demonstrated that *infection* with *M. tuberculosis* complex has not been present in at least 99.8% of the herds representing 99.9% of the bovids in the country or zone for two consecutive years, *surveillance* may be maintained through ante- and post-mortem inspections as described in Chapter 6.3.

3) The country or zone status of free from *infection* with *M. tuberculosis* complex in bovids is not affected by the occurrence of *infection* with *M. tuberculosis* complex in other animal categories or feral or wild animals provided that measures intended to prevent transmission of *infection* with *M. tuberculosis* complex to bovids have been implemented and are periodically reassessed.
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Article 8.11.5.

Country or zone free from infection with M. tuberculosis complex in cervids

1) To qualify as free from infection with M. tuberculosis complex in cervids, a country or zone should satisfy the following requirements:
   a) infection with M. tuberculosis complex in animals is a notifiable disease in the entire country;
   b) regular testing of all cervid herds has been in place for at least three years and for the past three years this testing has demonstrated that infection with M. tuberculosis complex was not present in at least 99.8% of the herds representing at least 99.9% of the cervids in the country or zone;
   c) a surveillance programme is in place to detect infection with M. tuberculosis complex in the country or zone through ante- and post-mortem inspections as described in Chapter 6.3.;
   d) regulatory measures have been implemented for the early detection of infection with M. tuberculosis complex in cervids;
   e) cervids and their germplasm introduced into the country or zone comply with the recommendations in Articles 8.11.7., 8.11.11. and 8.11.12.

2) To maintain the status as free from infection with M. tuberculosis complex in cervids, a country or zone should satisfy the following requirements:
   a) the requirements in points 1 a), 1 c), 1 d) and 1 e) above are met;
   b) a surveillance programme based on regular testing of cervids is in place in the country or zone to detect infection with M. tuberculosis complex in accordance with Article 1.4.4.;
   c) once the surveillance programme described in point b) has demonstrated that infection with M. tuberculosis complex has not been present in at least 99.8% of the herds representing 99.9% of the cervids in the country or zone for two consecutive years, surveillance may be maintained through ante- and post-mortem inspections as described in Chapter 6.3.

3) The country or zone status free from infection with M. tuberculosis complex in cervids is not affected by the occurrence of infection with M. tuberculosis complex in other animal categories or feral or wild animals provided that measures intended to prevent transmission of infection with M. tuberculosis complex to cervids have been implemented and are periodically reassessed.

Article 8.11.6.

Herd free from infection with M. tuberculosis complex in bovids or cervids

1) To qualify as free from infection with M. tuberculosis complex, a herd of bovids or cervids should satisfy the following requirements:
   a) the herd is in a country or zone free from infection with M. tuberculosis complex in bovids or in cervids and is certified free by the Veterinary Authority;
   OR
   b) the herd satisfies the following requirements:
      i) infection with M. tuberculosis complex in animals is a notifiable disease in the entire country;
      ii) no occurrence of infection with M. tuberculosis complex has been detected in the herd for at least the past 12 months;
      iii) bovids or cervids in the herd have shown no clinical signs of infection with M. tuberculosis complex or lesions at ante- or post-mortem inspections for at least the past 12 months;
      iv) two tests have been performed with negative results at a minimum interval of six months on all bovids or cervids over six weeks of age present in the herd at the time of testing. The first test was performed at least six months after the removal of the last case;
      v) bovids or cervids and their germplasm introduced into the herd comply with Articles 8.11.7., 8.11.10., 8.11.11. and 8.11.12.;
      vi) for at least the past 12 months, there has been no occurrence of infection with M. tuberculosis complex in other herds of the same establishments or measures have been implemented to prevent any transmission of infection with M. tuberculosis complex from these other herds.
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2) To maintain the free status, either:
   a) the requirements in point 1 a) are met;
   OR
   b) the requirements in points 1 b) i) to iii), v) and vi) are met and bovids or cervids in the herd:
      i) showed a negative result to an annual test to ensure the continuing absence of infection with M. tuberculosis complex; OR
      ii) showed a negative result to a test every two years to ensure the continuing absence of infection with M. tuberculosis complex if it has been confirmed that the annual percentage of herds infected with M. tuberculosis complex is not more than 1% of all herds in the country or zone during the past two years; OR
      iii) showed a negative result to a test every three years to ensure the continuing absence of infection with M. tuberculosis complex if it has been confirmed that the annual percentage of herds infected with M. tuberculosis complex is not more than 0.2% of all herds in the country or zone during the past four years; OR
      iv) showed a negative result to a test every four years to ensure the continuing absence of infection with M. tuberculosis complex if it has been confirmed that the annual percentage of herds infected with M. tuberculosis complex is not more than 0.1% of all herds in the country or zone during the past six years;
   OR
   c) the requirements in points 1 b) i) to iii), v) and vi) are met; and
      i) the risk of transmission of infection with M. tuberculosis complex from known wildlife reservoirs has been assessed through active surveillance;
      ii) all herds identified as being at risk are subjected to a testing programme commensurate with the assessed epidemiological risk of infection with M. tuberculosis complex. In identifying herds at risk, the following should be considered:
         – a location associated with suspected or confirmed infection with M. tuberculosis complex in wildlife; or
         – a history of infection with M. tuberculosis complex within last five years; or
         – an epidemiological link with herds in either of the two points above.

Article 8.11.7.

Recommendations for the importation of bovids or cervids for breeding or rearing

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the bovids or cervids:

1) showed no clinical signs of infection with M. tuberculosis complex on the day of shipment;
2) a) originate from a herd free from infection with M. tuberculosis complex that is in a country or zone free from infection with M. tuberculosis complex; or
   b) originate from a herd free from infection with M. tuberculosis complex and have been tested for infection with M. tuberculosis complex with negative results within 30 days prior to shipment; or
   c) have been isolated for at least six months prior to shipment including protection from contact with any reservoir of M. tuberculosis complex and all isolated animals showed negative results to at least two consecutive tests carried out at a six-month interval, with the second test performed within 30 days prior to shipment.

Article 8.11.8.

Recommendations for the importation of goats for breeding or rearing

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) infection with M. tuberculosis complex in animals is a notifiable disease in the entire country;
2) the goats showed no clinical signs of infection with M. tuberculosis complex on the day of shipment;
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3) either:
   a) the goats have been kept since birth in herds in which no case of infection with M. tuberculosis complex has been detected for the past three years; or
   b) have been isolated for at least six months prior to shipment including protection from contact with any reservoir of M. tuberculosis complex and all isolated animals showed negative results to at least two consecutive tests carried out at a six-month interval, with the second test performed within 30 days prior to shipment.

Article 8.11.9.

Recommendations for the importation of bovids or cervids for slaughter

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the bovids or cervids:

1) showed no clinical signs of infection with M. tuberculosis complex on the day of shipment;
2) either:
   a) originate from a country, zone or herd free from infection with M. tuberculosis complex; or
   b) are not being culled as part of an eradication programme against infection with M. tuberculosis complex and were tested for infection with M. tuberculosis complex with negative results within 30 days prior to shipment.

Article 8.11.10.

Recommendations for the importation of semen of bovids

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor males showed no clinical signs of infection with M. tuberculosis complex on the day of collection of the semen;
2) the donor males:
   a) were kept in an artificial insemination centre complying with the provisions of Chapter 4.5. and complied with Article 4.6.2.; or
   b) were kept in a herd free from infection with M. tuberculosis complex that is in a country or zone free from infection with M. tuberculosis complex; or
   c) were kept in a herd free from infection with M. tuberculosis complex and showed negative results to a test performed within 30 days prior to collection of the semen, which was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Articles 4.5.4., 4.5.5., and 4.6.5. to 4.6.7.

Article 8.11.11.

Recommendations for the importation of semen of cervids

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor males showed no clinical signs of infection with M. tuberculosis complex on the day of collection of the semen;
2) the donor males either:
   a) were kept in a herd free from infection with M. tuberculosis complex in a country or zone free from infection with M. tuberculosis complex; or
   b) were kept in a herd free from infection with M. tuberculosis complex and showed negative results to a test performed within 30 days prior to collection of the semen, which was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Articles 4.5.4., 4.5.5., and 4.6.5. to 4.6.7.
Article 8.11.12.

Recommendations for the importation of embryos of bovids or cervids

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor females either:
   a) originated from a herd free from infection with M. tuberculosis complex in a country or zone free from infection with M. tuberculosis complex; or
   b) were kept in a herd free from infection with M. tuberculosis complex, and were subjected to a test for infection with M. tuberculosis complex with negative results during an isolation period of 30 days in the establishment of origin prior to collection;

2) the semen used for embryo production complied with Article 8.11.10. or Article 8.11.11.;

3) the embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with the relevant provisions of Chapters 4.7. to 4.9.

Article 8.11.13.

Recommendations for the importation of milk and milk products of bovids

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the milk or milk products:

1) have been derived from bovids in a herd free from infection with M. tuberculosis complex; or

2) were subjected to pasteurisation or any combination of control measures with equivalent performance as described in the Codex Alimentarius Code of Hygienic Practice for Milk and Milk Products.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2017.
CHAPTER 8.12.

NEW WORLD SCREWWORM
(COCHLIOMYIA HOMINIVORAX)
AND OLD WORLD SCREWWORM
(CHRYSONOMYIA BEZZIANA)

Recommendations for importation from countries considered infested with New World or Old World screwworm

For domestic and wild mammals

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) immediately prior to loading, the animals to be exported have been inspected, on the premises of origin, by an official veterinarian. After inspection for wounds with egg masses or larvae of New World or Old World screwworm, any infested animal has been rejected for export;

2) immediately prior to entering the quarantine pens in the exporting country:
   a) each animal has been thoroughly examined for infested wounds, under the direct supervision of an official veterinarian, and that no infestation has been found in any animal; and
   b) any wounds have been prophylactically treated with an officially approved oily larvicide at the recommended dose; and
   c) all animals have been dipped, sprayed, or otherwise treated, immediately after inspection, with a product officially approved by the importing and exporting countries for the control of New World or Old World screwworm, under the supervision of an official veterinarian and in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations;

3) at the end of the quarantine and immediately prior to shipment for export:
   a) all animals have been re-examined for the presence of infestation and all animals have been found free of infestation;
   b) all wounds have been prophylactically treated with an approved oily larvicide under the supervision of an official veterinarian;
   c) all animals have been prophylactically treated again by dipping or spraying as in point 2) above.

Quarantine and transportation recommendations

1) The floor of the quarantine area and the vehicles must be thoroughly sprayed with an officially approved larvicide before and after each use.

2) The transit route must be the most direct, with no stopover without prior permission of the importing country.

Post importation inspection

1) On arrival at the importation point, all animals must be thoroughly inspected for wounds and possible New World or Old World screwworm infestation under the supervision of an official veterinarian.

2) The bedding material of the vehicle and the quarantine area should immediately be gathered and burned following each consignment.
Chapter 8.12.- New world screwworm (Cochliomyia hominivorax) and Old world screwworm (Chrysomya bezziana)

Article 8.12.4.

Import/export of animal products

The larval stage of the New World or Old World screwworm fly is dependent on live animals and cannot survive for any length of time in dead tissue or animal products; therefore, restrictions on these products are not considered necessary.

CHAPTER 8.13.

PARATUBERCULOSIS

Article 8.13.1.

General provisions

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2004.
CHAPTER 8.14.

INFECTION WITH RABIES VIRUS


General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code:

1) rabies is a disease caused by one member of the Lyssavirus genus: the Rabies virus (formerly referred to as classical rabies virus, genotype-1); all mammals are susceptible to infection;

2) a case is any animal infected with the Rabies virus species;

3) the incubation period for rabies is variable, and considered to be six months; the infective period for dogs, cats and ferrets is considered to start ten days before the onset of the first apparent clinical signs.

Globally, the most common source of exposure of humans to rabies virus is the dog. Other mammals, particularly members of the Orders Carnivora and Chiroptera, also present a risk.

The aim of this chapter is to mitigate the risk of rabies to human and animal health and to prevent the international spread of the disease.

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, a country that does not fulfil the requirements in Article 8.14.3. is considered to be infected with Rabies virus.

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 8.14.2.

Control of rabies in dogs

In order to minimise public health risks due to rabies, and eventually eradicate rabies in dogs, Veterinary Authorities should implement the following:

1) rabies should be notifiable in the whole country and any change in the epidemiological situation or relevant events should be reported in accordance with Chapter 1.1.;

2) an effective system of disease surveillance in accordance with Chapter 1.4. should be in operation, with a minimum requirement being an ongoing early detection programme to ensure investigation and reporting of suspected cases of rabies in animals;

3) specific regulatory measures for the prevention and control of rabies should be implemented consistent with the recommendations in the Terrestrial Code, including vaccination, identification and effective procedures for the importation of dogs, cats and ferrets;

4) a programme for the management of stray dog populations consistent with Chapter 7.7. should be implemented and maintained.

Article 8.14.3.

Rabies free country

A country may be considered free from rabies when:

1) the disease is notifiable and any change in the epidemiological situation or relevant events are reported in accordance with Chapter 1.1.;

2) an ongoing system of disease surveillance in accordance with Chapter 1.4. has been in operation for the past two years, with a minimum requirement being an ongoing early detection programme to ensure investigation and reporting of rabies suspect animals;
3) regulatory measures for the prevention of rabies are implemented consistent with the recommendations in the Terrestrial Code, including for the importation of animal;

4) no case of indigenously acquired rabies virus infection has been confirmed during the past two years;

5) no imported case in the Orders Carnivora or Chiroptera has been confirmed outside a quarantine station for the past six months.

An imported human case of rabies does not affect the rabies free status.

Article 8.14.4.

Recommendations for importation from rabies free countries

For domestic mammals, and captive wild mammals

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of rabies the day prior to or on the day of shipment;

2) and either:
   a) were kept since birth or at least six months prior to shipment in a free country; or
   b) were imported in accordance with the regulations stipulated in Articles 8.14.6., 8.14.7., 8.14.8. or 8.14.9.

Article 8.14.5.

Recommendations for importation from rabies free countries

For wild mammals

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of rabies the day prior to or on the day of shipment;

2) and either:
   a) have been captured at a distance that precludes any contact with animals in an infected country. The distance should be defined in accordance with the biology of the species exported, including home range and long distance movements; or
   b) have been kept in captivity for the six months prior to shipment in a rabies free country.


Recommendations for importation of dogs, cats and ferrets from countries considered infected with rabies

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate complying with the model of Chapter 5.11. attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of rabies the day prior to or on the day of shipment;

2) were permanently identified and their identification number stated in the certificate;

AND EITHER:

3) were vaccinated or revaccinated, in accordance with the recommendations of the manufacturer. The vaccine should have been produced and used in accordance with the Terrestrial Manual; and

4) were subjected not less than 3 months and not more than 12 months prior to shipment to an antibody titration test as prescribed in the Terrestrial Manual with a positive result of at least 0.5IU/ml;

OR

5) were kept in a quarantine station for six months prior to export.
Article 8.14.7.

Recommendations for importation of domestic ruminants, equids, camels and suids from countries considered infected with rabies

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:
1) showed no clinical sign of rabies the day prior to or on the day of shipment;
2) were permanently identified and the identification number stated in the certificate;
3) EITHER
   a) were kept for the 6 months prior to shipment in an establishment where there has been no case of rabies for at least 12 months prior to shipment;
   OR
   b) were vaccinated or revaccinated in accordance with the recommendations of the manufacturer. The vaccine was produced and used in accordance with the Terrestrial Manual.


Recommendations for importation from countries considered infected with rabies

For rodents and lagomorphs born and reared in a biosecure facility

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:
1) showed no clinical sign of rabies on the day of shipment;
2) were kept since birth in a biosecure facility where there has been no case of rabies for at least 12 months prior to shipment.


Recommendations for importation of wildlife from countries considered infected with rabies

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:
1) showed no clinical sign of rabies the day prior to or on the day of shipment;
2) were kept for the six months prior to shipment in an establishment where separation from susceptible animals was maintained and where there has been no case of rabies for at least 12 months prior to shipment.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2015.
CHAPTER 8.15.

INFECTION WITH RIFT VALLEY FEVER VIRUS

Article 8.15.1.

General provisions

1) The aim of this chapter is to mitigate the animal and public health risks posed by Rift Valley fever (RVF) and to prevent its international spread.

2) Humans and many animal species are susceptible to infection. For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, RVF is defined as an infection of ruminants with Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV).

3) The following defines the occurrence of infection with RVFV:
   a) RVFV, excluding vaccine strains, has been isolated and identified as such from a sample from a ruminant; or
   b) antigen or ribonucleic acid specific to RVFV, excluding vaccine strains, has been identified in a sample from a ruminant epidemiologically linked to a confirmed or suspected case of RVF, or giving cause for suspicion of association or contact with RVFV; or
   c) antibodies to RVFV antigens which are not the consequence of vaccination, have been identified in a sample from a ruminant with either epidemiological links to a confirmed or suspected case of RVF, or giving cause for suspicion of association or contact with RVFV.

4) For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the infective period for RVF shall be 14 days.

5) In areas where RVFV is present, epizootics of RVF may occur following favourable climatic, environmental conditions and availability of susceptible host and competent vector populations. Epizootics are separated by inter-epizootic periods.

6) For the purposes of this chapter:
   a) ‘area’ means a part of a country that experiences epizootics and inter-epizootic periods, but which does not correspond to the definition of zone;
   b) ‘epizootic of RVF’ means the occurrence of outbreaks at an incidence substantially exceeding that during an inter-epizootic period;
   c) ‘inter-epizootic period’ means the period of variable duration, often long, with intermittent low level of vector activity and low rate of virus transmission, which is often not detected;
   d) ruminants include dromedary camels.

7) The historical distribution of RVF has been parts of the African continent, Madagascar, some other Indian Ocean Islands and the south western Arabian Peninsula. However, vectors, environmental and climatic factors, land-use dynamics, and animal movements may modify the temporal and spatial distribution of the infection.

8) When authorising import or transit of the commodities covered in the chapter, with the exception of those listed in Article 8.15.2., Veterinary Authorities should require the conditions prescribed in this chapter relevant to the RVF status of the ruminant population of the exporting country.

9) Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 8.15.2.

Safe commodities

When authorising import or transit of the following commodities and any products made from them, Veterinary Authorities should not require any RVF-related conditions, regardless of the RVF status of the ruminant population of the exporting country:

1) hides and skins;
2) wool and fibre.
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Article 8.15.3.

Country or zone free from RVF

A country or a zone may be considered free from RVF when infection with RVFV is notifiable in the entire country and either:

1) it meets the requirements for historical freedom in point 1 a) of Article 1.4.6.; or
2) met the following conditions:
   a) an on-going pathogen-specific surveillance programme in accordance with Chapter 1.4. has demonstrated no evidence of infection with RVFV in ruminants in the country or zone for a minimum of ten years; and
   b) during that period no indigenous human cases have occurred in the country or zone.

A country or zone free from RVF will not lose its free status through the importation of ruminants that are seropositive, so long as they are either permanently identified as such or destined for immediate slaughter.

Article 8.15.4.

Country or zone infected with RVFV during the inter-epizootic period

A country or zone infected with RVFV, during the inter-epizootic period, is one in which virus activity is present at a low level but the factors predisposing to an epizootic are absent.

Article 8.15.5.

Country or zone infected with RVFV during an epizootic

A country or zone infected with RVFV, during an epizootic, is one in which outbreaks of RVF are occurring at an incidence substantially exceeding that of the inter-epizootic period.

Article 8.15.6.

Strategies to protect from vector attacks during transport

Strategies to protect animals from vector attacks during transport should take into account the local ecology of the vectors and potential risk management measures include:

1) treating animals with insect repellents prior to and during transportation;
2) loading, transporting and unloading animals at times of low vector activity;
3) ensuring vehicles do not stop en route during dawn or dusk, or overnight, unless the animals are held behind insect-proof netting;
4) using historical and current information to identify low risk ports and transport routes.

Article 8.15.7.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones free from RVF

For ruminants

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) were kept in a country or zone free from RVF since birth or for at least 14 days prior to shipment;

AND

2) either:
   a) were vaccinated at least 14 days prior to leaving the free country or zone; or
   b) did not transit through an area experiencing an epizootic during transportation to the place of shipment; or
   c) were protected from vector attacks when transiting through an area experiencing an epizootic.
Article 8.15.8.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones infected with RVFV during the inter-epizootic period

For ruminants

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no sign of RVF on the day of shipment;
2) met one of the following conditions:
   a) were vaccinated against RVF at least 14 days prior to shipment with a modified live virus vaccine; or
   b) were held for at least 14 days prior to shipment in a vector-protected quarantine station, which is located in an area of demonstrated low vector activity. During this period the animals showed no clinical sign of RVF;

AND

3) either:
   a) did not transit through an area experiencing an epizootic during transportation to the place of shipment; or
   b) were protected from vector attacks when transiting through an area experiencing an epizootic.

Article 8.15.9.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones infected with RVFV during an epizootic

For ruminants

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no sign of RVF on the day of shipment;
2) did not originate in the area of the epizootic;
3) were vaccinated against RVF at least 14 days prior to shipment;
4) were held for at least 14 days prior to shipment in a vector-protected quarantine station, which is located in an area of demonstrated low vector activity outside the area of the epizootic. During this period the animals showed no sign of RVF;
5) either:
   a) did not transit through an area experiencing an epizootic during transportation to the place of shipment; or
   b) were protected from vector attacks when transiting through an area experiencing an epizootic.

Article 8.15.10.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones not free from RVF

For semen and in vivo derived embryos of ruminants

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the donor animals:

1) showed no sign of RVF within the period from 14 days prior to and 14 days following collection of the semen or embryos;

AND

2) either:
   a) were vaccinated against RVF at least 14 days prior to collection; or
   b) were demonstrated to be seropositive on the day of collection; or
   c) testing of paired samples has demonstrated that seroconversion did not occur between semen or embryo collection and 14 days after.
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Article 8.15.11.

Recommendations for importation of fresh meat and meat products from ruminants from countries or zones not free from RVF

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the entire consignment of meat comes from:

1) ruminants which showed no clinical sign of RVF within 24 hours before slaughter;
2) ruminants which were slaughtered in an approved slaughterhouse/abattoir and were subjected to ante- and post-mortem inspections with favourable results;
3) carcasses which were submitted to maturation at a temperature above 2°C for a minimum period of 24 hours following slaughter.

Article 8.15.12.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones not free from RVF

For milk and milk products

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the consignment:

1) was subjected to pasteurisation; or
2) was subjected to a combination of control measures with equivalent performance as described in the Codex Alimentarius Code of Hygienic Practice for Milk and Milk Products.

Article 8.15.13.

Surveillance

Surveillance should be carried out in accordance with Chapter 1.4.

1) During an epizootic, surveillance should be conducted to define the extent of the affected area.
2) During the inter-epizootic period, surveillance and monitoring of climatic factors predisposing an epizootic should be carried out in countries or zones infected with RVFV.
3) Countries or zones adjacent to a country or zone in which epizootics have been reported should determine their RVF status through an on-going surveillance programme.

To determine areas of low vector activity (see Articles 8.15.8. and 8.15.9.) surveillance for arthropod vectors should be carried out in accordance with Chapter 1.5.

Examination of vectors for the presence of RVFV is an insensitive surveillance method and is therefore not recommended.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1986; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2016.
CHAPTER 8.16.

INFECTION WITH RINDERPEST VIRUS

Article 8.16.1.

Preamble

The global eradication of rinderpest has been achieved and was announced in mid-2011 based on the following:

1) Evidence demonstrates that there is no significant risk that rinderpest virus (RPV) remains in susceptible domesticated or wild host populations anywhere in the world.
2) All OIE Member and non-member countries have completed the pathway defined by the OIE for recognition of national rinderpest freedom and have been officially recognised by the OIE as free from the infection.
3) All vaccinations against rinderpest have ceased throughout the world.

However, RPV containing material including live vaccines continue to be held in a number of institutions around the world and this poses a risk of virus re-introduction into susceptible animals.

As sequestration and destruction of virus stocks proceed, the risks of re-introduction of infection into animals is expected to progressively diminish. The possibility of deliberate or accidental release of virus demands continuing vigilance, especially in the case of those countries known to host an institution holding RPV containing material. This chapter takes into account the new global status and provides recommendations to prevent re-emergence of the disease and to ensure adequate surveillance and protection of livestock.

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 8.16.2.

Definitions and general provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code:

1) RPV-containing material as referred to in Article 8.16.9. means field and laboratory strains of RPV; vaccine strains of RPV including valid and expired vaccine stocks; tissues, sera and other material from animals known or suspected to be infected; laboratory-generated diagnostic material containing live virus, recombinant morbilliviruses (segmented or nonsegmented) containing unique RPV nucleic acid or amino acid sequences, and full length genomic material including virus RNA and its cDNA copies;
2) subgenomic fragments of RPV genome (either as plasmid or incorporated into recombinant viruses) that cannot be incorporated into a replicating morbillivirus or morbillivirus-like virus are not considered to be RPV-containing material, neither are sera that have been either heat-treated to at least 56°C for at least two hours, or shown to be free from RPV genome sequences by a validated RT-PCR assay;
3) a ban on vaccination against rinderpest means a ban on administering any vaccine containing RPV or any components derived from RPV to any animal;
4) the incubation period for rinderpest shall be 21 days;
5) a case is defined as an animal infected with RPV whether or not showing clinical signs; and
6) for the purposes of this chapter, 'susceptible animals' means domestic, feral and wild artiodactyls.

Article 8.16.3.

Ongoing surveillance post global freedom

All countries in the world, whether or not Member Countries of the OIE, have completed all the procedures necessary to be recognised as free from rinderpest infection and annual re-confirmation of rinderpest absence is no longer required. However, countries are still required to carry out general surveillance in accordance with Chapter 1.4. to detect rinderpest should it recur and to comply with OIE reporting obligations concerning the occurrence of unusual
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Epidemiological events in accordance with Chapter 1.1. Countries should also maintain national contingency plans for responding to events suggestive of rinderpest.

Article 8.16.4.

Recommendations for international trade in livestock and their products

When authorising import or transit of livestock and their products, Veterinary Authorities should not require any rinderpest-related conditions.

Article 8.16.5.

Response to recurrence of rinderpest

1. Definition of a suspected case of rinderpest
   Rinderpest should be suspected if one or more animals of a susceptible species is found to be exhibiting clinical signs consistent with 'stomatitis-enteritis syndrome'.
   Stomatitis-enteritis syndrome is defined as fever with ocular and nasal discharges in combination with:
   a) clinical signs of erosions in the oral cavity with diarrhoea, dysentery, dehydration or death;
      or
   b) necropsy findings of haemorrhages on serosal surfaces, haemorrhages and erosions on alimentary mucosal surfaces and lymphadenopathy.
   Stomatitis-enteritis syndrome could indicate a number of diseases from which rinderpest should be differentiated by appropriate laboratory investigation.
   The detection of RPV specific antibodies in an animal of a susceptible species with or without clinical signs is considered a suspected case of rinderpest.

2. Procedures to be followed in the event of the suspicion of rinderpest
   Any direct or indirect detection of RPV in an animal or animal product shall be notified immediately.
   Upon detection of a suspected case, the national contingency plan should be implemented immediately. If the presence of rinderpest cannot be ruled out, samples should be collected in accordance with Chapter 2.1.15. of the Terrestrial Manual and dispatched to one of the appointed OIE-FAO Reference Laboratories for rinderpest for confirmation and, if applicable, for molecular characterisation of the virus to facilitate identification of its source. A full epidemiological investigation should be conducted simultaneously to provide supporting information and to assist in identifying the possible source and spread of the virus.

3. Definition of a case of rinderpest
   Rinderpest should be considered as confirmed when, based on a report from an appointed OIE-FAO Reference Laboratory for rinderpest:
   a) RPV has been isolated from an animal or a product derived from that animal and identified; or
   b) viral antigen or viral RNA specific to RPV has been identified in samples from one or more animals; or
   c) antibodies to RPV have been identified in one or more animals with either epidemiological links to a confirmed or suspected outbreak of rinderpest, or showing clinical signs consistent with recent infection with RPV.

4. Procedures to be followed after confirmation of rinderpest
   A case of rinderpest confirmed in an appointed OIE-FAO Reference Laboratory using a prescribed test shall constitute a global emergency requiring immediate, concerted action for its investigation and elimination.
   Immediately following the confirmation of the presence of RPV, viral RNA or antibody, the appointed OIE-FAO Reference Laboratory should inform the country concerned, the OIE and the FAO, allowing the initiation of the international contingency plan.
   In the event of the confirmation of rinderpest, the entire country is considered to be infected. When epidemiological investigation has indicated the extent of the infected area, infected and protection zones can be defined for the purposes of disease control. In the event of limited outbreaks, a single containment zone, which includes all cases,
may be established for the purposes of minimising the impact on the country. The *containment zone* should be established in accordance with Chapter 4.3. and may cross international boundaries.

Emergency *vaccination* is acceptable only with live-attenuated tissue culture rinderpest vaccine, produced in accordance with the *Terrestrial Manual*. Vaccinated animals should always be clearly identified at a *herd* or *flock* or individual level.

5) Global rinderpest freedom is suspended and the sanitary measures for trade with the infected country or countries shall revert to those in Articles 8.12.5. to 8.12.9. of the *Terrestrial Animal Health Code* 2010 Edition.

**Article 8.16.6.**

**Recovery of free status**

Should there be a confirmed occurrence of rinderpest, as defined above, a country or *zone* shall be considered as RPV infected until shown to be free through targeted *surveillance* involving clinical, serological and virological testing procedure.

The time needed to recover rinderpest free status of a country or *zone*, or of a *containment zone* if one is established, depends on the methods employed to achieve the elimination of infection.

One of the following waiting periods applies:

1) three months after the last *case* where a *stamping-out policy* and serological *surveillance* are applied in accordance with Article 8.16.8.; or

2) three months after the *slaughter* of all vaccinated animals where a *stamping-out policy*, *emergency vaccination* and serological *surveillance* are applied in accordance with Article 8.16.8.

The recovery of rinderpest free status requires an international expert mission to verify the successful application of containment and eradication measures, as well as a review of documented evidence by the OIE.

The country or *zone* shall be considered free only after the submitted evidence has been accepted by the OIE.

**Article 8.16.7.**

**Recovery of global freedom**

Global rinderpest freedom shall be reinstated provided that within six months of the confirmation of an *outbreak*, the following conditions have been met:

1) the *outbreak* was recognised in a timely manner and handled in accordance with the international contingency plan;

2) reliable epidemiological information clearly demonstrated that there was minimal spread of virus;

3) robust control measures consisting of stamping out *herds* or *flocks* containing infected animals, and any vaccinated animals, combined with sanitary procedures including movement controls were rapidly implemented and were successful in eliminating the RPV;

4) the origin of the virus was established, and it did not relate to an undetected reservoir of *infection*;

5) a *risk assessment* indicates that there is negligible *risk* of recurrence;

6) if *vaccination* was applied, all vaccinated animals were slaughtered or destroyed;

7) the affected country or *zone* has regained free status in accordance with Article 8.16.6.

If the conditions above are not met, the global rinderpest freedom is lost and Chapter 8.12. and Article 1.6.4. of the *Terrestrial Animal Health Code* 2010 Edition are reinstated. Recovery of global rinderpest freedom would then require re-establishment of an internationally coordinated rinderpest eradication programme and assessments of rinderpest free country status.
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Article 8.16.8.

Surveillance for recovery of rinderpest free status

A Member Country applying for reinstatement of rinderpest free status in accordance with Article 8.16.6. should provide evidence demonstrating effective surveillance in accordance with Chapter 1.4.

1) The target for surveillance should be all populations of rinderpest susceptible species within the country. In certain areas some wildlife populations, such as African buffaloes, act as sentinels for rinderpest infection.

2) Given that rinderpest is an acute infection with no known carrier state, virological surveillance should be conducted to confirm clinically suspected cases. A procedure should be established for the rapid collection and transport of samples from suspect cases to an appointed OIE-FAO Reference Laboratory for diagnosis.

3) An awareness programme should be established for all animal health professionals including veterinarians, both official and private, and livestock owners to ensure that rinderpest's clinical and epidemiological characteristics and risks of its recurrence are understood. Farmers and workers who have day-to-day contact with livestock, as well as diagnosticians, should report promptly any suspicion of rinderpest.

4) Differing clinical presentations can result from variations in levels of innate host resistance (Bos indicus breeds being more resistant than B. taurus), and variations in the virulence of the attacking strain. In the case of sub-acute (mild) cases, clinical signs are irregularly displayed and difficult to detect. Experience has shown that syndromic surveillance strategies i.e. surveillance based on a predefined set of clinical signs (e.g. searching for 'stomatitis-enteritis syndrome') are useful to increase the sensitivity of the system.

Article 8.16.9.

Annual update on RPV containing material

Annual reports on RPV containing material should be submitted to the OIE by the end of November each year by the Veterinary Authority of a Member Country hosting an institution or institutions holding RPV containing material. A separate report, drawn up in accordance with the model below, should be produced for each institution. A final report should be submitted to the OIE for each institution when all materials have been destroyed and no new activities are foreseen for the future.

Model annual report on rinderpest virus (RPV)-containing material as of 1 November [year]

Name of institution:

Biosecurity level of the facility holding RPV containing material:

Postal address:

Title and name of contact person:

E-mail/phone/fax:

1) **RPV containing material currently held as of 1 November [year]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Live viruses, including field isolates but excluding vaccine strains</th>
<th>Vaccine stocks including seed strains</th>
<th>Other potentially infectious materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Check [x] if yes</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strain/genetic characterisation

Quantity/doses (if applicable)

Ownership (if other institution)

2) **RPV containing material destroyed during the past 12 months**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Live viruses, including field isolates but excluding vaccine strains</th>
<th>Vaccine stocks including seed strains</th>
<th>Other potentially infectious materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Check [x] if yes</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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#### 3) RPV containing material transferred to another institution during the past 12 months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Live viruses, including field isolates but excluding vaccine strains</th>
<th>Vaccine stocks including seed strains</th>
<th>Other potentially infectious materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Check [x] if yes</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferred to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain/genetic characterisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantity/doses (if applicable)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 4) RPV containing material received from another institution during the past 12 months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Live viruses, including field isolates but excluding vaccine strains</th>
<th>Vaccine stocks including seed strains</th>
<th>Other potentially infectious materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Check [x] if yes</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received from</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain/genetic characterisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantity/doses (if applicable)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 5) Research or any other use conducted on RPV containing material during the past 12 months

[Please specify.]

---

**NB:** FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2018.
CHAPTER 8.17.

INFECTION WITH TRICHINELLA SPP.

Article 8.17.1.

General provisions

Trichinellosis is a widely distributed zoonosis caused by eating raw or undercooked meat from Trichinella infected food-producing animals or wildlife. Given that clinical signs of trichinellosis are not generally recognised in animals, the importance of trichinellosis lies exclusively in the risk posed to humans and costs of control in slaughter populations.

The adult parasite and the larval forms live in the small intestine and muscles (respectively) of many mammalian, avian and reptile host species. Within the genus Trichinella, twelve genotypes have been identified, nine of which have been designated as species. There is geographical variation amongst the genotypes.

Prevention of infection in susceptible species of domestic animals intended for human consumption relies on the prevention of exposure of those animals to the meat and meat products of Trichinella infected animals. This includes consumption of food waste of domestic animal origin, rodents and wildlife.

Meat and meat products derived from wildlife should be considered a potential source of infection for humans. Therefore untested meat and meat products of wildlife may pose a public health risk.

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, infection with Trichinella spp. is defined as an infection of suids or equids by parasites of the genus Trichinella.

This chapter provides recommendations for on-farm prevention of Trichinella infection in domestic pigs (Sus scrofa domesticus), and safe trade of meat and meat products derived from suids and equids. This chapter should be read in conjunction with the Codex Alimentarius Code of Hygienic Practice for Meat (CAC/RCP 58-2005) and Guidelines for the control of Trichinella spp. in meat of Suidae (CAC/GL 86-2015).

Methods for the detection of Trichinella infection in pigs and other animal species include direct demonstration of Trichinella larvae in muscle samples. Demonstration of the presence of Trichinella-specific circulating antibodies using a validated serological test may be useful for epidemiological purposes.

When authorising the import or transit of the commodities covered in this chapter, with the exception of those listed in Article 8.17.2., Veterinary Authorities should apply the recommendations in this chapter.

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 8.17.2.

Safe commodities

When authorising the import or transit of the following commodities, Veterinary Authorities should not require any Trichinella-related conditions, regardless of the status of the animal population of the exporting country or zone:

1) hides, skins, hair and bristles;

2) semen, oocytes and embryos.
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Article 8.17.3.

Measures to prevent infection in domestic pig herds kept under controlled management conditions

1) Prevention of infection is dependent on minimising exposure to potential sources of Trichinella:
   a) facilities and the surrounding environment should be managed to prevent exposure of pigs to rodents and wildlife;
   b) raw food waste of animal origin should not be present on pig establishments and should not be fed to pigs;
   c) feed should comply with the requirements in Chapter 6.4. and should be stored in a manner to prevent access by rodents and wildlife;
   d) a rodent control programme should be in place;
   e) dead animals should be immediately removed and disposed of in accordance with Chapter 4.12.;
   f) introduced pigs should originate from herds officially recognised as being under controlled management conditions as described in point 2), or from herds of a compartment with a negligible risk of Trichinella infection, as described in Article 8.17.5.

2) The Veterinary Authority may officially recognise pig herds as being under controlled management conditions if:
   a) all management practices described in point 1) are complied with and recorded;
   b) visits by approved auditors have been made periodically to verify compliance with good management practices described in point 1); the frequency of inspections should be risk-based, taking into account historical information, slaughterhouse/abattoir monitoring results, knowledge of established farm management practices and the presence of susceptible wildlife;
   c) a subsequent programme of audits is conducted, taking into account the factors described in point b).

Article 8.17.4.

Prerequisite criteria for the establishment of compartments with a negligible risk of Trichinella infection in domestic pigs kept under controlled management conditions

Compartment with a negligible risk of Trichinella infection in domestic pigs kept under controlled management conditions can only be established in countries, in which the following criteria, as applicable, are met:

1) Trichinella infection is notifiable in the whole territory and communication procedures on the occurrence of Trichinella infection are established between the Veterinary Authority and the public health authority;
2) the Veterinary Authority has knowledge of, and authority over, all domestic pigs;
3) the Veterinary Authority has current knowledge of the distribution of susceptible species of wildlife;
4) an animal identification and animal traceability system for domestic pigs is implemented in accordance with Chapters 4.1. and 4.2.;
5) Veterinary Services have the capability to assess the epidemiological situation, detect the presence of Trichinella infection (including genotype, if relevant) in domestic pigs and identify exposure pathways.

Article 8.17.5.

Compartment with a negligible risk of Trichinella infection in domestic pigs kept under controlled management conditions

The Veterinary Authority may recognise a compartment in accordance with Chapter 4.4. as having negligible risk of Trichinella infection in domestic pigs kept under controlled management conditions if the following conditions are met:

1) all herds of the compartment comply with the requirements in Article 8.17.3.;
2) Article 8.17.4. has been complied with for at least 24 months;
3) the absence of Trichinella infection in the compartment has been demonstrated by a surveillance programme which takes into account current and historical information, and slaughterhouse/abattoir monitoring results, as appropriate, in accordance with Chapter 1.4.;
4) once a compartment is established, a subsequent programme of audits of all herds within the compartment is in place to ensure compliance with Article 8.17.3.;
5) if an audit identifies a lack of compliance with the criteria described in Article 8.17.3. and the Veterinary Authority determines this to be a significant breach of biosecurity, the herd(s) concerned should be removed from the compartment until compliance is re-established.
Article 8.17.6.

**Recommendations for the importation of meat or meat products of domestic pigs**

*Veterinary Authorities of importing countries* should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the entire consignment of meat or meat products:

1) has been produced in accordance with the Codex Code of Hygienic Practice for Meat (CAC/RCP 58-2005); AND

2) either:

   a) comes from domestic pigs originating from a compartment with a negligible risk for *Trichinella* infection in accordance with Article 8.17.5.;

   OR

   b) comes from domestic pigs that tested negative by an approved method for the detection of *Trichinella* larvae;

   OR

   c) was processed to ensure the inactivation of *Trichinella* larvae in accordance with the Codex Guidelines for the control of *Trichinella* spp. in meat of Suidae (CAC/GL 86-2015).

Article 8.17.7.

**Recommendations for the importation of meat or meat products of wild or feral pigs**

*Veterinary Authorities of importing countries* should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the entire consignment of meat or meat products:

1) has been produced in accordance with the Codex Code of Hygienic Practice for Meat (CAC/RCP 58-2005); AND

2) either:

   a) comes from wild or feral pigs that tested negative by an approved method for the detection of *Trichinella* larvae;

   OR

   b) was processed to ensure the inactivation of *Trichinella* larvae in accordance with the Codex Guidelines for the control of *Trichinella* spp. in meat of Suidae (CAC/GL 86-2015).

Article 8.17.8.

**Recommendations for the importation of meat or meat products of domestic equids**

*Veterinary Authorities of importing countries* should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the entire consignment of meat or meat products:

1) has been produced in accordance with the Codex Code of Hygienic Practice for Meat (CAC/RCP 58-2005); AND

2) comes from domestic equids that tested negative by an approved method for the detection of *Trichinella* larvae.
Article 8.17.9.

**Recommendations for the importation of meat or meat products of wild and feral equids**

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the entire consignment of meat or meat products:

1) has been inspected in accordance with Chapter 6.3.;

AND

2) comes from wild or feral equids that tested negative by an approved method for the detection of *Trichinella* larvae.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2016.
CHAPTER 8.18.

TULAREMIA

Article 8.18.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the incubation period for tularemia (in hares, genus Lepus) shall be 15 days.

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 8.18.2.

Tularemia free country

A country may be considered free from tularemia when it has been shown that tularemia has not been present for at least the past two years and when bacteriological or serological surveys in previously infected zones have given negative results.

Article 8.18.3.

Tularemia infected zone

A zone should be considered as infected with tularemia until:

1) at least one year has elapsed after the last case has been confirmed;

AND

2) a bacteriological survey on ticks within the infected zone has given negative results; or

3) regular serological testing of hares and rabbits from that zone have given negative results.

Article 8.18.4.

Trade in commodities

Veterinary Authorities of tularemia free countries may prohibit importation or transit through their territory, from countries considered infected with tularemia, of live hares.
Article 8.18.5.

Recommendations for importation from countries considered infected with tularemia

For live hares

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:
1) showed no clinical sign of tularemia on the day of shipment;
2) were not kept in a tularemia infected zone;
3) have been treated against ectoparasites; and
4) were kept in a quarantine station for the 15 days prior to shipment.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1982; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2014.
CHAPTER 8.19.
WEST NILE FEVER

Article 8.19.1.

General provisions

West Nile fever (WNF) is a zoonotic disease caused by certain strains of the mosquito transmitted West Nile virus (WNV).

For the purposes of this chapter, the susceptible species are equidae, geese, ducks (under study) and birds other than poultry.

WNV is maintained in a mosquito–bird–mosquito transmission cycle, whereas humans and equidae are considered dead-end hosts. Most human infections occur by natural transmission from mosquitoes.

In relation to domestic animal trade, geese and ducks pose a risk for the spread of the WNV as some species have been documented to develop a viraemia sufficient to infect mosquitoes.

Surveillance for WNF should be carried out in accordance with Chapter X.X.

The following criteria define the occurrence of WNF:
1) WNV has been isolated from an animal that shows signs consistent with WNF; or
2) antigen or ribonucleic acid specific to WNV has been identified in samples from one or more animals that show clinical signs consistent with WNF, or that is epidemiologically linked to a confirmed or suspected outbreak of WNF; or
3) antibodies to WNV have been identified in an unvaccinated animal that shows clinical signs consistent with WNF, or that is epidemiologically linked to a confirmed or suspected outbreak of WNF.

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the incubation period for WNF shall be 15 days.

When authorising import or transit of the commodities covered in the chapter, with the exception of those listed in Article 8.19.2., Veterinary Authorities should require the conditions prescribed in this chapter relevant to the WNF status of the exporting country or zone.

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 8.19.2.

Safe commodities

Member Countries should not impose trade restrictions on dead-end hosts such as horses.

When authorising import or transit of the following commodities and any products made from these, Veterinary Authorities should not require any WNV-related conditions, regardless of the WNF status of the exporting country or zone:
1) hatching eggs;
2) eggs for human consumption;
3) egg products;
4) poultry semen;
5) fresh meat and meat products of poultry;
6) products of poultry origin intended for use in animal feeding, or for agricultural or industrial use;
7) feathers and down from poultry;
8) semen of horses;
9) meat and meat products of horses.
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Article 8.19.3.

WNF free country or zone

1) A country or zone may be considered free from WNF when WNF is notifiable in the whole country and either:
   a) no occurrence of WNF cases, where infection occurred within the territory of the Member Country, have been recorded for the past two years; or
   b) a surveillance programme in accordance with Chapter X.X. has demonstrated no evidence of WNV in the country or zone during the past two years.

2) A WNF free country or zone will not lose its free status through the importation from WNF infected countries or zones of:
   a) seropositive animals;
   b) semen, oocyte or embryo;
   c) animals vaccinated in accordance with the Terrestrial Manual at least 30 days prior to dispatch, and are identified in the accompanying certification as having been vaccinated; or
   d) animals not vaccinated if a surveillance programme in accordance with Chapter X.X. has been in place in the source population for a period of 30 days immediately prior to dispatch, and no evidence of WNV transmission has been detected.

Article 8.19.4.

WNF seasonally free country or zone

1) A WNF seasonally free country or zone is one in which for part of a year, surveillance demonstrates no evidence either of WNV transmission or presence of mosquitoes likely to be competent WNV vectors.

2) For the application of Article 8.19.6., the seasonally free period is taken to commence 21 days following the last evidence of WNV transmission (as demonstrated by the surveillance programme), or the cessation of activity of mosquitoes likely to be competent WNV vectors.

3) For the application of Article 8.19.6., the seasonally free period is taken to conclude either:
   a) at least 21 days before the earliest date that historical data show WNV transmission cycle has recommenced; or
   b) immediately if current climatic data or data from a surveillance programme indicate an earlier resurgence of activity of mosquitoes likely to be competent WNV vectors.

4) A WNF seasonally free country or zone will not lose its free status through the importation from WNF infected countries or zones of:
   a) seropositive animals;
   b) semen, oocyte or embryo;
   c) animals vaccinated in accordance with the Terrestrial Manual at least 30 days prior to dispatch, and are identified in the accompanying certification as having been vaccinated; or
   d) animals not vaccinated if a surveillance programme in accordance with Chapter X.X. has been in place in the source population for a period of 30 days immediately prior to dispatch, and no evidence of WNV transmission has been detected.

Article 8.19.5.

Recommendations for importation from WNF free countries or zones

For ducks (under study), geese and birds other than poultry

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the animals were kept in a WNF free country or zone since birth or for at least 30 days prior to shipment; or
2) the animals were kept in a WNF free country or zone for at least 15 days, were subjected, with negative results, to an agent identification test in accordance with the Terrestrial Manual carried out on a sample collected at least 3 days after the commencement of the residence period and remained in the WNF free country or zone until shipment; or
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3) the animals:
   a) were vaccinated in accordance with the Terrestrial Manual 30 days before introduction into the free country or zone; and
   b) were identified as having been vaccinated; and
   c) were kept in a WNF free country or zone for at least 15 days; and
   d) remained in the WNF free country or zone until shipment;

AND

4) if the animals were exported from a WNF free zone, either:
   a) did not transit through an infected country or zone during transportation to the place of shipment; or
   b) were protected from mosquito attacks at all times when transiting through an infected country or zone; or
   c) had been vaccinated in accordance with point 3) above.

Article 8.19.6.

Recommendations for importation from WNF seasonally free countries or zones

For ducks (under study), geese and birds other than poultry

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) were kept during the seasonally free period in a WNF seasonally free country or zone since birth or for at least 30 days prior to shipment; or

2) were kept during the WNF seasonally free period in a WNF seasonally free country or zone for at least 15 days prior to shipment, and were subjected during the residence period in the country or zone to an identification test in accordance with the Terrestrial Manual, with negative results, carried out on a sample collected at least 3 days after the commencement of the residence period and remained in the WNF seasonally free country or zone until shipment; or

3) were kept during the seasonally free period in a WNF seasonally free country or zone for at least 15 days prior to shipment, and were vaccinated in accordance with the Terrestrial Manual 30 days before introduction into the free country or zone against WNF, were identified as having been vaccinated and remained in the WNF seasonally free country or zone until shipment;

AND

4) if the animals were exported from a WNF seasonally free country or zone, either:
   a) did not transit through an infected country or zone during transportation to the place of shipment; or
   b) were protected from mosquito attacks at all times when transiting through an infected country or zone; or
   c) had been vaccinated in accordance with point 3) above.

Article 8.19.7.

Recommendations for importation from WNF infected countries or infected zones

For ducks (under study) and geese

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) were protected from mosquito attacks for at least 30 days prior to shipment; or

2) were subjected to a serological test in accordance with the Terrestrial Manual to detect WNV neutralising antibodies with positive results; or

3) were protected from mosquito attacks for at least 15 days prior to shipment, and were subjected during that period to an identification test in accordance with the Terrestrial Manual, with negative results, carried out on a sample collected at least 3 days after being introduced in the mosquito-free zone; or

4) were vaccinated at least 30 days before shipment in accordance with the Terrestrial Manual against WNV and were identified in the accompanying certification as having been vaccinated; or

5) are not vaccinated and a surveillance programme in accordance with Chapter X.X. has been in place in the source population for a period of 30 days immediately prior to shipment, and no evidence of WNV transmission has been detected;
AND
6) were protected from mosquito attacks during transportation to the place of shipment.

Article 8.19.8.

Recommendations for the importation from WNF infected countries or zones

For birds other than poultry

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:
1) the birds showed no clinical sign of WNF on the day of shipment; and
2) the birds were kept in a quarantine station in a mosquito-free environment for 30 days prior to shipment and a statistically valid sample was subjected, with negative results, to an agent identification test in accordance with the Terrestrial Manual at least 3 days after the commencement of the residence period.

Article 8.19.9.

Protecting animals from mosquito attacks

When transporting animals through WNF infected countries or zones, Veterinary Authorities should require strategies to protect susceptible animals from mosquito attacks during transport, taking into account the local ecology of the mosquitoes.

Potential risk management strategies include:
1) treating animals with insect repellents prior to and during transportation;
2) ensuring vehicles do not stop en route unless the animals are held behind insect-proof netting;
3) surveillance for vectors at common stopping and offloading points to gain information on seasonal variations;
4) integrated pest management practices at holding, common stopping and offloading points;
5) using historical, ongoing and/or WNF modelling information to identify low risk ports and transport routes.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 2009.
SECTION 9.
APIDAE

CHAPTER 9.1.
INFESTATION OF HONEY BEES WITH ACARAPIS WOODI

Article 9.1.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, acarapisosis, also known as acarine disease or tracheal mite infestation, is an infestation of adult honey bees (species of the genus Apis), primarily Apis mellifera L., with the mite Acarapis woodi, an internal obligate parasite of the respiratory system which spreads by direct contact from adult honey bee to adult honey bee.

When authorising import or transit of the commodities covered in the chapter, with the exception of those listed in Article 9.1.2., Veterinary Authorities should require the conditions prescribed in this chapter relevant to the acarapisosis status of the honey bee population of the exporting country or zone.

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 9.1.2.

Safe commodities

When authorising import or transit of the following commodities, Veterinary Authorities should not require any acarapisosis-related conditions, regardless of the acarapisosis status of the honey bee population of the exporting country or zone:

1) pre-imago (eggs, larvae and pupae) of honey bees;
2) honey bee semen;
3) honey bee venom;
4) used apicultural equipment;
5) honey;
6) bee-collected pollen;
7) propolis;
8) beeswax;
9) royal jelly.
Chapter 9.1.- Infestation of honey bees with Acarapis woodi

Article 9.1.3.

Determination of the acarapisosis status of a country or zone

The acarapisosis status of a country or zone can only be determined after considering the following criteria:

1) a risk assessment has been conducted, identifying all potential factors for acarapisosis occurrence and their historic perspective;

2) acarapisosis is notifiable in the whole country or zone, and all clinical signs suggestive of acarapisosis are subjected to field and laboratory investigations;

3) an ongoing awareness programme is in place to encourage reporting of all cases suggestive of acarapisosis;

4) the Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority with responsibility for reporting and control of diseases of honey bees has current knowledge of, and authority over, all domesticated apiaries in the whole country.

Article 9.1.4.

Country or zone free from acarapisosis

1) Historically free status

A country or zone may be considered free from acarapisosis after conducting a risk assessment as referred to in Article 9.1.3. but without formally applying a specific surveillance programme if the country or zone complies with Chapter 1.4.

2) Free status as a result of an eradication programme

A country or zone which does not meet the conditions of point 1) above may be considered free from acarapisosis after conducting a risk assessment as referred to in Article 9.1.3. and when:

a) the Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority with responsibility for reporting and control of diseases of honey bees has current knowledge of, and authority over, all domesticated apiaries existing in the country or zone;

b) acarapisosis is notifiable in the whole country or zone, and any clinical cases suggestive of acarapisosis are subjected to field and laboratory investigations;

c) for the three years following the past reported case of acarapisosis, annual surveys supervised by the Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority, with no positive results, have been carried out on a representative sample of apiaries in the country or zone to provide a confidence level of at least 95% of detecting acarapisosis if at least 1% of the apiaries were infected at a within-apiary prevalence rate of at least 5% of the hives; such surveys may be targeted towards apiaries, areas and seasons with a higher likelihood of disease;

d) to maintain free status, an annual survey supervised by the Veterinary Authority, with no positive results, is carried out on a representative sample of apiaries in the country or zone to indicate that there has been no new cases; such surveys may be targeted towards areas with a higher likelihood of disease;

e) either there is no wild or self-sustaining feral population of species of the genus Apis in the country or zone, or there is an ongoing surveillance programme of the wild or self-sustaining feral population of species of the genus Apis which demonstrates no evidence of the presence of the disease in the country or zone;

f) the importation of the commodities listed in this chapter into the country or zone is carried out in accordance with the recommendations of this chapter.
Article 9.1.5.

Recommendations for the importation of live queen, worker and drone honey bees with or without associated brood combs

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the honey bees come from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from acarapisosis or the apiaries meet the conditions prescribed in Chapter 4.14. (Article 4.14.5.). With regards to the provisions detailed in point 2) of Article 4.14.5., this will be achieved by a statistically valid number of honey bees per colony being examined by any method complying with the relevant chapter of the Terrestrial Manual and found free of all life stages of A. woodi.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2013.
CHAPTER 9.2.

INFECTION OF HONEY BEES WITH PAENIBACILLUS LARVAE (AMERICAN FOULBROOD)

Article 9.2.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, American foulbrood is a disease of the larval and pupal stages of honey bees (species of the genus Apis) caused by Paenibacillus larvae (P. larvae), which is widely distributed. P. larvae is a bacterium that can produce over one billion spores in each infected larva. The spores are very long-living and extremely resistant to heat and chemical agents, and only the spores are capable of inducing the disease.

Combs with American foulbrood infected pre-imago of honey bees show distinctive clinical signs which can allow the disease to be diagnosed in the field. However, subclinical infections are common and require laboratory diagnosis.

When authorising import or transit of the commodities covered in the chapter, with the exception of those listed in Article 9.2.2., Veterinary Authorities should require the conditions prescribed in this chapter relevant to the American foulbrood status of the honey bee population of the exporting country or zone.

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 9.2.2.

Safe commodities

When authorising import or transit of the following commodities, Veterinary Authorities should not require any American foulbrood-related conditions, regardless of the American foulbrood status of the honey bee population of the exporting country or zone:

1) honey bee semen;
2) honey bee venom;
3) honey bee eggs.

Article 9.2.3.

Determination of the American foulbrood status of a country or zone

The American foulbrood status of a country or zone can only be determined after considering the following criteria:

1) a risk assessment has been conducted, identifying all potential factors for American foulbrood occurrence and their historic perspective;
2) American foulbrood is notifiable in the whole country or zone, and all clinical signs suggestive of American foulbrood are subjected to field and laboratory investigations;
3) an ongoing awareness programme is in place to encourage reporting of all cases suggestive of American foulbrood;
4) the Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority with responsibility for reporting and control of diseases of honey bees has current knowledge of, and authority over, all domesticated apiaries in the country.
Chapter 9.2.- Infection of honey bees with Paenibacillus larvae (American foulbrood)

Article 9.2.4.

Country or zone free from American foulbrood

1) Historically free status

A country or zone may be considered free from the disease after conducting a risk assessment as referred to in Article 9.2.3. and without formally applying a specific surveillance programme if the country or zone complies with Article 1.4.

2) Free status as a result of an eradication programme

A country or zone which does not meet the conditions of point 1) above may be considered free from American foulbrood after conducting a risk assessment as referred to in Article 9.2.3. and when:

a) the Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority with responsibility for reporting and control of diseases of honey bees has current knowledge of, and authority over, all domesticated apiaries existing in the country or zone;

b) American foulbrood is notifiable in the whole country or zone, and any clinical cases suggestive of American foulbrood are subjected to field and laboratory investigations;

c) for the five years following the last reported isolation of the American foulbrood agent, annual surveys supervised by the Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority, with no positive results, have been carried out on a representative sample of apiaries in the country or zone to provide a confidence level of at least 95% of detecting American foulbrood if at least 1% of the apiaries were infected at a within-apiary prevalence rate of at least 5% of the hives; such surveys may be targeted towards areas with the last reported isolation of the American foulbrood agent;

d) to maintain free status, an annual survey supervised by the Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority, with no positive results, is carried out on a representative sample of hives in the country or zone to indicate that there has been no new isolations; such surveys may be targeted towards areas with a higher likelihood of isolation;

e) either there is no wild or self-sustaining feral population of species of the genus Apis in the country or zone, or there is an ongoing surveillance programme of the wild or self-sustaining feral population of species of the genus Apis which demonstrates no evidence of the presence of the disease in the country or zone;

f) all equipment associated with previously infected apiaries has been sterilised or destroyed;

3) the importation of the commodities listed in this chapter into the country or zone is carried out in accordance with the recommendations of this chapter.

Article 9.2.5.

Recommendations for the importation of live queen, worker and drone honey bees with or without associated brood combs

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the honey bees come from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from American foulbrood; or

2) the shipment comprises only honey bees without associated brood combs and:

a) the honey bees come from apiaries meeting the conditions prescribed in Article 4.14.5.; and

b) the apiaries where the honey bees come from are situated in the centre of an area with a radius of 3 kilometres where there has been no outbreak of American foulbrood during the past 30 days.

Article 9.2.6.

Recommendations for the importation of larvae and pupae of honey bees

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the commodities:

1) come from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from American foulbrood; or

2) have been isolated from queens in a quarantine station, and all workers which accompanied the queen or a representative sample of larvae were examined for the presence of P. larvae by bacterial culture or PCR in accordance with the Terrestrial Manual.
Chapter 9.2.- Infection of honey bees with Paenibacillus larvae (American foulbrood)

Article 9.2.7.

Recommendations for the importation of used apicultural equipment

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the equipment:

1) comes from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from American foulbrood; or
2) was sterilised under the supervision of the Veterinary Authority in accordance with one of the following procedures:
   a) by irradiation with 10 kilogray (suitable for all the used equipment); or
   b) by either immersion in 1% sodium hypochlorite for at least 30 minutes (suitable only for non-porous materials such as plastic and metal); or
   c) by immersion for at least 10 minutes in molten paraffin wax heated to 160°C (suitable only for wooden equipment); or
   d) by any procedure of equivalent efficacy recognised by the Veterinary Authorities of the importing and exporting countries.

Article 9.2.8.

Recommendations for the importation of honey, honey bee-collected pollen, beeswax, propolis and royal jelly for use in apiculture

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the commodities:

1) come from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from American foulbrood; or
2) have been processed to ensure the destruction of both bacillary and spore forms of P. larvae by irradiation with ten kilogray or any procedure of equivalent efficacy recognised by the Veterinary Authorities of the importing and exporting countries; or
3) have been found free from spore forms of P. larvae by a test method described in the relevant chapter of the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 9.2.9.

Recommendations for the importation of honey, honey bee-collected pollen, beeswax, propolis and royal jelly for human consumption

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries free from American foulbrood should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the products:

1) come from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from American foulbrood; or
2) have been processed to ensure the destruction of both bacillary and spore forms of P. larvae by irradiation with ten kilogray or any procedure of equivalent efficacy recognised by the Veterinary Authorities of the importing and exporting countries; or
3) have been found free from spore forms of P. larvae by a test method described in the relevant chapter of the Terrestrial Manual.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1982; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2013.
CHAPTER 9.3.

INFECTION OF HONEY BEES WITH

MELISSOCOCCUS PLUTONIUS

(EUROPEAN FOULBROOD)

Article 9.3.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, European foulbrood is a disease of the larval and pupal stages of honey bees (species of the genus Apis), caused by Melissococcus plutonius (M. plutonius), a non-sporulating bacterium, which is widely distributed. Subclinical infections are common and require laboratory diagnosis. Infection remains enzootic because of mechanical contamination of the honeycombs. Recurrences of disease can therefore be expected in subsequent years.

When authorising import or transit of the commodities covered in the chapter, with the exception of those listed in Article 9.3.2., Veterinary Authorities should require the conditions prescribed in this chapter relevant to the European foulbrood status of the honey bee population of the exporting country or zone.

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 9.3.2.

Safe commodities

When authorising import or transit of the following commodities, Veterinary Authorities should not require any European foulbrood-related conditions, regardless of the European foulbrood status of the honey bee population of the exporting country or zone:

1) honey bee semen;
2) honey bee venom.

Article 9.3.3.

Determination of the European foulbrood status of a country or zone

The European foulbrood status of a country or zone can only be determined after considering the following criteria:

1) a risk assessment has been conducted, identifying all potential factors for European foulbrood occurrence and their historic perspective;

2) European foulbrood is notifiable in the whole country or zone, and all clinical signs suggestive of European foulbrood are subjected to field and laboratory investigations;

3) an ongoing awareness programme is in place to encourage reporting of all cases suggestive of European foulbrood;

4) the Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority with responsibility for reporting and control of diseases of honey bees has current knowledge of, and authority over, all apiaries in the whole country.
Chapter 9.3.- Infection of honey bees with Melissococcus plutonius (European foulbrood)

Article 9.3.4.

Country or zone free from European foulbrood

1) Historically free status
   A country or zone may be considered free from the disease after conducting a risk assessment as referred to in Article 9.3.3. but without formally applying a specific surveillance programme if the country or zone complies with Chapter 1.4.

2) Free status as a result of an eradication programme
   A country or zone which does not meet the conditions of point 1) above may be considered free from European foulbrood after conducting a risk assessment as referred to in Article 9.3.3. and when:
   a) the Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority with responsibility for reporting and control of diseases of honey bees has current knowledge of, and authority over, all domesticated apiaries existing in the country or zone;
   b) European foulbrood is notifiable in the whole country or zone, and any clinical cases suggestive of European foulbrood are subjected to field and laboratory investigations;
   c) for the three years following the last reported isolation of the European foulbrood agent, an annual survey supervised by the Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority, with no positive results, have been carried out on a representative sample of apiaries in the country or zone to provide a confidence level of at least 95% of detecting European foulbrood if at least 1% of the apiaries were infected at a within-apiary prevalence rate of at least 5% of the hives; such surveys may be targeted towards areas with the last reported isolation of the European foulbrood agent;
   d) to maintain free status, an annual survey supervised by the Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority, with no positive results, is carried out on a representative sample of hives in the country or zone to indicate that there has been no new isolations; such surveys may be targeted towards areas with a higher likelihood of isolation;
   e) either there is no wild or self-sustaining feral population of species of the genus Apis in the country or zone, or there is an ongoing surveillance programme of the wild or self-sustaining feral population of species of the genus Apis which demonstrates no evidence of the presence of the disease in the country or zone;
   f) the importation of the commodities listed in this chapter into the country or zone is carried out in accordance with the recommendations of this chapter.

Article 9.3.5.

Recommendations for the importation of live queen, worker and drone honey bees with or without associated brood combs

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the honey bees come from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from European foulbrood; or
2) the shipment comprises only honey bees without associated brood combs and:
   a) the honey bees come from apiaries meeting the conditions prescribed in Article 4.14.5.; and
   b) the apiaries where the honey bees come from are situated in the centre of an area with a radius of 3 kilometres where there has been no outbreak of European foulbrood during the past 30 days.

Article 9.3.6.

Recommendations for the importation of eggs, larvae and pupae of honey bees

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the commodities:

1) come from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from European foulbrood; or
2) have been isolated from queens in a quarantine station, and all workers which accompanied the queen or a representative sample of eggs or larvae were examined for the presence of M. plutonius by bacterial culture or PCR in accordance with the Terrestrial Manual.
Chapter 9.3. - Infection of honey bees with Melissococcus plutonius (European foulbrood)

Article 9.3.7.

**Recommendations for the importation of used apicultural equipment**

*Veterinary Authorities* of importing countries should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that the equipment:

1) comes from *apiaries* situated in a country or zone free from European foulbrood; or
2) was sterilised under the supervision of the *Veterinary Authority* in accordance with one of the following procedures:
   a) by immersion in 0.5% sodium hypochlorite for at least 20 minutes (suitable only for non-porous materials such as plastic and metal); or
   b) by irradiation with 15 kilogray; or
   c) by any procedure of equivalent efficacy recognised by the *Veterinary Authorities* of the importing and exporting countries.

Article 9.3.8.

**Recommendations for the importation of honey, honey bee-collected pollen, beeswax, propolis and royal jelly for use in apiculture**

*Veterinary Authorities* of importing countries should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that the commodities:

1) come from *apiaries* situated in a country or zone free from European foulbrood; or
2) have been processed to ensure the destruction of *M. plutonius* by irradiation with 15 kilogray or any procedure of equivalent efficacy recognised by the *Veterinary Authorities* of the importing and exporting countries; or
3) have been found free of *M. plutonius* by a test method described in the relevant chapter of the *Terrestrial Manual*.

Article 9.3.9.

**Recommendations for the importation of honey, honey bee-collected pollen, beeswax, propolis and royal jelly for human consumption**

*Veterinary Authorities* of importing countries free from European foulbrood should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that the commodities:

1) come from *apiaries* situated in a country or zone free from European foulbrood; or
2) have been processed to ensure the destruction of *M. plutonius* by irradiation with 15 kilogray or any procedure of equivalent efficacy recognised by the *Veterinary Authorities* of the importing and exporting countries; or
3) have been found free of *M. plutonius* by a test method described in the relevant chapter of the *Terrestrial Manual*.

---

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1982; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2013.
CHAPTER 9.4.

INFESTATION WITH AETHINA TUMIDA
(SMALL HIVE BEETLE)

Article 9.4.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, infestation with Aethina tumida (also known as small hive beetle) is an infestation of bee colonies (species of the genera Apis and Bombus and also stingless bees) by the beetle A. tumida, which is a free-living predator and scavenger affecting bee populations.

The adult beetle is attracted to bee colonies to reproduce, although it can potentially survive and reproduce independently in other natural environments, using other food sources, including certain types of fruit. Hence once it is established within a localised environment, it is extremely difficult to eradicate.

The life span of an adult beetle depends on environmental conditions such as temperature and humidity but, in practice, adult female beetles can live for at least six months and, in favourable reproductive conditions, the female is capable of producing up to a thousand eggs over a lifespan of four to six months. The beetle is able to survive at least two weeks without food.

Early signs of infestation and reproduction may go unnoticed. When the bees cannot prevent beetle mass reproduction on the combs, this leads to abandonment or collapse of the colony. Because A. tumida can be found and can thrive within the natural environment, and can fly up to 6-13 km from its nest site, it is capable of dispersing rapidly and directly invading new hives. Spread of infestation does not require contact between adult bees. The movement of adult bees, honeycomb and other apiculture products and used apicultural equipment may all cause infestations to spread to previously unaffected colonies.

When authorising import or transit of the commodities covered in the chapter, with the exception of those listed in Article 9.4.2., Veterinary Authorities should require the conditions prescribed in this chapter relevant to the A. tumida status of the honey bee and bumble bee population of the exporting country or zone.

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 9.4.2.

Safe commodities

When authorising import or transit of the following commodities, Veterinary Authorities should not require any A. tumida-related conditions, regardless of the A. tumida status of the exporting country or zone:
1) honey bee semen;
2) honey bee venom.

Article 9.4.3.

Determination of the A. tumida status of a country or zone

The A. tumida status of a country or zone can only be determined after considering the following criteria:
1) a risk assessment has been conducted, identifying all potential factors for A. tumida occurrence and their historic perspective;
2) the presence of A. tumida is notifiable in the whole country, and all signs suggestive of A. tumida infestation are subjected to field and laboratory investigations;
3) ongoing awareness and training programmes are in place to encourage reporting of all cases suggestive of A. tumida infestation;
4) the Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority with responsibility for reporting and control of diseases of honey bees has current knowledge of, and authority over, all domesticated apiaries in the country.

Article 9.4.4.

Country or zone free from A. tumida

1) Historically free status
A country or zone may be considered free from A. tumida after conducting a risk assessment as referred to in Article 9.4.3. but without formally applying a specific surveillance programme if the country or zone complies with Chapter 1.4.

2) Free status as a result of an eradication programme
A country or zone which does not meet the conditions of point 1) above may be considered free from A. tumida after conducting a risk assessment as referred to in Article 9.4.3. and when:

a) the Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority with responsibility for reporting and control of diseases of honey bees has current knowledge of, and authority over, all domesticated apiaries existing in the country or zone;

b) the presence of A. tumida is notifiable in the whole country or zone, and any clinical cases suggestive of A. tumida infestation are subjected to field and laboratory investigations; a contingency plan is in place describing controls and inspection activities;

c) for the five years following the last report of the presence of A. tumida, an annual survey supervised by the Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority, with no positive results, has been carried out on a representative sample of apiaries in the country or zone to provide a confidence level of at least 95% of detecting A. tumida if at least 1% of the apiaries were infested at a within-apiary prevalence rate of at least 5% of the hives; such surveys may be targeted towards areas with a higher likelihood of infestation;

d) to maintain free status, an annual survey supervised by the Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority, with no positive results, is carried out on a representative sample of apiaries to indicate that there have been no presence of A. tumida; such surveys may be targeted towards areas with a higher likelihood of infestation;

e) all equipment associated with previously infested apiaries has been destroyed, or cleaned and sterilised to ensure the destruction of A. tumida in accordance with one of the following procedures:
   i) heating to 50°C core temperature and holding at that temperature for 24 hours; or
   ii) freezing at core temperature of minus 12°C or less for at least 24 hours; or
   iii) irradiation with 400 Gr ay; or
   iv) by any procedure of equivalent efficacy recognised by the Veterinary Authority of the importing and exporting countries;

f) the soil and undergrowth in the immediate vicinity of all infested apiaries has been treated with a soil drench or similar suitable treatment that is efficacious in destroying incubating A. tumida larvae and pupae;

g) the importation of the commodities listed in this chapter into the country or zone is carried out in accordance with the recommendations of this chapter.

Article 9.4.5.

Recommendations for the importation of individual consignments containing a single live queen bee, accompanied by a small number of associated attendants (a maximum of 20 attendants per queen)

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the bees come from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from A. tumida;

OR

2) the bees come from hives or colonies which were inspected immediately prior to dispatch and show no evidence of the presence of A. tumida based on a visual inspection and the use of one of the methods described in the relevant chapter of the Terrestrial Manual; and

3) the bees come from an area of at least 100 km radius where no apiary has been subject to any restrictions associated with the occurrence of A. tumida for the previous six months; and
4) the bees and accompanying packaging presented for export have been thoroughly and individually inspected and do not contain *A. tumida*; and

5) the packaging material, containers, accompanying products and food are new; and

6) all precautions have been taken to prevent *infestation* or contamination with *A. tumida*, in particular, measures that prevent *infestation* of queen cages such as no long term storage of queens prior to shipment and covering the consignment of bees with fine mesh through which a live beetle cannot enter.

**Article 9.4.6.**

**Recommendations for the importation of live worker and drone bees with or without associated brood combs**

*Veterinary Authorities of importing countries* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that the bees come from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from *A. tumida*.

**Article 9.4.7.**

**Recommendations for the importation of eggs, larvae and pupae of bees**

*Veterinary Authorities of importing countries* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

1) the *commodities* come from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from *A. tumida*;

OR

2) the *commodities* have been bred and kept under a controlled environment within a recognised establishment which is supervised and controlled by the *Veterinary Authority* or other *Competent Authority*; and

3) the establishment was inspected immediately prior to dispatch and all eggs, larvae and pupae show no evidence of the presence of *A. tumida*; and

4) the packaging material, containers, accompanying products and food are new and all precautions have been taken to prevent *infestation* or contamination with *A. tumida*.

**Article 9.4.8.**

**Recommendations for the importation of used apicultural equipment**

*Veterinary Authorities of importing countries* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

1) the equipment:

   EITHER

   a) comes from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from *A. tumida*;

   OR

   b) has been thoroughly cleaned, and treated to ensure the destruction of *A. tumida* in accordance with one of the following procedures:

      i) heating to 50°C core temperature and holding at that temperature for 24 hours; or

      ii) freezing at core temperature of minus 12°C or less for at least 24 hours; or

      iii) irradiation with 400 Gray; or

      iv) by any procedure of equivalent efficacy recognised by the *Veterinary Authorities of the importing and exporting countries*;

   AND

2) all precautions have been taken to prevent contamination with *A. tumida*. 
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Article 9.4.9.

Recommendations for the importation of honey

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the honey:
   EITHER
   a) comes from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from A. tumida;
   OR
   b) has been strained through a filter of pore size no greater than 0.42 mm;
   OR
   c) has been treated to ensure the destruction of A. tumida in accordance with one of the following procedures:
      i) heating to 50°C core temperature and holding at that temperature for 24 hours; or
      ii) freezing at core temperature of minus 12°C or less for at least 24 hours; or
      iii) irradiation with 400 Gray; or
      iv) by any procedure of equivalent efficacy recognised by the Veterinary Authorities of the importing and exporting countries;

   AND

2) all precautions have been taken to prevent contamination with A. tumida.

Article 9.4.10.

Recommendations for the importation of bee-collected pollen

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the bee-collected pollen:
   EITHER
   a) comes from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from A. tumida;
   OR
   b) contains no live bees or bee brood; and
   c) has been treated to ensure the destruction of A. tumida in accordance with one of the following procedures:
      i) freezing at core temperature of minus 12°C or less for at least 24 hours; or
      ii) irradiation with 400 Gray; or
      iii) desiccation by freeze drying or equivalent; or
      iv) by any procedure of equivalent efficacy recognised by the Veterinary Authorities of the importing and exporting countries;

   AND

2) all precautions have been taken to prevent contamination with A. tumida.
Article 9.4.11.

Recommendations for the importation of beeswax and propolis

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the commodities:

   EITHER
   a) come from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from A. tumida;
   OR
   b) contain no live bees or bee brood; and
   c) are processed propolis or processed beeswax;
   OR
   d) contain no live bees or bee brood; and
   e) have been treated to ensure the destruction of A. tumida in accordance with one of the following procedures:
      i) freezing at core temperature of minus 12°C or less for at least 24 hours; or
      ii) irradiation with 400 Gray; or
      iii) by any procedure of equivalent efficacy recognised by the Veterinary Authorities of the importing and exporting countries;

   AND

2) all precautions have been taken to prevent contamination with A. tumida.

Article 9.4.12.

Recommendations for the importation of royal jelly

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the royal jelly:

   EITHER
   a) comes from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from A. tumida;
   OR
   b) is encapsulated for human consumption;
   OR
   c) has been treated to ensure the destruction of A. tumida in accordance with one of the following procedures:
      i) heating to 50°C core temperature and holding at that temperature for 24 hours; or
      ii) freezing at core temperature of minus 12°C or less for at least 24 hours; or
      iii) desiccation by freeze drying or equivalent; or
      iv) irradiation with 400 Gray; or
      v) by any procedure of equivalent efficacy recognised by the Veterinary Authorities of the importing and exporting countries;

   AND

2) all precautions have been taken to prevent contamination with A. tumida.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 2008; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2013.
CHAPTER 9.5.

INFECTION OF HONEY BEES WITH TROPILAEELAPS SPP.

Article 9.5.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, Tropilaelaps infestation of honey bees (species of the genus Apis) is caused by different species of Tropilaelaps mites (including the mites Tropilaelaps clareae, T. koenigerum, T. thaii and T. mercedesae). The mite is an ectoparasite of brood of honey bees, and cannot survive for periods of more than 21 days away from bee brood.

Early signs of infestation normally go unnoticed, but the growth in the mite population is rapid leading to high hive mortality. The infestation spreads by direct contact from adult honey bee to adult honey bee, and by the movement of infested honey bees and bee brood. The mite can also act as a vector for viruses of the honey bee.

When authorising import or transit of the commodities covered in the chapter, with the exception of those listed in Article 9.5.2., Veterinary Authorities should require the conditions prescribed in this chapter relevant to the Tropilaelaps spp. status of the honey bee population of the exporting country or zone.

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 9.5.2.

Safe commodities

When authorising import or transit of the following commodities, Veterinary Authorities should not require any Tropilaelaps spp.-related conditions, regardless of the Tropilaelaps spp. status of the exporting country or zone:

1) honey bee semen;
2) honey bee venom;
3) honey bee eggs;
4) royal jelly.

Article 9.5.3.

Determination of the Tropilaelaps spp. status of a country or zone

The Tropilaelaps spp. status of a country or zone can only be determined after considering the following criteria:

1) a risk assessment has been conducted, identifying all potential factors for Tropilaelaps spp. occurrence and their historic perspective;
2) the presence of Tropilaelaps spp. is notifiable in the whole country or zone, and all clinical signs suggestive of Tropilaelaps spp. infestation are subjected to field and laboratory investigations;
3) an ongoing awareness programme is in place to encourage reporting of all cases suggestive of Tropilaelaps spp. infestation;
4) the Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority with responsibility for reporting and control of diseases of honey bees has current knowledge of, and authority over, all domesticated apiaries in the country.
Chapter 9.5.- Infestation of honey bees with Tropilaelaps spp.

Article 9.5.4.

Country or zone free from Tropilaelaps spp.

1) Historically free status
A country or zone may be considered free from Tropilaelaps spp. after conducting a risk assessment as referred to in Article 9.5.3. but without formally applying a specific surveillance programme if the country or zone complies with Chapter 1.4.

2) Free status as a result of an eradication programme
A country or zone which does not meet the conditions of point 1) above may be considered free from Tropilaelaps spp. after conducting a risk assessment as referred to in Article 9.5.3. and when:
   a) the Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority with responsibility for reporting and control of diseases of honey bees has current knowledge of, and authority over, all domesticated apiaries existing in the country or zone;
   b) the presence of Tropilaelaps spp. is notifiable in the whole country or zone, and any clinical cases suggestive of Tropilaelaps spp. infestation are subjected to field and laboratory investigations;
   c) for the three years following the last report of the presence of Tropilaelaps spp., an annual survey supervised by the Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority, with no positive results, have been carried out on a representative sample of apiaries in the country or zone to provide a confidence level of at least 95% of detecting Tropilaelaps spp. if at least 1% of the apiaries were infested at a within-apiary prevalence rate of at least 5% of the hives; such surveys may be targeted towards areas with a higher likelihood of infestation;
   d) to maintain free status, an annual survey supervised by the Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority, with no positive results, is carried out on a representative sample of apiaries in the country or zone to indicate that there has been no new cases; such surveys may be targeted towards areas with a higher likelihood of infestation;
   e) either there is no wild or self-sustaining feral population of species of the genus Apis in the country or zone, or there is an ongoing surveillance programme of the wild or self-sustaining feral population of species of the genus Apis which demonstrates no evidence of the presence of the mite in the country or zone;
   f) the importation of the commodities listed in this chapter into the country or zone is carried out in accordance with the recommendations of this chapter.

Article 9.5.5.

Recommendations for the importation of live queen honey bees, worker honey bees, drone honey bees, larvae of honey bees, pupae of honey bees, and brood combs

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the commodities come from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from Tropilaelaps spp.;

OR

2) the shipment comprises only queen honey bees with attendant worker honey bees without associated brood combs and the honey bees:
   a) come from an artificial broodless swarm with the caged queen;
   b) caged queen and swarm have been treated with an effective veterinary medicinal product and kept isolated for 21 days from brood prior to the shipment;

3) the honey bee queens were inspected by a representative of the Veterinary Services prior to the shipment and showed no evidence of the presence of the mites.
Article 9.5.6.

Recommendations for the importation of used apicultural equipment

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the equipment:

1) comes from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from Tropilaelaps spp.; or
2) contains no live honey bees or bee brood and has been held in a bee-proof environment for at least 21 days prior to shipment; or
3) has been treated to ensure the destruction of Tropilaelaps spp. in accordance with one of the following procedures:
   a) heating to 50°C core temperature and holding at that temperature for 20 minutes; or
   b) freezing at core temperature of minus 12°C or less for at least 24 hours; or
   c) fumigation with methyl bromide at a rate of 48 g per cubic metre at atmospheric pressure and at a temperature of 10-15°C for a period of 2 hours; or
   d) irradiation with 350 Gray; or
   e) by any procedure of equivalent efficacy recognised by the Veterinary Authorities of the importing and exporting countries.

Article 9.5.7.

Recommendations for the importation of honey

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the honey:

1) comes from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from Tropilaelaps spp.; or
2) has been strained through a filter of pore size no greater than 0.42 mm; or
3) has been treated to ensure the destruction of Tropilaelaps spp. in accordance with one of the following procedures:
   a) heating to 50°C core temperature and holding at that temperature for 20 minutes; or
   b) freezing at core temperature of minus 12°C or less for at least 24 hours; or
   c) irradiation with 350 Gray; or
   d) by any procedure of equivalent efficacy recognised by the Veterinary Authorities of the importing and exporting countries.

Article 9.5.8.

Recommendations for the importation of bee-collected pollen

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the bee-collected pollen:

1) comes from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from Tropilaelaps spp.; or
2) has been treated to ensure the destruction of Tropilaelaps spp. in accordance with one of the following procedures:
   a) freezing at core temperature of minus 12°C or less for at least 24 hours; or
   b) irradiation with 350 Gray; or
   c) desiccation by freeze drying or equivalent; or
   d) by any procedure of equivalent efficacy recognised by the Veterinary Authorities of the importing and exporting countries.
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Article 9.5.9.

Recommendations for the importation of beeswax and propolis

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the commodities:

1) come from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from Tropilaelaps spp.; or
2) are processed beeswax or processed propolis; or
3) have been treated to ensure the destruction of Tropilaelaps spp. in accordance with one of the following procedures:
   a) freezing at core temperature of minus 12°C or less for at least 24 hours; or
   b) fumigation with methyl bromide at a rate of 48 g per cubic metre at atmospheric pressure and at a temperature of 10-15°C for a period of 2 hours; or
   c) irradiation with 350 Gray; or
   d) desiccation by freeze drying or equivalent; or
   e) by any procedure of equivalent efficacy recognised by the Veterinary Authorities of the importing and exporting countries.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 2004; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2013.
CHAPTER 9.6.

INFESTATION OF HONEY BEES WITH VARROA SPP. (VARROOSIS)

Article 9.6.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, varroosis is a disease of honey bees (species of the genus Apis) caused by mites in the genus Varroa, primarily Varroa destructor. The mite is an ectoparasite of adults and brood of honey bees and spreads by direct contact from adult honey bee to adult honey bee, and by the movement of infested honey bees, bee brood, bee products and used apicultural equipment.

The number of mites steadily increases with increasing brood production and the growth of the honey bee population, especially late in the season when clinical signs of infestation can first be recognised. The lifespan of an individual mite depends on temperature and humidity but, in practice, it can be said to last from some days to a few months.

Honey bee colonies are often carriers of viruses. The mite acts as a vector for viruses (particularly deformed wing virus) facilitating their penetration and the infection of the honey bees. Most of the symptoms of varroosis are therefore the results of the combined action of Varroa spp. mites and viruses. The viral load within the colony increases with the mite infestation. Insufficient or late treatments lead to the killing of mites but the virus load remains high for several weeks with deleterious effects on the honey bee population. The control of the varroosis is mainly performed by the control of Varroa spp. and the diagnosis of varroosis is also performed by measuring the parasitic load.

When authorising import or transit of the commodities covered in the chapter, with the exception of those listed in Article 9.6.2., Veterinary Authorities should require the conditions prescribed in this chapter relevant to the varroosis status of the honey bee population of the exporting country or zone.

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 9.6.2.

Safe commodities

When authorising import or transit of the following commodities, Veterinary Authorities should not require any Varroa spp.-related conditions, regardless of the Varroa spp. status of the honey bee population of the exporting country or zone:

1) honey bee semen;
2) honey bee venom;
3) honey bee eggs;
4) royal jelly.

Article 9.6.3.

Determination of Varroa spp. status of a country or zone

The Varroa spp. status of a country or zone can only be determined after considering the following criteria:

1) a risk assessment has been conducted, identifying all potential factors for Varroa spp. occurrence and their historic perspective;
2) the presence of Varroa spp. is notifiable in the whole country or zone, and all clinical signs suggestive of varroosis are subjected to field and laboratory investigations;
3) an ongoing awareness programme is in place to encourage reporting of all cases suggestive of varroosis;
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4) the Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority with responsibility for reporting and control of diseases of honey bees has current knowledge of, and authority over, all domesticated apiaries in the country.

Article 9.6.4.

Country or zone free from Varroa spp.

1) Historically free status
A country or zone may be considered free from Varroa spp. after conducting a risk assessment as referred to in Article 9.6.3. but without formally applying a specific surveillance programme (historical freedom) if the country or zone complies with Chapter 1.4.

2) Free status as a result of an eradication programme
A country or zone which does not meet the conditions of point 1) above may be considered free from Varroa spp. after conducting a risk assessment as referred to in Article 9.6.3. and when:

a) the Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority with responsibility for reporting and control of diseases of honey bees has current knowledge of, and authority over, all domesticated apiaries existing in the country or zone;

b) the presence of Varroa spp. is notifiable in the whole country or zone, and any clinical cases suggestive of varroosis are subjected to field and laboratory investigations;

c) for the three years following the last report of the presence of Varroa spp., an annual survey supervised by the Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority, with no positive results, have been carried out on a representative sample of apiaries in the country or zone to provide a confidence level of at least 95% of detecting Varroa spp. if at least 1% of the apiaries were infested at a within-apiary prevalence rate of at least 5% of the hives; such surveys may be targeted towards areas with a higher likelihood of infestation;

d) to maintain free status, an annual survey supervised by the Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authority, with no positive results, is carried out on a representative sample of apiaries in the country or zone to indicate there has been no new cases; such surveys may be targeted towards areas with a higher likelihood of infestation;

e) either there is no wild or self-sustaining feral population of species of the genus Apis in the country or zone, or there is an ongoing surveillance programme of the wild or self-sustaining feral population of species of the genus Apis which demonstrates no evidence of the presence of the mite in the country or zone;

f) the importation of the commodities listed in this chapter into the country or zone is carried out in accordance with the recommendations of this chapter.

Article 9.6.5.

Recommendations for the importation of live queen honey bees, worker honey bees, drone honey bees, larvae of honey bees, pupae of honey bees and brood combs

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the commodities come from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from Varroa spp.; or

2) the shipment comprises only queen honey bees with attendant worker honey bees without associated brood combs and the honey bees:

a) come from an artificial broodless swarm with the caged queen;

b) caged queen and swarm have been treated with an effective veterinary medicinal product;

c) were inspected by a representative of the Veterinary Services prior to the shipment and showed no evidence of the presence of the mites;

d) the queen honey bees were inspected by the Veterinary Services of the importing country based on a visual inspection described in the relevant chapter of the Terrestrial Manual and the attendant worker honey bees were killed.
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Article 9.6.6.

Recommendations for the importation of used apicultural equipment

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the equipment:

1) comes from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from Varroa spp.; or
2) contains no live honey bees or bee brood and has been held in a bee-proof environment for at least 21 days prior to shipment; or
3) has been treated to ensure the destruction of Varroa spp. in accordance with one of the following procedures:
   a) heating to 50°C core temperature and holding at that temperature for 20 minutes; or
   b) freezing at core temperature of minus 12°C or less for at least 24 hours; or
   c) fumigation with methyl bromide at a rate of 48 g per cubic metre at atmospheric pressure and at a temperature of 10-15°C for a period of 2 hours; or
   d) irradiation with 350 Gray; or
   e) by any procedure of equivalent efficacy recognised by the Veterinary Authorities of the importing and exporting countries.

Article 9.6.7.

Recommendations for the importation of honey

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the honey:

1) comes from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from Varroa spp.; or
2) has been strained through a filter of pore size no greater than 0.42 mm; or
3) has been treated to ensure the destruction of Varroa spp. in accordance with one of the following procedures:
   a) heating to 50°C core temperature and holding at that temperature for 20 minutes; or
   b) freezing at core temperature of minus 12°C or less for at least 24 hours; or
   c) irradiation with 350 Gray; or
   d) by any procedure of equivalent efficacy recognised by the Veterinary Authorities of the importing and exporting countries.

Article 9.6.8.

Recommendations for the importation of bee-collected pollen

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the bee-collected pollen:

1) comes from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from Varroa spp.; or
2) has been treated to ensure the destruction of Varroa spp. in accordance with one of the following procedures:
   a) freezing at core temperature of minus 12°C or less for at least 24 hours; or
   b) irradiation with 350 Gray; or
   c) desiccation by freeze drying or equivalent; or
   d) by any procedure of equivalent efficacy recognised by the Veterinary Authorities of the importing and exporting countries.
Recommendations for the importation of beeswax and propolis

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the commodities:

1) come from apiaries situated in a country or zone free from Varroa spp.; or
2) are processed beeswax or processed propolis; or
3) have been treated to ensure the destruction of Varroa spp. in accordance with one of the following procedures:
   a) freezing at core temperature of minus 12°C or less for at least 24 hours; or
   b) fumigation with methyl bromide at a rate of 48 g per cubic metre at atmospheric pressure and at a temperature of 10-15°C for a period of 2 hours; or
   c) irradiation with 350 Gray; or
   d) desiccation by freeze drying or equivalent; or
   e) by any procedure of equivalent efficacy recognised by the Veterinary Authorities of the importing and exporting countries.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1982; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2013.
SECTION 10.

AVES

CHAPTER 10.1.

AVIAN CHLAMYDIOSIS

Article 10.1.1.

General provisions

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 10.1.2.

Trade in commodities

Veterinary Authorities of countries free from avian chlamydiosis may prohibit importation or transit through their territory, from countries considered infected with avian chlamydiosis, of birds of the Psittacidae family.

Article 10.1.3.

Recommendations for the importation of birds of the Psittacidae family

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the birds:

1) showed no clinical sign of avian chlamydiosis on the day of shipment;
2) were kept under veterinary supervision for the 45 days prior to shipment and were treated against avian chlamydiosis using chlortetracycline.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1998.
CHAPTER 10.2.

AVIAN INFECTIOUS BRONCHITIS

Article 10.2.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the incubation period for avian infectious bronchitis shall be 50 days.

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 10.2.2.

Recommendations for the importation of chickens

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the birds:

1) showed no clinical sign of avian infectious bronchitis on the day of shipment;
2) come from establishments which are recognised as being free from avian infectious bronchitis, based on the results of serological tests;
3) have not been vaccinated against avian infectious bronchitis; or
4) were vaccinated against avian infectious bronchitis (the nature of the vaccine used and the date of vaccination should also be stated in the certificate).

Article 10.2.3.

Recommendations for the importation of day-old birds

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the day-old birds:

1) come from establishments which are regularly inspected by the Veterinary Authority and from hatcheries which comply with the standards referred to in Chapter 6.5.;
2) have not been vaccinated against avian infectious bronchitis; or
3) were vaccinated against avian infectious bronchitis (the nature of the vaccine used and the date of vaccination shall also be stated in the certificate);
4) are the progeny of parent flocks which:
   a) come from establishments and/or hatcheries which are recognised as being free from avian infectious bronchitis, based on the results of serological tests;
   b) come from establishments in which vaccination against avian infectious bronchitis is not practised on the parent stock; or
   c) come from establishments in which vaccination against avian infectious bronchitis is practised on the parent stock;
5) were shipped in clean and unused packages.
Article 10.2.4.

**Recommendations for the importation of hatching eggs of chickens**

*Veterinary Authorities of importing countries* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that the *hatching eggs*:

1) have been disinfected in accordance with the standards referred to in Chapter 6.5.;

2) come from *establishments and/or hatcheries* which are recognised as being free from avian infectious bronchitis and from hatcheries which comply with the standards referred to in Chapter 6.5.;

3) were shipped in clean and unused packages.

CHAPTER 10.3.

AVIAN INFECTIOUS LARYNGOTRACHEITIS

Article 10.3.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the incubation period for avian infectious laryngotracheitis (ILT) shall be 14 days (chronic carriers occur).

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 10.3.2.

Recommendations for the importation of chickens

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the birds:

1) showed no clinical sign of ILT on the day of shipment;
2) come from establishments which are recognised as being free from ILT, based on the results of serological tests;
3) have not been vaccinated against ILT; or
4) were vaccinated against ILT (the nature of the vaccine used and the date of vaccination should also be stated in the certificate).

Article 10.3.3.

Recommendations for the importation of day-old birds

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the day-old birds:

1) come from establishments and/or hatcheries which are regularly inspected by the Veterinary Authority and from hatcheries which comply with the standards referred to in Chapter 6.5.;
2) have not been vaccinated against ILT; or
3) were vaccinated against ILT (the nature of the vaccine used and the date of vaccination should also be stated in the certificate);
4) are the progeny of parent flocks which:
   a) come from establishments and/or hatcheries which are recognised as being free from ILT, based on the results of serological tests;
   b) come from establishments in which vaccination against ILT is not practised on the parent stock; or
   c) come from establishments in which vaccination against ILT is practised on the parent stock;
5) were shipped in clean and unused packages.
Article 10.3.4.

Recommendations for the importation of hatching eggs of chickens

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the hatching eggs:

1) have been disinfected in accordance with the standards referred to in Chapter 6.5.;
2) come from establishments and/or hatcheries which are recognised as being free from ILT and from hatcheries which comply with the standards referred to in Chapter 6.5.;
3) were shipped in clean and unused packages.

CHAPTER 10.4.

INFECTION WITH AVIAN INFLUENZA VIRUSES

Article 10.4.1.

General provisions

1) For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, avian influenza is defined as an infection of poultry caused by any influenza A virus of the H5 or H7 subtypes or by any influenza A virus with an intravenous pathogenicity index (IVPI) greater than 1.2 (or as an alternative at least 75% mortality) as described below. These viruses are divided into high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses and low pathogenicity avian influenza viruses:

a) high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses have an IVPI in six-week-old chickens greater than 1.2 or, as an alternative, cause at least 75% mortality in four-to eight-week-old chickens infected intravenously. H5 and H7 viruses which do not have an IVPI of greater than 1.2 or cause less than 75% mortality in an intravenous lethality test should be sequenced to determine whether multiple basic amino acids are present at the cleavage site of the haemagglutinin molecule (HA0); if the amino acid motif is similar to that observed for other high pathogenicity avian influenza isolates, the isolate being tested should be considered as high pathogenicity avian influenza virus;

b) low pathogenicity avian influenza viruses are all influenza A viruses of H5 and H7 subtypes that are not high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses.

2) The following defines the occurrence of infection with an avian influenza virus: the virus has been isolated and identified as such or specific viral ribonucleic acid has been detected in poultry or a product derived from poultry.

3) Poultry is defined as ‘all domesticated birds, including backyard poultry, used for the production of meat or eggs for consumption, for the production of other commercial products, for restocking supplies of game, or for breeding these categories of birds, as well as fighting cocks used for any purpose’.

Birds that are kept in captivity for any reason other than those reasons referred to in the preceding paragraph, including those that are kept for shows, races, exhibitions, competitions or for breeding or selling these categories of birds as well as pet birds, are not considered to be poultry.

4) For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the incubation period for avian influenza shall be 21 days.

5) This chapter deals not only with the occurrence of clinical signs caused by avian influenza, but also with the presence of infection with avian influenza viruses in the absence of clinical signs.

6) Antibodies against H5 or H7 subtype, which have been detected in poultry and are not a consequence of vaccination, should be immediately investigated. In the case of isolated serological positive results, infection with avian influenza viruses may be ruled out on the basis of a thorough epidemiological and laboratory investigation that does not demonstrate further evidence of such an infection.

7) For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, ‘avian influenza free establishment’ means an establishment in which the poultry have shown no evidence of infection with avian influenza viruses, based on surveillance in accordance with Articles 10.4.27. to 10.4.33.

8) Infection with influenza A viruses of high pathogenicity in birds other than poultry, including wild birds, should be notified in accordance with Article 1.1.3. However, a Member Country should not impose bans on the trade in poultry and poultry commodities in response to such a notification, or other information on the presence of any influenza A virus in birds other than poultry, including wild birds.

9) Standards for diagnostic tests, including pathogenicity testing, are described in the Terrestrial Manual. Any vaccine used should comply with the standards described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 10.4.2.

Determination of the avian influenza status of a country, zone or compartment

The avian influenza status of a country, a zone or a compartment can be determined on the basis of the following criteria:

1) avian influenza is notifiable in the whole country, an ongoing avian influenza awareness programme is in place, and all notified suspect occurrences of avian influenza are subjected to field and, where applicable, laboratory investigations;
2) appropriate surveillance is in place to demonstrate the presence of infection in the absence of clinical signs in poultry, and the risk posed by birds other than poultry; this may be achieved through an avian influenza surveillance programme in accordance with Articles 10.4.27. to 10.4.33.;

3) consideration of all epidemiological factors for avian influenza occurrence and their historical perspective.

Article 10.4.3.

Country, zone or compartment free from avian influenza

A country, zone or compartment may be considered free from avian influenza when it has been shown that infection with avian influenza viruses in poultry has not been present in the country, zone or compartment for the past 12 months, based on surveillance in accordance with Articles 10.4.27. to 10.4.33.

If infection has occurred in poultry in a previously free country, zone or compartment, avian influenza free status can be regained:

1) In the case of infections with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses, three months after a stamping-out policy (including disinfection of all affected establishments) is applied, providing that surveillance in accordance with Articles 10.4.27. to 10.4.33. has been carried out during that three-month period.

2) In the case of infections with low pathogenicity avian influenza viruses, poultry may be kept for slaughter for human consumption subject to conditions specified in Article 10.4.19. or a stamping-out policy may be applied; in either case, three months after the disinfection of all affected establishments, providing that surveillance in accordance with Articles 10.4.27. to 10.4.33. has been carried out during that three-month period.

Article 10.4.4.

Country, zone or compartment free from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry

A country, zone or compartment may be considered free from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry when:

1) it has been shown that infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry has not been present in the country, zone or compartment for the past 12 months, although its status with respect to low pathogenicity avian influenza viruses may be unknown; or

2) when, based on surveillance in accordance with Articles 10.4.27. to 10.4.33., it does not meet the criteria for freedom from avian influenza but any virus detected has not been identified as high pathogenicity avian influenza virus.

The surveillance may need to be adapted to parts of the country or existing zones or compartments depending on historical or geographical factors, industry structure, population data, or proximity to recent outbreaks.

If infection has occurred in poultry in a previously free country, zone or compartment, the free status can be regained three months after a stamping-out policy (including disinfection of all affected establishments) is applied, providing that surveillance in accordance with Articles 10.4.27. to 10.4.33. has been carried out during that three-month period.

Article 10.4.5.

Recommendations for importation from a country, zone or compartment free from avian influenza

For live poultry (other than day-old poultry)

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the poultry showed no clinical sign of avian influenza on the day of shipment;

2) the poultry were kept in an avian influenza free country, zone or compartment since they were hatched or for at least the past 21 days;

3) the poultry are transported in new or appropriately sanitized containers.

If the poultry have been vaccinated against avian influenza, the nature of the vaccine used and the date of vaccination should be attached to the certificate.
Article 10.4.6.

Recommendations for the importation of live birds other than poultry

Regardless of the avian influenza status of the country of origin, Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) on the day of shipment, the birds showed no clinical sign of infection with a virus which would be considered avian influenza in poultry;
2) the birds were kept in isolation approved by the Veterinary Services since they were hatched or for at least 21 days prior to shipment and showed no clinical sign of infection with a virus which would be considered avian influenza in poultry during the isolation period;
3) a statistically valid sample of the birds, selected in accordance with Article 10.4.29., was subjected to a diagnostic test within 14 days prior to shipment to demonstrate freedom from infection with a virus which would be considered avian influenza in poultry;
4) the birds are transported in new or appropriately sanitized containers.

If the birds have been vaccinated against avian influenza, the nature of the vaccine used and the date of vaccination should be attached to the certificate.

Article 10.4.7.

Recommendations for importation from a country, zone or compartment free from avian influenza

For day-old live poultry

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the poultry were kept in an avian influenza free country, zone or compartment since they were hatched;
2) the poultry were derived from parent flocks which had been kept in an avian influenza free country, zone or compartment for at least 21 days prior to and at the time of the collection of the eggs;
3) the poultry are transported in new or appropriately sanitized containers.

If the poultry or the parent flocks have been vaccinated against avian influenza, the nature of the vaccine used and the date of vaccination should be attached to the certificate.

Article 10.4.8.

Recommendations for importation from a country, zone or compartment free from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry

For day-old live poultry

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the poultry were kept in a country, zone or compartment free from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry since they were hatched;
2) the poultry were derived from parent flocks which had been kept in an avian influenza free establishment for at least 21 days prior to and at the time of the collection of the eggs;
3) the poultry are transported in new or appropriately sanitized containers.

If the poultry or the parent flocks have been vaccinated against avian influenza, the nature of the vaccine used and the date of vaccination should be attached to the certificate.
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Article 10.4.9.

Recommendations for the importation of day-old live birds other than poultry

Regardless of the avian influenza status of the country of origin, Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) on the day of shipment, the birds showed no clinical sign of infection with a virus which would be considered avian influenza in poultry;
2) the birds were hatched and kept in isolation approved by the Veterinary Services;
3) the parent flock birds were subjected to a diagnostic test at the time of the collection of the eggs to demonstrate freedom from infection with a virus which would be considered avian influenza in poultry;
4) the birds are transported in new or appropriately sanitized containers.

If the birds or parent flocks have been vaccinated against avian influenza, the nature of the vaccine used and the date of vaccination should be attached to the certificate.

Article 10.4.10.

Recommendations for importation from a country, zone or compartment free from avian influenza

For hatching eggs of poultry

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the eggs came from an avian influenza free country, zone or compartment;
2) the eggs were derived from parent flocks which had been kept in an avian influenza free country, zone or compartment for at least 21 days prior to and at the time of the collection of the eggs;
3) the eggs are transported in new or appropriately sanitized packaging materials.

If the parent flocks have been vaccinated against avian influenza, the nature of the vaccine used and the date of vaccination should be attached to the certificate.

Article 10.4.11.

Recommendations for importation from a country, zone or compartment free from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry

For hatching eggs of poultry

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the eggs came from a country, zone or compartment free from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry;
2) the eggs were derived from parent flocks which had been kept in an avian influenza free establishment for at least 21 days prior to and at the time of the collection of the eggs;
3) the eggs have had their surfaces sanitized (in accordance with Chapter 6.5.);
4) the eggs are transported in new or appropriately sanitized packaging materials.

If the parent flocks have been vaccinated against avian influenza, the nature of the vaccine used and the date of vaccination should be attached to the certificate.

Article 10.4.12.

Recommendations for the importation of hatching eggs from birds other than poultry

Regardless of the avian influenza status of the country of origin, Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the parent flock birds were subjected to a diagnostic test seven days prior to and at the time of the collection of the eggs to demonstrate freedom from infection with a virus which would be considered avian influenza in poultry;
2) the eggs have had their surfaces sanitized (in accordance with Chapter 6.5.).
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3) the eggs are transported in new or appropriately sanitized packaging materials.

If the parent flocks have been vaccinated against avian influenza, the nature of the vaccine used and the date of vaccination should be attached to the certificate.

Article 10.4.13.

Recommendations for importation from a country, zone or compartment free from avian influenza

For eggs for human consumption

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:
1) the eggs were produced and packed in an avian influenza free country, zone or compartment;
2) the eggs are transported in new or appropriately sanitized packaging materials.

Article 10.4.14.

Recommendations for importation from a country, zone or compartment free from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry

For eggs for human consumption

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:
1) the eggs were produced and packed in a country, zone or compartment free from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry;
2) the eggs have had their surfaces sanitized (in accordance with Chapter 6.5.);
3) the eggs are transported in new or appropriately sanitized packaging materials.

Article 10.4.15.

Recommendations for importation of egg products of poultry

Regardless of the avian influenza status of the country of origin, Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:
1) the commodity is derived from eggs which meet the requirements of Articles 10.4.13. or 10.4.14.; or
2) the commodity has been processed to ensure the destruction of avian influenza virus in accordance with Article 10.4.25.;

AND
3) the necessary precautions were taken to avoid contact of the commodity with any source of avian influenza virus.

Article 10.4.16.

Recommendations for importation from a country, zone or compartment free from avian influenza

For poultry semen

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the donor poultry:
1) showed no clinical sign of avian influenza on the day of semen collection;
2) were kept in an avian influenza free country, zone or compartment for at least 21 days prior to and at the time of semen collection.
Article 10.4.17.

**Recommendations for the importation from a country, zone or compartment free from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry**

**For poultry semen**

*Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that the donor *poultry*:

1) showed no clinical sign of *infection* with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in *poultry* on the day of semen collection;

2) were kept in a country, *zone or compartment* free from *infection* with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in *poultry* for at least 21 days prior to and at the time of semen collection.

Article 10.4.18.

**Recommendations for the importation of semen of birds other than poultry**

Regardless of the avian influenza status of the country of origin, *Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that the donor birds:

1) were kept in isolation approved by the *Veterinary Services* for at least 21 days prior to semen collection;

2) showed no clinical sign of *infection* with a virus which would be considered avian influenza in *poultry* during the isolation period;

3) were tested within 14 days prior to semen collection and shown to be free from *infection* with a virus which would be considered avian influenza in *poultry*.

Article 10.4.19.

**Recommendations for importation from a country, zone or compartment free from avian influenza or free from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry**

**For fresh meat of poultry**

*Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that the entire consignment of *fresh meat* comes from *poultry*:

1) which have been kept in a country, *zone or compartment* free from *infection* with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in *poultry* since they were hatched or for at least the past 21 days;

2) which have been slaughtered in an approved *abattoir* in a country, *zone or compartment* free from *infection* with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in *poultry* and have been subjected to ante- and post-mortem inspections in accordance with Chapter 6.3. and have been found free of any signs suggestive of avian influenza.

Article 10.4.20.

**Recommendations for the importation of meat products of poultry**

Regardless of the avian influenza status of the country of origin, *Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

1) the *commodity* is derived from *fresh meat* which meets the requirements of Article 10.4.19.; or

2) the *commodity* has been processed to ensure the destruction of avian influenza virus in accordance with Article 10.4.26.;

AND

3) the necessary precautions were taken to avoid contact of the *commodity* with any source of avian influenza virus.
Article 10.4.21.

Recommendations for the importation of products of poultry origin, other than feather meal and poultry meal, intended for use in animal feeding, or for agricultural or industrial use

Regardless of the avian influenza status of the country of origin, Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) these commodities were processed in an avian influenza free country, zone or compartment from poultry which were kept in an avian influenza free country, zone or compartment from the time they were hatched until the time of slaughter or for at least the 21 days preceding slaughter; or

2) these commodities have been processed to ensure the destruction of avian influenza virus using:
   a) moist heat treatment for 30 minutes at 56°C; or
   b) any equivalent treatment which has been demonstrated to inactivate avian influenza virus;

AND

3) the necessary precautions were taken to avoid contact of the commodity with any source of avian influenza virus.

Article 10.4.22.

Recommendations for the importation of feathers and down of poultry

Regardless of the avian influenza status of the country of origin, Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) these commodities originated from poultry as described in Article 10.4.19. and were processed in an avian influenza free country, zone or compartment; or

2) these commodities have been processed to ensure the destruction of avian influenza virus using one of the following:
   a) washed and steam-dried at 100°C for 30 minutes;
   b) fumigation with formalin (10% formaldehyde) for 8 hours;
   c) irradiation with a dose of 20 kilogray;
   d) any equivalent treatment which has been demonstrated to inactivate avian influenza virus;

AND

3) the necessary precautions were taken to avoid contact of the commodity with any source of avian influenza virus.

Article 10.4.23.

Recommendations for the importation of feathers and down of birds other than poultry

Regardless of the avian influenza status of the country of origin, Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) these commodities have been processed to ensure the destruction of any virus which would be considered avian influenza in poultry using one of the following:
   a) washed and steam-dried at 100°C for 30 minutes;
   b) fumigation with formalin (10% formaldehyde) for 8 hours;
   c) irradiation with a dose of 20 kilogray;
   d) any equivalent treatment which has been demonstrated to inactivate avian influenza virus;

2) the necessary precautions were taken to avoid contact of the commodity with any source of viruses which would be considered avian influenza in poultry.
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Article 10.4.24.

Recommendations for the importation of feather meal and poultry meal

Regardless of the avian influenza status of the country of origin, Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) these commodities were processed in an avian influenza free country, zone or compartment from poultry which were kept in an avian influenza free country, zone or compartment from the time they were hatched until the time of slaughter or for at least the 21 days preceding slaughter; or

2) these commodities have been processed either:
   a) with moist heat at a minimum temperature of 118°C for minimum of 40 minutes; or
   b) with a continuous hydrolysing process under at least 3.79 bar of pressure with steam at a minimum temperature of 122°C for a minimum of 15 minutes; or
   c) with an alternative rendering process that ensures that the internal temperature throughout the product reaches at least 74°C;

AND

3) the necessary precautions were taken to avoid contact of the commodity with any source of avian influenza viruses.

Article 10.4.25.

Procedures for the inactivation of avian influenza viruses in eggs and egg products

The following times for industry standard temperatures are suitable for the inactivation of avian influenza viruses present in eggs and egg products:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole egg</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole egg blends</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole egg blends</td>
<td>61.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid egg white</td>
<td>55.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid egg white</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plain or pure egg yolk</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% salted yolk</td>
<td>62.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dried egg white</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dried egg white</td>
<td>54.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dried egg white</td>
<td>51.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The listed temperatures are indicative of a range that achieves a 7-log kill of avian influenza virus. These are listed as examples in a variety of egg products, but when scientifically documented, variances from these times and temperatures and for additional egg products may also be suitable when they achieve equivalent inactivation of the virus.
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Article 10.4.26.

Procedures for the inactivation of avian influenza viruses in meat

The following times for industry standard temperatures are suitable for the inactivation of avian influenza viruses present in meat.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>507 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>42 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>3.5 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>0.51 second</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The listed temperatures are indicative of a range that achieves a 7-log kill. Where scientifically documented, variances from these times and temperatures may also be suitable when they achieve the inactivation of the virus.

Article 10.4.27.

Introduction to surveillance

Articles 10.4.27. to 10.4.33. define the principles and provide a guide on the surveillance for avian influenza complementary to Chapter 1.4., applicable to Member Countries seeking to determine their avian influenza status. This may be for the entire country, zone or compartment. Guidance for Member Countries seeking free status following an outbreak and for the maintenance of avian influenza status is also provided.

The presence of influenza A viruses in wild birds creates a particular problem. In essence, no Member Country can declare itself free from influenza A in wild birds. However, the definition of avian influenza in this chapter refers to the infection in poultry only, and Articles 10.4.27. to 10.4.33. were developed under this definition.

The impact and epidemiology of avian influenza differ widely in different regions of the world and therefore it is impossible to provide specific recommendations for all situations. Surveillance strategies employed for demonstrating freedom from avian influenza at an acceptable level of confidence should be adapted to the local situation. Variables such as the frequency of contacts of poultry with wild birds, different biosecurity levels and production systems and the commingling of different susceptible species including domestic waterfowl require specific surveillance strategies to address each specific situation. It is incumbent upon the Member Country to provide scientific data that explains the epidemiology of avian influenza in the region concerned and also demonstrates how all the risk factors are managed. There is therefore considerable latitude available to Member Countries to provide a well-reasoned argument to prove that absence of infection with avian influenza viruses is assured at an acceptable level of confidence.

Surveillance for avian influenza should be in the form of a continuing programme designed to establish that the country, zone or compartment, for which application is made, is free from infection with avian influenza viruses.

Article 10.4.28.

General conditions and methods for surveillance

1) A surveillance system in accordance with Chapter 1.4. should be under the responsibility of the Veterinary Authority. In particular:
   a) a formal and ongoing system for detecting and investigating outbreaks of disease or infection with avian influenza viruses should be in place;
   b) a procedure should be in place for the rapid collection and transport of samples from suspect cases of avian influenza to a laboratory for avian influenza diagnosis;
   c) a system for recording, managing and analysing diagnostic and surveillance data should be in place.

2) The avian influenza surveillance programme should:
   a) include an early warning system throughout the production, marketing and processing chain for reporting suspicious cases. Farmers and workers, who have day-to-day contact with poultry, as well as diagnosticians, should report promptly any suspicion of avian influenza to the Veterinary Authority. They should be supported directly or indirectly (e.g. through private veterinarians or veterinary paraprofessionals) by government
information programmes and the Veterinary Authority. All suspected cases of avian influenza should be investigated immediately. As suspicion cannot always be resolved by epidemiological and clinical investigation alone, samples should be taken and submitted to a laboratory for appropriate tests. This requires that sampling kits and other equipment are available for those responsible for surveillance. Personnel responsible for surveillance should be able to call for assistance from a team with expertise in avian influenza diagnosis and control. In cases where potential public health implications are suspected, notification to the appropriate public health authorities is essential;

b) implement, when relevant, regular and frequent clinical inspection and serological and virological testing of high-risk groups of animals, such as those adjacent to an avian influenza infected country or zone, places where birds and poultry of different origins are mixed, such as live bird markets, poultry in close proximity to waterfowl or other potential sources of influenza A viruses.

An effective surveillance system will periodically identify suspicious cases that require follow-up and investigation to confirm or exclude that the cause of the condition is influenza A viruses. The rate at which such suspicious cases are likely to occur will differ between epidemiological situations and cannot therefore be predicted reliably. Documentation for freedom from infection with avian influenza viruses should, in consequence, provide details of the occurrence of suspicious cases and how they were investigated and dealt with. This should include the results of laboratory testing and the control measures to which the animals concerned were subjected during the investigation (quarantine, movement stand-still orders, etc.).

Article 10.4.29.

Surveillance strategies

1. Introduction

The target population for surveillance aimed at identification of disease and infection should cover all the susceptible poultry species within the country, zone or compartment. Active and passive surveillance for avian influenza should be ongoing, with the frequency of active surveillance being appropriate to the epidemiological situation in the country. Surveillance should be composed of random and targeted approaches using molecular, virological, serological and clinical methods.

The strategy employed may be based on randomised sampling requiring surveillance consistent with demonstrating the absence of infection with avian influenza viruses at an acceptable level of confidence. Random surveillance is conducted using serological tests. Positive serological results should be followed up with molecular or virological methods.

Targeted surveillance (e.g. based on the increased likelihood of infection in particular localities or species) may be an appropriate strategy. Virological and serological methods should be used concurrently to define the avian influenza status of high risk populations.

A Member Country should justify the surveillance strategy chosen as adequate to detect the presence of infection with avian influenza viruses in accordance with Chapter 1.4. and the prevailing epidemiological situation, including cases of high pathogenicity influenza A detected in any birds. It may, for example, be appropriate to target clinical surveillance at particular species likely to exhibit clear clinical signs (e.g. chickens). Similarly, virological and serological testing could be targeted to species that may not show clinical signs (e.g. ducks).

If a Member Country wishes to declare freedom from infection with avian influenza viruses in a specific zone or compartment, the design of the survey and the basis for the sampling process would need to be aimed at the population within the zone or compartment.

For random surveys, the design of the sampling strategy should incorporate epidemiologically appropriate design prevalence. The sample size selected for testing should be large enough to detect infection if it were to occur at a predetermined minimum rate. The sample size and expected disease prevalence determine the level of confidence in the results of the survey. The Member Country should justify the choice of design prevalence and confidence level based on the objectives of surveillance and the epidemiological situation, in accordance with
Chapter 1.4. Selection of the design prevalence in particular should be clearly based on the prevailing or historical epidemiological situation.

Irrespective of the survey approach selected, the sensitivity and specificity of the diagnostic tests employed are key factors in the design, sample size determination and interpretation of the results obtained. Ideally, the sensitivity and specificity of the tests used should be validated for the vaccination and infection history and the different species in the target population.

Irrespective of the testing system employed, surveillance system design should anticipate the occurrence of false positive reactions. If the characteristics of the testing system are known, the rate at which these false positives are likely to occur can be calculated in advance. There should be an effective procedure for following up positives to ultimately determine with a high level of confidence, whether they are indicative of infection or not. This should involve both supplementary tests and follow-up investigation to collect diagnostic material from the original sampling unit as well as flocks which may be epidemiologically linked to it.

The principles involved in surveillance for disease and infection are technically well defined. The design of surveillance programmes to prove the absence of infection with, or circulation of, avian influenza viruses should be carefully followed to avoid producing results that are either insufficiently reliable, or excessively costly and logistically complicated. The design of any surveillance programme, therefore, requires inputs from professionals competent and experienced in this field.

2. Clinical surveillance

Clinical surveillance aims at the detection of clinical signs of avian influenza at the flock level. Whereas significant emphasis is placed on the diagnostic value of mass serological screening, surveillance based on clinical inspection should not be underrated. Monitoring of production parameters, such as increased mortality, reduced feed and water consumption, presence of clinical signs of a respiratory disease or a drop in egg production, is important for the early detection of infection with avian influenza viruses. In some cases, the only indication of infection with low pathogenicity avian influenza virus may be a drop in feed consumption or egg production.

Clinical surveillance and laboratory testing should always be applied in series to clarify the status of avian influenza suspects detected by either of these complementary diagnostic approaches. Laboratory testing may confirm clinical suspicion, while clinical surveillance may contribute to confirmation of positive serology. Any sampling unit within which suspicious animals are detected should have restrictions imposed upon it until avian influenza infection is ruled out.

Identification of suspect flocks is vital to the identification of sources of avian influenza viruses and to enable the molecular, antigenic and other biological characteristics of the virus to be determined. It is essential that avian influenza virus isolates are sent regularly to the regional Reference Laboratory for genetic and antigenic characterisation.

3. Virological surveillance

Virological surveillance should be conducted:

a) to monitor at risk populations;

b) to confirm clinically suspect cases;

c) to follow up positive serological results;

d) to test ‘normal’ daily mortality, to ensure early detection of infection in the face of vaccination or in establishments epidemiologically linked to an outbreak.
4. Serological surveillance

Serological surveillance aims at the detection of antibodies against avian influenza virus. Positive avian influenza viruses antibody test results can have four possible causes:

a) natural infection with avian influenza viruses;

b) vaccination against avian influenza;

c) maternal antibodies derived from a vaccinated or infected parent flock are usually found in the yolk and can persist in progeny for up to four weeks;

d) lack of specificity of the test.

It may be possible to use serum collected for other survey purposes for avian influenza surveillance. However, the principles of survey design described in these recommendations and the requirement for a statistically valid survey for the presence of avian influenza viruses should not be compromised.

The discovery of clusters of seropositive flocks may reflect any of a series of events, including but not limited to the demographics of the population sampled, vaccinal exposure or infection. As clustering may signal infection, the investigation of all instances should be incorporated in the survey design. Clustering of positive flocks is always epidemiologically significant and therefore should be investigated.

If vaccination cannot be excluded as the cause of positive serological reactions, diagnostic methods to differentiate antibodies due to infection or vaccination should be employed.

The results of random or targeted serological surveys are important in providing reliable evidence that no infection with avian influenza viruses is present in a country, zone or compartment. It is therefore essential that the survey be thoroughly documented.

5. Virological and serological surveillance in vaccinated populations

The surveillance strategy is dependent on the type of vaccine used. The protection against influenza A virus is haemagglutinin subtype specific. Therefore, two broad vaccination strategies exist: 1) inactivated whole viruses, and 2) haemagglutinin expression-based vaccines.

In the case of vaccinated populations, the surveillance strategy should be based on virological or serological methods and clinical surveillance. It may be appropriate to use sentinel birds for this purpose. These birds should be unvaccinated, virus antibody free birds and clearly and permanently identified. Sentinel birds should be used only if no appropriate laboratory procedures are available. The interpretation of serological results in the presence of vaccination is described in Article 10.4.33.

Article 10.4.30.

Documentation of freedom from avian influenza or freedom from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry

1. Additional surveillance requirements for Member Countries declaring freedom of the country, zone or compartment from avian influenza or from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry

In addition to the general conditions described in above mentioned articles, a Member Country declaring freedom of the entire country, or a zone or a compartment from avian influenza or from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry should provide evidence for the existence of an effective surveillance programme. The strategy and design of the surveillance programme depend on the prevailing epidemiological circumstances and should be planned and implemented in accordance with general conditions and methods described in this
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Chapter, to demonstrate absence of infection with avian influenza viruses or with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses, during the preceding 12 months in susceptible poultry populations (vaccinated and non-vaccinated). This requires the support of a laboratory able to undertake identification of infection with avian influenza viruses through virus detection and antibody tests. This surveillance may be targeted to poultry population at specific risks linked to the types of production, possible direct or indirect contact with wild birds, multi-age flocks, local trade patterns including live bird markets, use of possibly contaminated surface water, and the presence of more than one species on the holding and poor biosecurity measures in place.

2. Additional requirements for countries, zones or compartments that practise vaccination

Vaccination to prevent the transmission of high pathogenicity avian influenza virus may be part of a disease control programme. The level of flock immunity required to prevent transmission depends on the flock size, composition (e.g. species) and density of the susceptible poultry population. It is therefore impossible to be prescriptive. Based on the epidemiology of avian influenza in the country, zone or compartment, it may be that a decision is reached to vaccinate only certain species or other poultry subpopulations.

In all vaccinated flocks there is a need to perform virological and serological tests to ensure the absence of virus circulation. The use of sentinel poultry may provide further confidence of the absence of virus circulation. The tests have to be repeated at least every six months or at shorter intervals in accordance with the risk in the country, zone or compartment.

Evidence to show the effectiveness of the vaccination programme should also be provided.

Article 10.4.31.

Additional surveillance requirements for countries, zones or compartments declaring that they have regained freedom from avian influenza or from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry following an outbreak

In addition to the general conditions described in the above-mentioned articles, a Member Country declaring that it has regained country, zone or compartment freedom from avian influenza or from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry should show evidence of an active surveillance programme depending on the epidemiological circumstances of the outbreak to demonstrate the absence of the infection. This will require surveillance incorporating virus detection and antibody tests. The use of sentinel birds may facilitate the interpretation of surveillance results.

A Member Country declaring freedom of country, zone or compartment after an outbreak of avian influenza should report the results of an active surveillance programme in which the susceptible poultry population undergoes regular clinical examination and active surveillance planned and implemented in accordance with the general conditions and methods described in these recommendations. The surveillance should at least give the confidence that can be given by a randomised representative sample of the populations at risk.

Article 10.4.32.

Additional surveillance requirements for avian influenza free establishments

The declaration of avian influenza free establishments requires the demonstration of absence of infection with avian influenza viruses. Birds in these establishments should be randomly tested using virus detection or isolation tests, and serological methods, following the general conditions of these recommendations. The frequency of testing should be based on the risk of infection and at a maximum interval of 21 days.

Article 10.4.33.

The use and interpretation of serological and virus detection tests

Poultry infected with avian influenza virus produce antibodies against haemagglutinin (HA), neuraminidase (NA), nonstructural proteins (NSPs), nucleoprotein/matrix (NP/M) and the polymerase complex proteins. Detection of antibodies against the polymerase complex proteins is not covered in this chapter. Tests for NP/M antibodies include direct and blocking ELISA, and agar gel immunodiffusion (AGID) tests. Tests for antibodies against NA include the neuraminidase inhibition (NI), indirect fluorescent antibody and direct and blocking ELISA tests. For the HA, antibodies are detected in haemagglutination inhibition (HI), ELISA and neutralisation (SN) tests. The HI test is reliable in avian species but not in mammals. The SN test can be used to detect subtype specific antibodies against the haemagglutinin
and is the preferred test for mammals and some avian species. The AGID test is reliable for detection of NP/M antibodies in chickens and turkeys, but not in other avian species. As an alternative, blocking ELISA tests have been developed to detect NP/M antibodies in all avian species.

The HI and NI tests can be used to subtype influenza A viruses into 16 haemagglutinin and 9 neuraminidase subtypes. Such information is helpful for epidemiological investigations and in categorization of influenza A viruses.

Poultry can be vaccinated with a variety of influenza A vaccines including inactivated whole virus vaccines, and haemagglutinin expression-based vaccines. Antibodies against the haemagglutinin confer subtype specific protection. Various strategies can be used to differentiate vaccinated from infected birds including serosurveillance in unvaccinated sentinel birds or specific serological tests in the vaccinated birds.

Influenza A virus infection of unvaccinated birds including sentinels is detected by antibodies against the NP/M, subtype specific HA or NA proteins, or NSP. Poultry vaccinated with inactivated whole virus vaccines containing a virus of the same H sub-type but with a different neuraminidase may be tested for field exposure by applying serological tests directed to the detection of antibodies against the NA of the field virus. For example, birds vaccinated with H7N3 in the face of a H7N1 epidemic may be differentiated from infected birds (DIVA) by detection of subtype specific NA antibodies of the N1 protein of the field virus. Alternatively, in the absence of DIVA, inactivated vaccines may induce low titres of antibodies against NSP and the titre in infected birds would be markedly higher. Encouraging results have been obtained experimentally with this system, but it has not yet been validated in the field. In poultry vaccinated with haemagglutinin expression-based vaccines, antibodies are detected against the specific HA, but not any of the other viral proteins. Infection is evident by antibodies against the NP/M or NSP, or the specific NA protein of the field virus.

All flocks with seropositive results should be investigated. Epidemiological and supplementary laboratory investigation results should document the status of avian influenza infection for each positive flock.

A confirmatory test should have a higher specificity than the screening test and sensitivity at least equivalent than that of the screening test.

Information should be provided on the performance characteristics and validation of tests used.

1. Procedure in case of positive test results if vaccination is used

In case of vaccinated populations, one has to exclude the likelihood that positive test results are indicative of virus circulation. To this end, the following procedure should be followed in the investigation of positive serological test results derived from surveillance conducted on vaccinated poultry. The investigation should examine all evidence that might confirm or refute the hypothesis that the positive results to the serological tests employed in the initial survey were not due to virus circulation. All the epidemiological information should be substantiated, and the results should be collated in the final report.

Knowledge of the type of vaccine used is crucial in developing a serological based strategy to differentiate infected from vaccinated animals.

a) Inactivated whole virus vaccines can use either homologous or heterologous neuraminidase subtypes between the vaccine and field strains. If poultry in the population have antibodies against NP/M and were vaccinated with inactivated whole virus vaccine, the following strategies should be applied:

i) sentinel birds should remain NP/M antibody negative. If positive for NP/M antibodies, indicating influenza A virus infection, specific HI tests should be performed to identify H5 or H7 virus infection;

ii) if vaccinated with inactivated whole virus vaccine containing homologous NA to field virus, the presence of antibodies against NSP could be indicative of infection. Sampling should be initiated to exclude the presence of avian influenza virus by either virus isolation or detection of virus specific genomic material or proteins;

iii) if vaccinated with inactivated whole virus vaccine containing heterologous NA to field virus, presence of antibodies against the field virus NA or NSP would be indicative of infection. Sampling should be initiated to exclude the presence of avian influenza virus by either virus isolation or detection of virus specific genomic material or proteins.

b) Haemagglutinin expression-based vaccines contain the HA protein or gene homologous to the HA of the field virus. Sentinel birds as described above can be used to detect avian influenza infection. In vaccinated or sentinel birds, the presence of antibodies against NP/M, NSP or field virus NA is indicative of infection. Sampling should be initiated to exclude the presence of avian influenza virus by either virus isolation or detection of virus specific genomic material or proteins.
2. Procedure in case of test results indicative of infection with avian influenza viruses

The detection of antibodies indicative of an *infection* with avian influenza virus in unvaccinated *poultry* should result in the initiation of epidemiological and virological investigations to determine if the *infections* are due to low and high pathogenicity viruses.

Virological testing should be initiated in all antibody-positive and at risk populations. The samples should be evaluated for the presence of avian influenza virus, by virus isolation and identification, or detection of influenza A specific proteins or nucleic acids (Figure 2). Virus isolation is the gold standard for detecting *infection* by avian influenza virus. All influenza A virus isolates should be tested to determine HA and NA subtypes, and *in vivo* tested in chickens or sequencing of HA proteolytic cleavage site of H5 and H7 subtypes for determination of classification as high or low pathogenicity avian influenza viruses or other influenza A viruses. As an alternative, nucleic acid detection tests have been developed and validated; these tests have the sensitivity of virus isolation, but with the advantage of providing results within a few hours. Samples with detection of H5 and H7 HA subtypes by nucleic acid detection methods should either be submitted for virus isolation, identification, and *in vivo* testing in chickens, or sequencing of nucleic acids for determination of proteolytic cleavage site as high or low pathogenicity avian influenza viruses. The use of antigen detection systems, because of low sensitivity, should be limited to screening clinical field cases for *infection* by influenza A virus looking for NP/M proteins. NP/M positive samples should be submitted for virus isolation, identification and pathogenicity determination.

Laboratory results should be examined in the context of the epidemiological situation. Corollary information needed to complement the serological survey and assess the possibility of viral circulation includes but is not limited to:

- a) characterisation of the existing production systems;
- b) results of clinical *surveillance* of the suspects and their cohorts;
- c) quantification of *vaccinations* performed on the affected sites;
- d) sanitary protocol and history of the affected *establishments*;
- e) control of *animal identification* and movements;
- f) other parameters of regional significance in historic avian influenza virus transmission.

The entire investigative process should be documented as standard operating procedure within the epidemiological *surveillance* programme.

Figures 1 and 2 indicate the tests which are recommended for use in the investigation of *poultry flocks*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviations and acronyms:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIVA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP/M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 1. Schematic representation of laboratory tests for determining evidence of avian influenza infection through or following serological surveys.
Fig. 2. Schematic representation of laboratory tests for determining evidence of avian influenza infection using virological methods

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1998; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2017.
CHAPTER 10.5.

AVIAN MYCOPLASMOSIS
(MYCOPLASMA GALLISEPTICUM)

Article 10.5.1.

General provisions

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 10.5.2.

Establishment free from avian mycoplasmosis

To qualify as free from avian mycoplasmosis, an establishment should satisfy the following requirements:

1) it is under official veterinary control;
2) it contains no bird which has been vaccinated against avian mycoplasmosis;
3) 5% of the birds, with a maximum of 100 birds of different age groups present in the establishment, are subjected to the serum-agglutination test with negative results at the age of 10, 18 and 26 weeks, and thereafter at 4-week intervals (the results of at least the last two tests carried out on adult birds should be negative);
4) all birds introduced into the flocks come from an establishment free from avian mycoplasmosis.

Article 10.5.3.

Recommendations for the importation of chickens and turkeys

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the birds:

1) showed no clinical sign of avian mycoplasmosis on the day of shipment;
2) come from an establishment free from avian mycoplasmosis; and/or
3) were kept in a quarantine station for the 28 days prior to shipment and were subjected to a diagnostic test for avian mycoplasmosis with negative results, on two occasions, at the beginning and at the end of the 28-day period.

Article 10.5.4.

Recommendations for the importation of day-old birds

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the day-old birds:

1) come from establishments free from avian mycoplasmosis and from hatcheries which comply with the standards referred to in Chapter 6.5.;
2) were shipped in clean and unused packages.
Article 10.5.5.

Recommendations for the importation of hatching eggs of chickens and turkeys

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the hatching eggs:

1) have been disinfected in accordance with the standards referred to in Chapter 6.5.;
2) come from establishments free from avian mycoplasmosis and from hatcheries which comply with the standards referred to in Chapter 6.5.;
3) were shipped in clean and unused packages.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1982; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 1998.
CHAPTER 10.6.

DUCK VIRUS HEPATITIS

Article 10.6.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the incubation period for duck virus hepatitis (DVH) shall be seven days.

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 10.6.2.

Recommendations for the importation of ducks

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the birds:

1) showed no clinical sign of DVH on the day of shipment;
2) come from establishments which are recognised as being free from DVH;
3) have not been vaccinated against DVH; or
4) were vaccinated against DVH (the nature of the vaccine used and the date of vaccination should also be stated in the certificate).

Article 10.6.3.

Recommendations for the importation of day-old ducks

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the day-old birds:

1) come from establishments and/or hatcheries which are regularly inspected by the Veterinary Authority and from hatcheries which comply with the standards referred to in Chapter 6.5.;
2) have not been vaccinated against DVH; or
3) were vaccinated against DVH (the nature of the vaccine used and the date of vaccination should also be stated in the certificate);
4) are the progeny of parent flocks which:
   a) come from establishments and/or hatcheries which are recognised as being free from DVH;
   b) come from establishments and/or hatcheries in which vaccination against DVH is not practised on the parent stock; or
   c) come from establishments and/or hatcheries in which vaccination against DVH is practised on the parent stock;
5) were shipped in clean and unused packages.
Article 10.6.4.

Recommendations for the importation of hatching eggs of ducks

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the hatching eggs:

1) have been disinfected in accordance with the standards referred to in Chapter 6.5.;
2) come from establishments and/or hatcheries which are recognised as being free from DVH and from hatcheries which comply with the standards referred to in Chapter 6.5.;
3) were shipped in clean and unused packages.

CHAPTER 10.7.

FOWL TYPHOID AND PULLORUM DISEASE

Article 10.7.1.

General provisions

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 10.7.2.

Recommendations for the importation of domestic birds

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the birds:

1) showed no clinical sign of fowl typhoid and pullorum disease on the day of shipment;
2) come from establishments which are recognised as being free from fowl typhoid and pullorum disease; and/or
3) have been subjected to a diagnostic test for fowl typhoid and pullorum disease with negative results; and/or
4) were kept in a quarantine station for not less than 21 days prior to shipment.

Article 10.7.3.

Recommendations for the importation of day-old birds

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the day-old birds:

1) come from establishments and/or hatcheries which are recognised as being free from fowl typhoid and pullorum disease and from hatcheries which comply with the standards referred to in Chapter 6.5.;
2) were shipped in clean and unused packages.

Article 10.7.4.

Recommendations for the importation of hatching eggs of domestic birds

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the hatching eggs:

1) have been disinfected in accordance with the standards referred to in Chapter 6.5.;
2) come from establishments and/or hatcheries which are recognised as being free from fowl typhoid and pullorum disease and from hatcheries which comply with the standards referred to in Chapter 6.5.;
3) were shipped in clean and unused packages.

__________________________

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1998.
CHAPTER 10.8.

INFECTIONOUS BURSAL DISEASE
(GUMBORO DISEASE)

Article 10.8.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the incubation period for infectious bursal disease shall be seven days.

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 10.8.2.

Recommendations for the importation of domestic birds

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the birds:

1) showed no clinical sign of infectious bursal disease on the day of shipment;
2) come from an establishment which is regularly inspected by the Veterinary Authority;
3) have not been vaccinated against infectious bursal disease and come from an establishment free from infectious bursal disease as demonstrated by the AGP test; or
4) were vaccinated against infectious bursal disease (the nature of the vaccine used and the date of vaccination should also be stated in the certificate).

Article 10.8.3.

Recommendations for importation from countries considered infected with infectious bursal disease

For day-old birds

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the day-old birds:

1) come from establishments which are regularly inspected by the Veterinary Authority and from hatcheries which comply with the standards referred to in Chapter 6.5.;
2) have not been vaccinated against infectious bursal disease; or
3) were vaccinated against infectious bursal disease (the nature of the vaccine used and the date of vaccination should also be stated in the certificate);
4) are the progeny of parent flocks which come from establishments:
   a) which are recognised as being free from infectious bursal disease as demonstrated by the AGP test;
   b) in which vaccination against infectious bursal disease is not practised on the parent stock; or
   c) in which vaccination against infectious bursal disease is practised on the parent stock;
5) were shipped in clean and unused packages.
Article 10.8.4.

**Recommendations for the importation of hatching eggs of domestic birds**

*Veterinary Authorities* of importing countries should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that the *hatching eggs*:

1) have been disinfected in accordance with the standards referred to in Chapter 6.5.;

2) come from *establishments* which are regularly inspected by the *Veterinary Authority* and from hatcheries which comply with the standards referred to in Chapter 6.5.;

3) were shipped in clean and unused packages.

**NB:** FIRST ADOPTED IN 1982; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 1998.
CHAPTER 10.9.

INFECTION WITH NEWCASTLE DISEASE VIRUS

Article 10.9.1.

General provisions

1) For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, Newcastle disease (ND) is defined as an infection of poultry caused by Newcastle disease virus (NDV), which is an avian paramyxovirus serotype 1 (APMV-1) that meets one of the following criteria for virulence:
   a) the virus has an intracerebral pathogenicity index (ICPI) in day-old chicks (Gallus gallus) of 0.7 or greater; or
   b) multiple basic amino acids have been demonstrated in the virus (either directly or by deduction) at the C-terminus of the F2 protein and phenylalanine at residue 117, which is the N-terminus of the F1 protein. The term ‘multiple basic amino acids’ refers to at least three arginine or lysine residues between residues 113 and 116. Failure to demonstrate the characteristic pattern of amino acid residues as described above would require characterisation of the isolated virus by an ICPI test.

   In this definition, amino acid residues are numbered from the N-terminus of the amino acid sequence deduced from the nucleotide sequence of the F0 gene, 113–116 corresponds to residues –4 to –1 from the cleavage site.’

2) Poultry is defined as ‘all domesticated birds, including backyard poultry, used for the production of meat or eggs for consumption, for the production of other commercial products, for restocking supplies of game, or for breeding these categories of birds, as well as fighting cocks used for any purpose’.

   Birds that are kept in captivity for any reason other than those reasons referred to in the preceding paragraph, including those that are kept for shows, races, exhibitions, competitions, or for breeding or selling these categories of birds as well as pet birds, are not considered to be poultry.

3) For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the incubation period for ND shall be 21 days.

4) This chapter deals with NDV infection of poultry as defined in point 2) above, in the presence or absence of clinical signs.

5) The occurrence of infection with NDV is defined as the isolation and identification of NDV as such or the detection of viral ribonucleic acid specific for NDV.

6) A Member Country should not impose bans on the trade in poultry commodities in response to information on the presence of any APMV-1 in birds other than poultry, including wild birds.

7) Standards for diagnostic tests, including pathogenicity testing, are described in the Terrestrial Manual. When the use of ND vaccines is appropriate, those vaccines should comply with the standards described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 10.9.2.

Determination of the Newcastle disease status of a country, zone or compartment

The ND status of a country, a zone or a compartment can be determined on the basis of the following criteria:

1) ND is notifiable in the whole country, an ongoing ND awareness programme is in place, and all notified suspect occurrences of ND are subjected to field and, where applicable, laboratory investigations;

2) appropriate surveillance is in place to demonstrate the presence of NDV infection in the absence of clinical signs in poultry, this may be achieved through an ND surveillance programme in accordance with Articles 10.9.22. to 10.9.26.;

3) consideration of all epidemiological factors for ND occurrence and their historical perspective.
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**Article 10.9.3.**

**Newcastle disease free country, zone or compartment**

A country, zone or compartment may be considered free from ND when it has been shown that NDV infection in poultry has not been present in the country, zone or compartment for the past 12 months, based on surveillance in accordance with Articles 10.9.22. to 10.9.26.

If infection has occurred in poultry in a previously free country, zone or compartment, ND free status can be regained three months after a stamping-out policy (including disinfection of all affected establishments) is applied, providing that surveillance in accordance with Articles 10.9.22. to 10.9.26. has been carried out during that three-month period.

**Article 10.9.4.**

**Recommendations for importation from a Newcastle disease free country, zone or compartment as defined in Article 10.9.3.**

For live poultry (other than day-old poultry)

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the poultry showed no clinical sign suggestive of ND on the day of shipment;
2) the poultry were kept in an ND free country, zone or compartment since they were hatched or for at least the past 21 days;
3) the poultry are transported in new or appropriately sanitized containers.

If the poultry have been vaccinated against ND, the nature of the vaccine used and the date of vaccination should be attached to the certificate.

**Article 10.9.5.**

**Recommendations for the importation of live birds other than poultry**

Regardless of the ND status of the country of origin, Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the birds showed no clinical sign suggestive of infection by NDV on the day of shipment;
2) the birds were kept in isolation approved by the Veterinary Services since they were hatched or for at least 21 days prior to shipment and showed no clinical sign of infection during the isolation period;
3) a statistically valid sample of the birds, selected in accordance with Article 10.9.24., was subjected to a diagnostic test within 14 days prior to shipment to demonstrate freedom from infection with NDV;
4) the birds are transported in new or appropriately sanitized containers.

If the birds have been vaccinated against ND, the nature of the vaccine used and the date of vaccination should be attached to the certificate.

**Article 10.9.6.**

**Recommendations for importation from a Newcastle disease free country, zone or compartment**

For day-old live poultry

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the poultry were hatched and kept in an ND free country, zone or compartment since they were hatched;
2) the poultry were derived from parent flocks which had been kept in an ND free country, zone or compartment for at least 21 days prior to and at the time of the collection of the eggs;
3) the poultry are transported in new or appropriately sanitized containers.

If the poultry or parent flocks have been vaccinated against ND, the nature of the vaccine used and the date of vaccination should be attached to the certificate.
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Article 10.9.7.

Recommendations for the importation of day-old live birds other than poultry

Regardless of the ND status of the country of origin, Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the birds showed no clinical sign suggestive of infection by NDV on the day of shipment;
2) the birds were hatched and kept in isolation approved by the Veterinary Services;
3) the parent flock birds were subjected to a diagnostic test at the time of the collection of the eggs to demonstrate freedom from infection with NDV;
4) the birds are transported in new or appropriately sanitized containers.

If the birds or parent flocks have been vaccinated against ND, the nature of the vaccine used and the date of vaccination should be attached to the certificate.

Article 10.9.8.

Recommendations for importation from a Newcastle disease free country, zone or compartment

For hatching eggs of poultry

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the eggs came from an ND free country, zone or compartment;
2) the eggs were derived from parent flocks which had been kept in an ND free country, zone or compartment for at least 21 days prior to and at the time of the collection of the eggs;
3) the eggs are transported in new or appropriately sanitized packaging materials.

If the parent flocks have been vaccinated against ND, the nature of the vaccine used and the date of vaccination should be attached to the certificate.

Article 10.9.9.

Recommendations for the importation of hatching eggs from birds other than poultry

Regardless of the ND status of the country of origin, Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the parent flock birds were subjected to a diagnostic test seven days prior to and at the time of the collection of the eggs to demonstrate freedom from infection with NDV;
2) the eggs have had their surfaces sanitized (in accordance with Chapter 6.5.);
3) the eggs are transported in new or appropriately sanitized packaging materials.

If the parent flocks have been vaccinated against ND, the nature of the vaccine used and the date of vaccination should be attached to the certificate.

Article 10.9.10.

Recommendations for importation from a Newcastle disease free country, zone or compartment

For eggs for human consumption

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the eggs were produced and packed in an ND free country, zone or compartment;
2) the eggs are transported in new or appropriately sanitized packaging materials.
Article 10.9.11.

Recommendations for importation of egg products of poultry

Regardless of the ND status of the country of origin, Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:
1) the commodity is derived from eggs which meet the requirements of Article 10.9.10.; or
2) the commodity has been processed to ensure the destruction of NDV in accordance with Article 10.9.20.;

AND

3) the necessary precautions were taken to avoid contact of the egg products with any source of NDV.

Article 10.9.12.

Recommendations for importation from a Newcastle disease free country, zone or compartment

For poultry semen

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the donor poultry:
1) showed no clinical sign suggestive of ND on the day of semen collection;
2) were kept in an ND free country, zone or compartment for at least 21 days prior to and at the time of semen collection.

Article 10.9.13.

Recommendations for the importation of semen of birds other than poultry

Regardless of the ND status of the country of origin, Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the donor birds:
1) were kept in isolation approved by the Veterinary Services for at least 21 days prior to and on the day of semen collection;
2) showed no clinical sign suggestive of infection with NDV during the isolation period and on the day of semen collection;
3) were subjected to a diagnostic test within 14 days prior to semen collection to demonstrate freedom from infection with NDV.

Article 10.9.14.

Recommendations for importation from a Newcastle disease free country, zone or compartment

For fresh meat of poultry

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the entire consignment of fresh meat comes from poultry:
1) which have been kept in an ND free country, zone or compartment since they were hatched or for at least the past 21 days;
2) which have been slaughtered in an approved abattoir in an ND free country, zone or compartment and have been subjected to ante- and post-mortem inspections in accordance with Chapter 6.3. and have been found free of any sign suggestive of ND.

Article 10.9.15.

Recommendations for importation of meat products of poultry

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:
1) the commodity is derived from fresh meat which meet the requirements of Article 10.9.14.; or
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2) the commodity has been processed to ensure the destruction of NDV in accordance with Article 10.9.21.;

AND

3) the necessary precautions were taken to avoid contact of the commodity with any source of NDV.

Article 10.9.16.

Recommendations for the importation of products of poultry origin, other than feather meal and poultry meal, intended for use in animal feeding, or for agricultural or industrial use

Regardless of the ND status of the country of origin, Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) these commodities were processed in a ND free country, zone or compartment from poultry which were kept in a ND free country, zone or compartment from the time they were hatched until the time of slaughter or for at least the 21 days preceding slaughter; or

2) these commodities have been processed to ensure the destruction of NDV using:

   a) moist heat treatment for 30 minutes at 56°C; or

   b) any equivalent treatment which has been demonstrated to inactivate NDV;

AND

3) the necessary precautions were taken to avoid contact of the commodity with any source of NDV.

Article 10.9.17.

Recommendations for the importation of feathers and down of poultry

Regardless of the ND status of the country of origin, Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) these commodities originated from poultry as described in Article 10.9.14. and were processed in a ND free country, zone or compartment; or

2) these commodities have been processed to ensure the destruction of NDV using one of the following:

   a) washed and steam-dried at 100°C for 30 minutes;

   b) fumigation with formalin (10% formaldehyde) for 8 hours;

   c) irradiation with a dose of 20 kilogray;

   d) any equivalent treatment which has been demonstrated to inactivate NDV;

AND

3) the necessary precautions were taken to avoid contact of the commodity with any source of NDV.

Article 10.9.18.

Recommendations for the importation of feathers and down of birds other than poultry

Regardless of the ND status of the country of origin, Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) these commodities have been processed to ensure the destruction of NDV using one of the following:

   a) washed and steam-dried at 100°C for 30 minutes;

   b) fumigation with formalin (10% formaldehyde) for 8 hours;

   c) irradiation with a dose of 20 kilogray;

   d) any equivalent treatment which has been demonstrated to inactivate NDV;

2) the necessary precautions were taken to avoid contact of the commodity with any source of NDV.
Recommendations for the importation of feather meal and poultry meal

Regardless of the ND status of the country of origin, Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) these commodities were processed in a ND free country, zone or compartment from poultry which were kept in a ND free country, zone or compartment from the time they were hatched until the time of slaughter or for at least the 21 days preceding slaughter; or

2) these commodities have been processed either:
   a) with moist heat at a minimum temperature of 118ºC for minimum of 40 minutes; or
   b) with a continuous hydrolysing process under at least 3.79 bar of pressure with steam at a minimum temperature of 122ºC for a minimum of 15 minutes; or
   c) with an alternative rendering process that ensures that the internal temperature throughout the product reaches at least 74ºC for a minimum of 280 seconds;

AND

3) the necessary precautions were taken to avoid contact of the commodity with any source of ND virus.

Procedures for the inactivation of Newcastle disease virus in eggs and egg products

The following times and temperatures are suitable for the inactivation of ND virus present in eggs and egg products:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole egg 55</td>
<td>2,521 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole egg 57</td>
<td>1,596 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole egg 59</td>
<td>674 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid egg white 55</td>
<td>2,278 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid egg white 57</td>
<td>986 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid egg white 59</td>
<td>301 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% salted yolk 55</td>
<td>176 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dried egg white 57</td>
<td>50.4 hours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The listed temperatures are indicative of a range that achieves a 7-log kill. Where scientifically documented, variances from these times and temperatures may also be suitable when they achieve the inactivation of the virus.

Procedures for the inactivation of Newcastle disease virus in meat

The following times for industry standard temperatures are suitable for the inactivation of ND virus present in meat.
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The listed temperatures are indicative of a range that achieves a 7-log kill. Where scientifically documented, variances from these times and temperatures may also be suitable when they achieve the inactivation of the virus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poultry meat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>39.8 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>3.6 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>0.5 second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>0.03 second</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The listed temperatures are indicative of a range that achieves a 7-log kill. Where scientifically documented, variances from these times and temperatures may also be suitable when they achieve the inactivation of the virus.

Introduction to surveillance

Articles 10.9.22. to 10.9.26. define the principles and provide a guide on the surveillance for ND as defined in Article 10.9.1. and is complementary to Chapter 1.4. It is applicable to Member Countries seeking to determine their ND status. This may be for the entire country, zone or compartment. Guidance for Member Countries seeking free status following an outbreak and for the maintenance of ND status is also provided.

Surveillance for ND is complicated by the known occurrence of APMV-1 infections in many bird species, both domestic and wild, and the widespread utilisation of ND vaccines in domestic poultry.

The impact and epidemiology of ND differ widely in different regions of the world and therefore it is not possible to provide specific recommendations for all situations. Therefore, surveillance strategies employed for demonstrating freedom from ND at an acceptable level of confidence should be adapted to the local situation. Variables such as the frequency of contacts of poultry with wild birds, different biosecurity levels, production systems and the commingling of different susceptible species require specific surveillance strategies to address each specific situation. It is incumbent upon the Member Country to provide scientific data that explains the epidemiology of ND in the region concerned and also demonstrates how all the risk factors are managed. There is, therefore, considerable latitude available to Member Countries to provide a well-reasoned argument to prove freedom from NDV infection.

Surveillance for ND should be in the form of a continuing programme designed to establish that the country, zone or compartment, for which application is made, is free from NDV infection.

General conditions and methods for surveillance

1) A surveillance system in accordance with Chapter 1.4. should be under the responsibility of the Veterinary Authority. In particular there should be in place:

a) a formal and ongoing system for detecting and investigating outbreaks of disease or NDV infection;

b) a procedure for the rapid collection and transport of samples from suspect cases of ND to a laboratory for ND diagnosis;

c) a system for recording, managing and analysing diagnostic and surveillance data.

2) The ND surveillance programme should:

a) include an early warning system throughout the production, marketing and processing chain for reporting suspicious cases. Farmers and workers, who have day-to-day contact with poultry, as well as diagnosticians, should report promptly any suspicion of ND to the Veterinary Authority. They should be supported directly or indirectly (e.g. through private veterinarians or veterinary paraprofessionals) by government information programmes and the Veterinary Authority. All suspected cases of ND should be investigated immediately. As suspicion cannot be resolved by epidemiological and clinical investigation alone, samples should be taken and submitted to a laboratory for appropriate tests. This requires that sampling kits and other equipment are available to those responsible for surveillance. Personnel responsible for surveillance should be able to call for assistance from a team with expertise in ND diagnosis and control;
An effective surveillance system may identify suspicious cases that require follow-up and investigation to confirm or exclude that the cause of the condition is due to NDV infection. The rate at which such suspicious cases are likely to occur will differ between epidemiological situations and cannot therefore be predicted reliably. Applications for freedom from NDV infection should provide details of the occurrence of suspicious cases and how they were investigated and dealt with. This should include the results of laboratory testing and the control measures to which the animals concerned were subjected during the investigation (quarantine, movement stand-still orders, etc.).

Article 10.9.24.

Surveillance strategies

1. Introduction

Any surveillance programme requires inputs from professionals competent and experienced in this field and should be thoroughly documented. The design of surveillance programmes to prove the absence of NDV infection or circulation should be carefully followed to avoid producing results that are either unreliable, or excessively costly and logistically complicated.

If a Member Country wishes to declare freedom from NDV infection in a country, zone or compartment, the subpopulation used for the surveillance for the disease and infection should be representative of all poultry within the country, zone or compartment. Multiple surveillance methods should be used concurrently to accurately define the true ND status of poultry populations. Active and passive surveillance for ND should be ongoing with the frequency of active surveillance being appropriate to the disease situation in the country. Surveillance should be composed of random or targeted approaches, dependent on the local epidemiological situation and using clinical, virological and serological methods. If alternative tests are used they should have been validated as fit-for-purpose in accordance with OIE standards. A Member Country should justify the surveillance strategy chosen as adequate to detect the presence of NDV infection in accordance with Chapter 1.4. and the prevailing epidemiological situation.

In surveys, the sample size selected for testing should be statistically justified to detect infection at a predetermined target prevalence. The sample size and expected prevalence determine the level of confidence in the results of the survey. The survey design and frequency of sampling should be dependent on the historical and current local epidemiological situation. The Member Country should justify the choice of survey design and confidence level based on the objectives of surveillance and the epidemiological situation, in accordance with Chapter 1.4.

Targeted surveillance (e.g. based on the increased likelihood of infection in a population) may be an appropriate strategy.

It may, for example, be appropriate to target clinical surveillance at particular species likely to exhibit clear clinical signs (e.g. unvaccinated chickens). Similarly, virological and serological testing could target species that may not show clinical signs (Article 10.9.2.) of ND and are not routinely vaccinated (e.g. ducks). Surveillance may also target poultry populations at specific risk, for example direct or indirect contact with wild birds, multi-age flocks, local trade patterns including live poultry markets, the presence of more than one species on the holding and poor biosecurity measures in place. In situations where wild birds have been shown to play a role in the local epidemiology of ND, surveillance of wild birds may be of value in alerting Veterinary Services to the possible exposure of poultry and, in particular, of free ranging poultry.

The sensitivity and specificity of the diagnostic tests are key factors in the choice of survey design, which should anticipate the occurrence of false positive and false negative reactions. Ideally, the sensitivity and specificity of the tests used should be validated for the vaccination and infection history and for the different species in the target population. If the characteristics of the testing system are known, the rate at which these false reactions are likely to occur can be calculated in advance. There should be an effective procedure for following up positives to ultimately determine with a high level of confidence, whether they are indicative of infection or not. This should involve both supplementary tests and follow-up investigation to collect diagnostic material from the original sampling unit as well as flocks which may be epidemiologically linked to it.

The results of active and passive surveillance are important in providing reliable evidence that no NDV infection is present in a country, zone or compartment.

2. Clinical surveillance

Clinical surveillance aims to detect clinical signs suggestive of ND at the flock level and should not be underestimated as an early indication of infection. Monitoring of production parameters (e.g. a drop in feed or water consumption or egg production) is important for the early detection of NDV infection in some populations, as there
may be no, or mild, clinical signs, particularly if they are vaccinated. Any sampling unit within which suspicious animals are detected should be considered as infected until evidence to the contrary is produced. Identification of infected flocks is vital to the identification of sources of NDV.

A presumptive diagnosis of clinical ND in suspect infected populations should always be confirmed by virological testing in a laboratory. This will enable the molecular, antigenic and other biological characteristics of the virus to be determined.

It is desirable that NDV isolates are sent promptly to an OIE Reference Laboratory for archiving and further characterisation if required.

3. Virological surveillance

Virological surveillance should be conducted to:

a) monitor at risk populations;
b) confirm suspect clinical cases;
c) follow up positive serological results in unvaccinated populations or sentinel birds;
d) test 'normal' daily mortalities (if warranted by an increased risk e.g. infection in the face of vaccination or in establishments epidemiologically linked to an outbreak).

4. Serological surveillance

Where vaccination is carried out, serological surveillance is of limited value. Serological surveillance cannot be used to discriminate between NDV and other APMV-1. Positive NDV antibody test results can have five possible causes:

a) natural infection with APMV-1;
b) vaccination against ND;
c) exposure to vaccine virus;
d) maternal antibodies derived from a vaccinated or infected parent flock are usually found in the yolk and can persist in progeny for up to four weeks;
e) non-specific test reactions.

It may be possible to use serum collected for other survey purposes for ND surveillance. However, the principles of survey design described in these recommendations and the requirement for a statistically valid survey for the presence of NDV should not be compromised.

Discovery of seropositive, unvaccinated flocks should be investigated further by conducting a thorough epidemiological investigation. Since seropositive results are not necessarily indicative of infection, virological methods should be used to confirm the presence of NDV in such populations. Until validated strategies and tools to differentiate vaccinated animals from those infected with field APMV-1 are available, serological tools should not be used to identify NDV infection in vaccinated populations.

5. Use of sentinel poultry

There are various applications of the use of sentinel poultry as a surveillance tool to detect virus circulation. They may be used to monitor vaccinated populations or species which are less susceptible to the development of clinical disease for the circulation of virus. Sentinel poultry should be immunologically naive and may be used in vaccinated flocks. In case of the use of sentinel poultry, the structure and organisation of the poultry sector, the type of vaccine used and local epidemiological factors will determine the type of production systems where sentinels should be placed, the frequency of placement and monitoring of the sentinels.

Sentinel poultry should be in close contact with, but should be identified to be clearly differentiated from, the target population. Sentinel poultry should be observed regularly for evidence of clinical disease and any disease incidents investigated by prompt laboratory testing. The species to be used as sentinels should be proven to be highly susceptible to infection and ideally develop clear signs of clinical disease. Where the sentinel poultry do not necessarily develop overt clinical disease a programme of regular active testing by virological and serological tests should be used (the development of clinical disease may be dependent on the sentinel species used or use of live vaccine in the target population that may infect the sentinel poultry). The testing regime and the interpretation of the results will depend on the type of vaccine used in the target population. Sentinel birds should be used only if no appropriate laboratory procedures are available.
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Article 10.9.25.

Additional surveillance requirements for declaration of freedom

The requirements for a country, zone or compartment to declare freedom from ND are given in Article 10.9.3.

A Member Country declaring freedom of a country, zone or compartment (with or without vaccination) should report the results of a surveillance programme in which the ND susceptible poultry population undergoes regular surveillance planned and implemented in accordance with the general conditions and methods described in these recommendations.

1. Member Countries declaring freedom from Newcastle disease for the country, zone or compartment

In addition to the general conditions described in the Terrestrial Code, a Member Country declaring freedom from ND for the entire country, or a zone or a compartment should provide evidence for the existence of an effective surveillance programme. The surveillance programme should be planned and implemented in accordance with general conditions and methods described in this chapter to demonstrate absence of NDV infection in poultry during the preceding 12 months.

2. Additional requirements for countries, zones or compartments that practice vaccination

Vaccination against ND may be used as a component of a disease prevention and control programme. In vaccinated populations there is a need to perform surveillance to ensure the absence of NDV circulation. The use of sentinel poultry may provide further confidence of the absence of virus circulation. The surveillance should be repeated at least every six months or at shorter intervals in accordance with the risk in the country, zone or compartment, or evidence to show the effectiveness of the vaccination programme is regularly provided.

Article 10.9.26.

Additional surveillance requirements for regaining freedom

A Member Country regaining country, zone or compartment freedom from ND should show evidence of an active surveillance programme depending on the epidemiological circumstances of the outbreak to demonstrate the absence of the infection.

A Member Country declaring freedom of a country, zone or compartment after an outbreak of ND (with or without vaccination) should report the results of a surveillance programme in which the ND susceptible poultry population undergoes regular surveillance planned and implemented in accordance with the general conditions and methods described in these recommendations.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2014.
SECTION 11.

BOVIDAE

CHAPTER 11.1.

BOVINE ANAPLASMOSIS

Article 11.1.1.

General provisions

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 11.1.2.

Recommendations for importation from countries considered infected with bovine anaplasmosis

For cattle

Veterinary Authorities of free countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of bovine anaplasmosis on the day of shipment; and
2) were, since birth, kept in a zone known to be free from bovine anaplasmosis for the previous two years;

OR

3) showed no clinical sign of bovine anaplasmosis on the day of shipment; and
4) were subjected to a diagnostic test for bovine anaplasmosis with negative results during 30 days prior to shipment; and
5) were treated with an effective drug such as oxytetracycline for five consecutive days at a dose of 22 mg/kg (under study);

AND

in either of the above cases:

6) were treated with an acaricide and, if necessary, a repellent against biting insects prior to shipment and were completely free of ticks.

CHAPTER 11.2.

BOVINE BABESIOSIS

Article 11.2.1.

General provisions

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the *Terrestrial Manual*.

Article 11.2.2.

Recommendations for importation from countries considered infected with bovine babesiosis

For cattle

*Veterinary Authorities* of free countries should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of bovine babesiosis on the day of shipment; and

2) were, since birth, resident in a *zone* known to be free of bovine babesiosis for the previous two years;

OR

3) showed no clinical sign of bovine babesiosis on the day of shipment; and

4) were subjected to a diagnostic test for bovine babesiosis with negative results during 30 days prior to shipment; and

5) were treated with an effective drug such as imidocarb as a single dose injection at 2 mg/kg or amicarbalide at 10 mg/kg (under study);

AND

in either of the above cases:

6) were treated with an acaricide prior to shipment and were completely free of ticks.

---

CHAPTER 11.3.

BOVINE GENITAL CAMPYLOBACTERIOSIS

Article 11.3.1.

General provisions

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 11.3.2.

Recommendations for the importation of female bovines for breeding

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the animals are virgin heifers; or

2) the animals were kept in a herd in which no case of bovine genital campylobacteriosis has been declared; and/or

3) for animals which have been mated, the culture of vaginal mucus for the presence of the causal agent of bovine genital campylobacteriosis proved negative.

Article 11.3.3.

Recommendations for the importation of bulls for breeding

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the animals:

   a) have never been used for natural service; or

   b) have only mated virgin heifers; or

   c) were kept in an establishment in which no case of bovine genital campylobacteriosis has been declared;

2) the semen and preputial specimen cultures and/or the associated tests for the presence of the causal agent of bovine genital campylobacteriosis were negative.

Article 11.3.4.

Recommendations for the importation of bovine semen

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor animals:

   a) have never been used for natural service; or

   b) have only mated virgin heifers; or

   c) were kept in an establishment or artificial insemination centre where no case of bovine genital campylobacteriosis has been reported;
2) the culture of semen and preputial specimens for the presence of the causal agent of bovine genital campylobacteriosis proved negative.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1986.
CHAPTER 11.4.

BOVINE SPONGIFORM ENCEPHALOPATHY

Article 11.4.1.

General provisions and safe commodities

The recommendations in this chapter are intended to manage the human and animal health risks associated with the presence of the bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) agent in cattle (Bos taurus and B. indicus) only. For the purposes of official BSE risk status recognition, BSE excludes 'atypical BSE' as a condition believed to occur spontaneously in all cattle populations at a very low rate.

1) When authorising import or transit of the following commodities and any products made from these commodities and containing no other tissues from cattle, Veterinary Authorities should not require any BSE-related conditions, regardless of the BSE risk status of the cattle population of the exporting country, zone or compartment:

   a) milk and milk products;
   b) semen and in vivo derived cattle embryos collected and handled in accordance with the recommendations of the International Embryo Transfer Society;
   c) hides and skins;
   d) gelatine and collagen prepared exclusively from hides and skins;
   e) tallow with maximum level of insoluble impurities of 0.15% in weight and derivatives made from this tallow;
   f) dicalcium phosphate (with no trace of protein or fat);
   g) deboned skeletal muscle meat (excluding mechanically separated meat) from cattle which were not subjected to a stunning process prior to slaughter, with a device injecting compressed air or gas into the cranial cavity or to a pithing process, and which passed ante- and post-mortem inspections and which has been prepared in a manner to avoid contamination with tissues listed in Article 11.4.14.;
   h) blood and blood by-products, from cattle which were not subjected to a stunning process, prior to slaughter, with a device injecting compressed air or gas into the cranial cavity, or to a pithing process.

2) When authorising import or transit of other commodities listed in this chapter, Veterinary Authorities should require the conditions prescribed in this chapter relevant to the BSE risk status of the cattle population of the exporting country, zone or compartment.

3) When authorising import of commodities according to the conditions prescribed in this chapter, the risk status of an importing country is not affected by the BSE risk status of the exporting country, zone or compartment.

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 11.4.2.

The BSE risk status of the cattle population of a country, zone or compartment

The BSE risk status of the cattle population of a country, zone or compartment should be determined on the basis of the following criteria:

1) the outcome of a risk assessment, based on the provisions of the Terrestrial Code, identifying all potential factors for BSE occurrence and their historic perspective. Member Countries should review the risk assessment annually to determine whether the situation has changed.
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a) Entry assessment

Entry assessment consists of assessing, through consideration of the following, the likelihood that the BSE agent has either been introduced into the country, zone or compartment via commodities potentially contaminated with it, or is already present in the country, zone or compartment:

i) the presence or absence of the BSE agent in the indigenous ruminant population of the country, zone or compartment and, if present, evidence regarding its prevalence;
ii) production of meat-and-bone meal or greaves from the indigenous ruminant population;
iii) imported meat-and-bone meal or greaves;
iv) imported cattle, sheep and goats;
v) imported animal feed and feed ingredients;
vi) imported products of ruminant origin for human consumption, which may have contained tissues listed in Article 11.4.14. and may have been fed to cattle;

The results of surveillance and other epidemiological investigations into the disposition of the commodities identified above should be taken into account in carrying out the assessment.

b) Exposure assessment

If the entry assessment identifies a risk factor, an exposure assessment should be conducted, consisting of assessing the likelihood of cattle being exposed to the BSE agent, through a consideration of the following:

i) recycling and amplification of the BSE agent through consumption by cattle of meat-and-bone meal or greaves of ruminant origin, or other feed or feed ingredients contaminated with these;
ii) the use of ruminant carcasses (including from fallen stock), by-products and slaughterhouse/abattoir waste, the parameters of the rendering processes and the methods of animal feed manufacture;
iii) the feeding or not of ruminants with meat-and-bone meal and greaves derived from ruminants, including measures to prevent cross-contamination of animal feed;
iv) the level of surveillance for BSE conducted on the cattle population up to that time and the results of that surveillance;

2) ongoing awareness programme for veterinarians, farmers, and workers involved in transportation, marketing and slaughter of cattle to encourage reporting of all cases showing clinical signs consistent with BSE in target sub-populations as defined in Articles 11.4.20. to 11.4.22.;

3) the compulsory notification and investigation of all cattle showing clinical signs consistent with BSE;

4) the examination carried out in accordance with the Terrestrial Manual in a laboratory of brain or other tissues collected within the framework of the aforementioned surveillance and monitoring system.

When the risk assessment demonstrates negligible risk, the Member Country should conduct Type B surveillance in accordance with Articles 11.4.20. to 11.4.22.

When the risk assessment fails to demonstrate negligible risk, the Member Country should conduct Type A surveillance in accordance with Articles 11.4.20. to 11.4.22.

Article 11.4.3.

Negligible BSE risk

Commodities from the cattle population of a country, zone or compartment pose a negligible risk of transmitting the BSE agent if the following conditions are met:

1) a risk assessment, as described in point 1) of Article 11.4.2., has been conducted in order to identify the historical and existing risk factors, and the Member Country has demonstrated that appropriate specific measures have been taken for the relevant period of time defined below to manage each identified risk;
2) the Member Country has demonstrated that Type B surveillance in accordance with Articles 11.4.20. to 11.4.22. is in place and the relevant points target, in accordance with Table 1, has been met;

3) EITHER:
   a) there has been no case of BSE or, if there has been a case, every case of BSE has been demonstrated to have been imported and has been completely destroyed, and
      i) the criteria in points 2) to 4) of Article 11.4.2. have been complied with for at least seven years; and

      ii) it has been demonstrated through an appropriate level of control and audit, including that of cross contamination, that for at least eight years neither meat-and-bone meal nor greaves derived from ruminants has been fed to ruminants;

   OR

   b) if there has been an indigenous case, every indigenous case was born more than 11 years ago; and

      i) the criteria in points 2) to 4) of Article 11.4.2. have been complied with for at least seven years; and

      ii) it has been demonstrated through an appropriate level of control and audit, including that of cross contamination, that for at least eight years neither meat-and-bone meal nor greaves derived from ruminants has been fed to ruminants;

      iii) all BSE cases, as well as:
           – all cattle which, during their first year of life, were reared with the BSE cases during their first year of life, and which investigation showed consumed the same potentially contaminated feed during that period, or

           – if the results of the investigation are inconclusive, all cattle born in the same herd as, and within 12 months of the birth of, the BSE cases, if alive in the country, zone or compartment, are permanently identified, and their movements controlled, and, when slaughtered or at death, are completely destroyed.

The Member Country or zone will be included in the list of negligible risk only after the submitted evidence has been accepted by the OIE. Retention on the list requires that the information for the previous 12 months on surveillance results and feed controls be re-submitted annually and changes in the epidemiological situation or other significant events should be reported to the OIE according to the requirements in Chapter 1.1.

**Article 11.4.4.**

**Controlled BSE risk**

Commodities from the cattle population of a country, zone or compartment pose a controlled risk of transmitting the BSE agent if the following conditions are met:

1) a risk assessment, as described in point 1) of Article 11.4.2., has been conducted in order to identify the historical and existing risk factors, and the Member Country has demonstrated that appropriate measures are being taken to manage all identified risks, but these measures have not been taken for the relevant period of time;

2) the Member Country has demonstrated that Type A surveillance in accordance with Articles 11.4.20. to 11.4.22. has been carried out and the relevant points target, in accordance with Table 1, has been met; Type B surveillance may replace Type A surveillance once the relevant points target is met;

3) EITHER:
   a) there has been no case of BSE or, if there has been a case, every case of BSE has been demonstrated to have been imported and has been completely destroyed, the criteria in points 2) to 4) of Article 11.4.2. are complied with, and it can be demonstrated through an appropriate level of control and audit, including that of cross contamination, that neither meat-and-bone meal nor greaves derived from ruminants has been fed to ruminants, but at least one of the following two conditions applies:

      i) the criteria in points 2) to 4) of Article 11.4.2. have not been complied with for seven years;

      ii) it cannot be demonstrated that controls over the feeding of meat-and-bone meal or greaves derived from ruminants to ruminants have been in place for eight years;

   OR

   b) there has been an indigenous case of BSE, the criteria in points 2) to 4) of Article 11.4.2. are complied with, and it can be demonstrated through an appropriate level of control and audit, including that of cross...
contamination, that neither meat-and-bone meal nor greaves derived from ruminants has been fed to ruminants;
and all BSE cases, as well as:
– all cattle which, during their first year of life, were reared with the BSE cases during their first year of life, and which investigation showed consumed the same potentially contaminated feed during that period, or
– if the results of the investigation are inconclusive, all cattle born in the same herd as, and within 12 months of the birth of, the BSE cases, if alive in the country, zone or compartment, are permanently identified, and their movements controlled, and, when slaughtered or at death, are completely destroyed.

The Member Country or zone will be included in the list of controlled risk only after the submitted evidence has been accepted by the OIE. Retention on the list requires that the information for the previous 12 months on surveillance results and feed controls be re-submitted annually and changes in the epidemiological situation or other significant events should be reported to the OIE according to the requirements in Chapter 1.1.

Article 11.4.5.

Undetermined BSE risk

The cattle population of a country, zone or compartment poses an undetermined BSE risk if it cannot be demonstrated that it meets the requirements of another category.

Article 11.4.6.

Recommendations for the importation of bovine commodities from a country, zone or compartment posing a negligible BSE risk

For all commodities from cattle not listed in point 1) of Article 11.4.1.

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the country, zone or compartment complies with the conditions in Article 11.4.3.

Article 11.4.7.

Recommendations for the importation of cattle from a country, zone or compartment posing a negligible BSE risk but where there has been an indigenous case

For cattle selected for export

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:
1) are identified by a permanent identification system in such a way as to demonstrate that they are not exposed cattle as described in point 3 b) iii) of Article 11.4.3.;
2) were born after the date from which the ban on the feeding of ruminants with meat-and-bone meal and greaves derived from ruminants had been effectively enforced.

Article 11.4.8.

Recommendations for the importation of cattle from a country, zone or compartment posing a controlled BSE risk

For cattle

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:
1) the country, zone or compartment complies with the conditions referred to in Article 11.4.4.;
2) cattle selected for export are identified by a permanent identification system in such a way as to demonstrate that they are not exposed cattle as described in point 3 b) of Article 11.4.4.;
3) cattle selected for export were born after the date from which the ban on the feeding of ruminants with meat-and-bone meal and greaves derived from ruminants was effectively enforced.
Article 11.4.9.

Recommendations for the importation of cattle from a country, zone or compartment posing an undetermined BSE risk

**For cattle**

*Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

1) the feeding of ruminants with *meat-and-bone meal* and *greaves* derived from ruminants has been banned and the ban has been effectively enforced;

2) all BSE cases, as well as:
   a) all cattle which, during their first year of life, were reared with the BSE cases during their first year of life, and, which investigation showed consumed the same potentially contaminated *feed* during that period, or
   b) if the results of the investigation are inconclusive, all cattle born in the same *herd* as, and within 12 months of the birth of, the BSE cases, if alive in the country, *zone or compartment*, are permanently identified, and their movements controlled, and, when slaughtered or at death, are completely destroyed;

3) cattle selected for export:
   a) are identified by a permanent identification system in such a way as to demonstrate that they are not exposed cattle as demonstrated in point 2) above;
   b) were born at least two years after the date from which the ban on the feeding of ruminants with *meat-and-bone meal* and *greaves* derived from ruminants was effectively enforced.

Article 11.4.10.

Recommendations for the importation of meat and meat products from a country, zone or compartment posing a negligible BSE risk

**For fresh meat and meat products from cattle (other than those listed in point 1) of Article 11.4.1.)**

*Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

1) the country, *zone or compartment* complies with the conditions in Article 11.4.3.;

2) the cattle from which the *fresh meat* and *meat products* were derived passed ante- and post-mortem inspections;

3) in countries with negligible BSE risk where there have been indigenous cases, the cattle from which the *fresh meat* and *meat products* were derived were born after the date from which the ban on the feeding of ruminants with *meat-and-bone meal* and *greaves* derived from ruminants had been effectively enforced.

Article 11.4.11.

Recommendations for the importation of meat and meat products from a country, zone or compartment posing a controlled BSE risk

**For fresh meat and meat products from cattle (other than those listed in point 1) of Article 11.4.1.)**

*Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

1) the country, *zone or compartment* complies with the conditions referred to in Article 11.4.4.;

2) the cattle from which the *fresh meat* and *meat products* were derived passed ante- and post-mortem inspections;

3) cattle from which the *fresh meat* and *meat products* destined for export were derived were not subjected to a stunning process, prior to *slaughter*, with a device injecting compressed air or gas into the cranial cavity, or to a pithing process;

4) the *fresh meat* and *meat products* were produced and handled in a manner which ensures that such products do not contain and are not contaminated with:
   a) the tissues listed in points 1) and 2) of Article 11.4.14.,
   b) mechanically separated meat from the skull and vertebral column from cattle over 30 months of age.
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Article 11.4.12.

Recommendations for the importation of meat and meat products from a country, zone or compartment posing an undetermined BSE risk

For fresh meat and meat products from cattle (other than those listed in point 1) of Article 11.4.1.

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the cattle from which the fresh meat and meat products originate:
   a) have not been fed meat-and-bone meal or greaves derived from ruminants;
   b) passed ante- and post-mortem inspections;
   c) were not subjected to a stunning process, prior to slaughter, with a device injecting compressed air or gas into the cranial cavity, or to a pithing process;

2) the fresh meat and meat products were produced and handled in a manner which ensures that such products do not contain and are not contaminated with:
   a) the tissues listed in points 1) and 3) of Article 11.4.14.,
   b) nervous and lymphatic tissues exposed during the deboning process,
   c) mechanically separated meat from the skull and vertebral column from cattle over 12 months of age.

Article 11.4.13.

Recommendations on ruminant-derived meat-and-bone meal or greaves

1) Ruminant-derived meat-and-bone meal or greaves, or any commodities containing such products, which originate from a country, zone or compartment defined in Article 11.4.3., but where there has been an indigenous case of BSE, should not be traded if such products were derived from cattle born before the date from which the ban on the feeding of ruminants with meat-and-bone meal and greaves derived from ruminants had been effectively enforced.

2) Ruminant-derived meat-and-bone meal or greaves, or any commodities containing such products, which originate from a country, zone or compartment defined in Articles 11.4.4. and 11.4.5. should not be traded between countries.

Article 11.4.14.

Recommendations on commodities that should not be traded

1) From cattle of any age originating from a country, zone or compartment defined in Articles 11.4.4. and 11.4.5., the following commodities, and any commodity contaminated by them, should not be traded for the preparation of food, feed, fertilisers, cosmetics, pharmaceuticals including biologicals, or medical devices: tonsils and distal ileum. Protein products, food, feed, fertilisers, cosmetics, pharmaceuticals or medical devices prepared using these commodities (unless covered by other Articles in this chapter) should also not be traded.

2) From cattle that were at the time of slaughter over 30 months of age originating from a country, zone or compartment defined in Article 11.4.4., the following commodities, and any commodity contaminated by them, should not be traded for the preparation of food, feed, fertilisers, cosmetics, pharmaceuticals including biologicals, or medical devices: brains, eyes, spinal cord, skull and vertebral column. Protein products, food, feed, fertilisers, cosmetics, pharmaceuticals or medical devices prepared using these commodities (unless covered by other Articles in this chapter) should also not be traded.

3) From cattle that were at the time of slaughter over 12 months of age originating from a country, zone or compartment defined in Article 11.4.5., the following commodities, and any commodity contaminated by them, should not be traded for the preparation of food, feed, fertilisers, cosmetics, pharmaceuticals including biologicals, or medical devices: brains, eyes, spinal cord, skull and vertebral column. Protein products, food, feed, fertilisers, cosmetics, pharmaceuticals or medical devices prepared using these commodities (unless covered by other Articles in this chapter) should also not be traded.
Article 11.4.15.

Recommendations for the importation of gelatine and collagen prepared from bones and intended for food or feed, cosmetics, pharmaceuticals including biologicals, or medical devices

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the commodities came from a country, zone or compartment posing a negligible BSE risk;

OR

2) they originate from a country, zone or compartment posing a controlled or undetermined BSE risk and are derived from cattle which have passed ante- and post-mortem inspections; and that
   a) vertebral columns from cattle over 30 months of age at the time of slaughter and skulls have been excluded;
   b) the bones have been subjected to a process which includes all of the following steps:
      i) degreasing,
      ii) acid demineralisation,
      iii) acid or alkaline treatment,
      iv) filtration,
      v) sterilisation at >138°C for a minimum of 4 seconds,
      or to an equivalent or better process in terms of infectivity reduction (such as high pressure heating).

Article 11.4.16.

Recommendations for the importation of tallow (other than as defined in Article 11.4.1.) intended for food, feed, fertilisers, cosmetics, pharmaceuticals including biologicals, or medical devices

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the tallow came from a country, zone or compartment posing a negligible BSE risk; or

2) it originates from a country, zone or compartment posing a controlled BSE risk, is derived from cattle which have passed ante- and post-mortem inspections, and has not been prepared using the tissues listed in points 1) and 2) of Article 11.4.14.

Article 11.4.17.

Recommendations for the importation of dicalcium phosphate (other than as defined in Article 11.4.1.) intended for food, feed, fertilisers, cosmetics, pharmaceuticals including biologicals, or medical devices

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the dicalcium phosphate came from a country, zone or compartment posing a negligible BSE risk; or

2) it originates from a country, zone or compartment posing a controlled or undetermined BSE risk and is a by-product of bone gelatine produced according to Article 11.4.15.

Article 11.4.18.

Recommendations for the importation of tallow derivatives (other than those made from tallow as defined in Article 11.4.1.) intended for food, feed, fertilisers, cosmetics, pharmaceuticals including biologicals, or medical devices

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the tallow derivatives originate from a country, zone or compartment posing a negligible BSE risk; or
2) they are derived from tallow meeting the conditions referred to in Article 11.4.16.; or
3) they have been produced by hydrolysis, saponification or transesterification using high temperature and pressure.

Article 11.4.19.

Procedures for the reduction of BSE infectivity in meat-and-bone meal

The following procedure should be used to reduce the infectivity of any transmissible spongiform encephalopathy agents which may be present during the production of meat-and-bone meal containing ruminant proteins.

1) The raw material should be reduced to a maximum particle size of 50 mm before heating.
2) The raw material should be heated under saturated steam conditions to a temperature of not less than 133°C for a minimum of 20 minutes at an absolute pressure of 3 bar.

Article 11.4.20.

Surveillance: introduction

1) Depending on the risk category of a country, zone or compartment with regard to bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE), surveillance for BSE may have one or more goals:
   a) detecting BSE, to a pre-determined design prevalence, in a country, zone or compartment;
   b) monitoring the evolution of BSE in a country, zone or compartment;
   c) monitoring the effectiveness of a feed ban and/or other risk mitigation measures, in conjunction with auditing;
   d) supporting a claimed BSE status;
   e) gaining or regaining a higher BSE status.
2) When the BSE agent is present in a country or zone, the cattle population will comprise the following sectors, in order of decreasing size:
   a) cattle not exposed to the infective agent;
   b) cattle exposed but not infected;
   c) infected cattle, which may lie within one of three stages in the progress of BSE:
      i) the majority will die or be killed before reaching a stage at which BSE is detectable by current methods;
      ii) some will progress to a stage at which BSE is detectable by testing before clinical signs appear;
      iii) the smallest number will show clinical signs.
3) The BSE status of a country, zone or compartment cannot be determined only on the basis of a surveillance programme but should be determined in accordance with all the factors listed in Article 11.4.2. The surveillance programme should take into account the diagnostic limitations associated with the above sectors and the relative distributions of infected cattle among them.
4) With respect to the distribution and expression of the BSE agent within the sectors described above, the following four subpopulations of cattle have been identified for surveillance purposes:
   a) cattle over 30 months of age displaying behavioural or clinical signs consistent with BSE (clinical suspects);
   b) cattle over 30 months of age that are non-ambulatory, recumbent, unable to rise or to walk without assistance; cattle over 30 months of age sent for emergency slaughter or condemned at ante-mortem inspection (casualty or emergency slaughter or downer cattle);
   c) cattle over 30 months of age which are found dead or killed on farm, during transport or at an slaughterhouse/abattoir (fallen stock);
   d) cattle over 36 months of age at routine slaughter.
5) A gradient is used to describe the relative value of surveillance applied to each subpopulation. Surveillance should focus on the first subpopulation, but investigation of other subpopulations will help to provide an accurate assessment of the BSE situation in the country, zone or compartment. This approach is consistent with Articles 11.4.20. to 11.4.22.
6) When establishing a surveillance strategy, authorities need to take into account the inherent difficulties of obtaining samples on farm, and overcome them. These difficulties include higher cost, the necessity to educate and motivate owners, and counteracting potentially negative socio-economic implications.
Article 11.4.21.

Surveillance: description of cattle subpopulations

1. Cattle over 30 months of age displaying behavioural or clinical signs consistent with BSE (clinical suspects)
   Cattle affected by illnesses that are refractory to treatment, and displaying progressive behavioural changes such as excitability, persistent kicking when milked, changes in herd hierarchical status, hesitation at doors, gates and barriers, as well as those displaying progressive neurological signs without signs of infectious illness are candidates for examination. These behavioural changes, being very subtle, are best identified by those who handle animals on a daily basis. Since BSE causes no pathognomonic clinical signs, all Member Countries with cattle populations will observe individual animals displaying clinical signs consistent with BSE. It should be recognised that cases may display only some of these signs, which may also vary in severity, and such animals should still be investigated as potential BSE affected animals. The rate at which such suspicious cases are likely to occur will differ among epidemiological situations and cannot therefore be predicted reliably.

   This subpopulation is the one exhibiting the highest prevalence. The accurate recognition, reporting and classification of such animals will depend on the ongoing owner/veterinarian awareness programme. This and the quality of the investigation and laboratory examination systems (Article 11.4.2.), implemented by the Veterinary Services, are essential for the credibility of the surveillance system.

2. Cattle over 30 months of age that are non-ambulatory, recumbent, unable to rise or to walk without assistance; cattle over 30 months of age sent for emergency slaughter or condemned at ante-mortem inspection (casualty or emergency slaughter, or downer cattle)
   These cattle may have exhibited some of the clinical signs listed above which were not recognised as being consistent with BSE. Experience in Member Countries where BSE has been identified indicates that this subpopulation is the one demonstrating the second highest prevalence. For that reason, it is the second most appropriate population to target in order to detect BSE.

3. Cattle over 30 months of age which are found dead or killed on farm, during transport or at a slaughterhouse/abattoir (fallen stock)
   These cattle may have exhibited some of the clinical signs listed above prior to death, but were not recognised as being consistent with BSE. Experience in Member Countries where BSE has been identified indicates that this subpopulation is the one demonstrating the third highest prevalence.

4. Cattle over 36 months of age at routine slaughter
   Experience in Member Countries where BSE has been identified indicates that this subpopulation is the one demonstrating the lowest prevalence. For that reason, it is the least appropriate population to target in order to detect BSE. However, sampling in this subpopulation may be an aide in monitoring the progress of the epizootic and the efficacy of control measures applied, because it offers continuous access to a cattle population of known class, age structure and geographical origin. Testing of routine slaughter cattle 36 months of age or less is of relatively very little value (Table 2).

Article 11.4.22.

Surveillance activities

In order to implement efficiently a surveillance strategy for BSE, a Member Country should use documented records or reliable estimates of the age distribution of the adult cattle population and the number of cattle tested for BSE stratified by age and by subpopulation within the country, zone or compartment.

The approach assigns ‘point values’ to each sample, based on the subpopulation from which it was collected and the likelihood of detecting infected cattle in that subpopulation. The number of points a sample is assigned is determined by the subpopulation from which the sample is collected and the age of the animal sampled. The total points accumulation is then periodically compared to the target number of points for a country, zone or compartment.

A surveillance strategy should be designed to ensure that samples are representative of the herd of the country, zone or compartment, and include consideration of demographic factors such as production type and geographic location, and the potential influence of culturally unique husbandry practices. The approach used and the assumptions made should be fully documented, and the documentation retained for seven years.
The points targets and surveillance point values in this chapter were obtained by applying the following factors to a statistical model:

1) the design prevalence for Type A or Type B surveillance;

2) a confidence level of 95%;

3) the pathogenesis, and pathological and clinical expression of BSE:
   a) sensitivity of diagnostic methods used;
   b) relative frequency of expression by age;
   c) relative frequency of expression within each subpopulation;
   d) interval between pathological change and clinical expression;

4) demographics of the cattle population, including age distribution and population size;

5) influence of BSE on culling or attrition of animals from the cattle population via the four subpopulations;

6) percentage of infected animals in the cattle population which are not detected.

Although the procedure accepts very basic information about a cattle population, and can be used with estimates and less precise data, careful collection and documentation of the data significantly enhance their value. Since samples from clinical suspect animals provide many times more information than samples from healthy or dead-of-unknown-cause animals, careful attention to the input data can substantially decrease the procedure’s cost and the number of samples needed. The essential input data are:

7) cattle population numbers stratified by age;

8) the number of cattle tested for BSE stratified by age and by subpopulation.

This chapter utilises Tables 1 and 2 to determine a desired surveillance points target and the point values of surveillance samples collected.

Within each of the subpopulations above in a country, zone or compartment, a Member Country may wish to target cattle identifiable as imported from countries or zones not free from BSE and cattle which have consumed potentially contaminated feedstuffs from countries or zones not free from BSE.

All clinical suspects should be investigated, regardless of the number of points accumulated. In addition, animals from the other subpopulations should be tested.

1. Type A surveillance

   The application of Type A surveillance will allow the detection of BSE around a design prevalence of at least one case per 100,000 in the adult cattle population in the country, zone or compartment of concern, at a confidence level of 95%.

2. Type B surveillance

   The application of Type B surveillance will allow the detection of BSE around a design prevalence of at least one case per 50,000 in the adult cattle population in the country, zone or compartment of concern, at a confidence level of 95%.

   Type B surveillance may be carried out by countries, zones or compartments of negligible BSE risk status (Article 11.4.3.) to confirm the conclusions of the risk assessment, for example by demonstrating the effectiveness of the measures mitigating any risk factors identified, through surveillance targeted to maximise the likelihood of identifying failures of such measures.

   Type B surveillance may also be carried out by countries, zones or compartments of controlled BSE risk status (Article 11.4.4.), following the achievement of the relevant points target using Type A surveillance, to maintain confidence in the knowledge gained through Type A surveillance.
3. Selecting the points target

The surveillance points target should be selected from Table 1, which shows target points for adult cattle populations of different sizes. The size of the adult cattle population of a country, zone or compartment may be estimated or may be set at one million because, for statistical reasons, one million is the point beyond which sample size does not further increase with population size.

Table 1. Points targets for different adult cattle population sizes in a country, zone or compartment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adult cattle population size (24 months and older)</th>
<th>Type A surveillance</th>
<th>Type B surveillance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1,000,000</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>238,400</td>
<td>119,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900,001-1,000,000</td>
<td>214,600</td>
<td>107,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800,001-900,000</td>
<td>190,700</td>
<td>95,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700,001-800,000</td>
<td>166,900</td>
<td>83,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600,001-700,000</td>
<td>143,000</td>
<td>71,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500,001-600,000</td>
<td>119,200</td>
<td>59,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400,001-500,000</td>
<td>95,400</td>
<td>47,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300,001-400,000</td>
<td>71,500</td>
<td>35,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200,001-300,000</td>
<td>47,700</td>
<td>23,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100,001-200,000</td>
<td>22,100</td>
<td>11,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90,001-100,000</td>
<td>19,900</td>
<td>9,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80,001-90,000</td>
<td>17,700</td>
<td>8,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70,001-80,000</td>
<td>15,500</td>
<td>7,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60,001-70,000</td>
<td>13,300</td>
<td>6,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50,001-60,000</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>5,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40,001-50,000</td>
<td>8,800</td>
<td>4,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30,001-40,000</td>
<td>6,600</td>
<td>3,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20,001-30,000</td>
<td>4,400</td>
<td>2,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,001-20,000</td>
<td>2,100</td>
<td>1,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,001-10,000</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,001-9,000</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,001-8,000</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,001-7,000</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,001-6,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,001-5,000</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,001-4,000</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,001-3,000</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,001-2,000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Determining the point values of samples collected

Table 2 can be used to determine the point values of the surveillance samples collected. The approach assigns point values to each sample according to the likelihood of detecting infection based on the subpopulation from
which the sample was collected and the age of the animal sampled. This approach takes into account the general principles of surveillance described in Chapter 1.4. and the epidemiology of BSE. Because precise aging of the animals that are sampled may not be possible, Table 2 combines point values into five age categories. The point estimates for each category were determined as an average for the age range comprising the group. The age groups were selected on their relative likelihoods of expressing BSE according to scientific knowledge of the incubation of the disease and the world BSE experience. Samples may be collected from any combination of subpopulations and ages but should reflect the demographics of the cattle herd of the country, zone or compartment. In addition, Member Countries should sample at least three of the four subpopulations.

Table 2. Surveillance point values for samples collected from animals in the given subpopulation and age category.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surveillance subpopulation</th>
<th>Routine slaughter¹</th>
<th>Fallen stock²</th>
<th>Casualty slaughter³</th>
<th>Clinical suspect⁴</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt; 1 year and &lt;2 years</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt; 2 years and &lt;4 years (young adult)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt; 4 years and &lt;7 years (middle adult)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt; 7 years and &lt;9 years (older adult)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt; 9 years</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If a country, zone or compartment determines, based on the demographics and epidemiological characteristics of its cattle population, that precise classification of the subpopulations ‘casualty or emergency slaughter, or downer cattle’ and ‘fallen stock’ is not possible, these subpopulations may be combined. In such a case, the surveillance point values accorded to the combined subpopulation would be that of ‘fallen stock’.

The total points for samples collected may be accumulated over a period of a maximum of seven consecutive years to achieve the target number of points determined in Table 1. Surveillance points remain valid for seven years (the 95th percentile of the incubation period).

Article 11.4.23.

BSE risk assessment: introduction

The first step in determining the BSE risk status of the cattle population of a country or zone is to conduct a risk assessment (reviewed annually), based on Section 2. of this Terrestrial Code, identifying all potential factors for BSE occurrence and their historic perspective.

1. Entry assessment

Entry assessment consists of assessing the likelihood that a BSE agent has been introduced via the importation of the following commodities potentially contaminated with a BSE agent:

a) meat-and-bone meal or greaves;
b) live animals;
c) animal feed and feed ingredients;
d) products of animal origin for human consumption.
2. Exposure assessment

Exposure assessment consists of assessing the likelihood of exposure of the BSE agent to cattle, through a consideration of the following:

a) epidemiological situation concerning BSE agents in the country or zone;

b) recycling and amplification of the BSE agent through consumption by cattle of meat-and-bone meal or greaves of ruminant origin, or other feed or feed ingredients contaminated with these;

c) the origin and use of ruminant carcasses (including fallen stock), by-products and slaughterhouse/abattoir waste, the parameters of the rendering processes and the methods of animal feed manufacture;

d) implementation and enforcement of feed bans, including measures to prevent cross-contamination of animal feed; thorough epidemiological investigations of any indigenous case born after the date of the implementation of feed bans should be conducted.

The following recommendations are intended to assist Veterinary Services in conducting such a risk assessment. They provide guidance on the issues that need to be addressed when conducting a country-based assessment of BSE risk. They apply equally to self-assessment in preparation of dossiers for categorisation of countries. The recommendations are supported by greater detail in the questionnaire used for the submission of data for country assessment.

Article 11.4.24.

The potential for the entry of the BSE agent through the importation of meat-and-bone meal or greaves

This point is irrelevant if the exposure assessment outlined below in Article 11.4.27. indicates that meat-and-bone meal or greaves has not been fed, either deliberately or accidentally, in the past eight years. Nevertheless, documentation should be provided on the control systems (including relevant legislation) in place to ensure that meat-and-bone meal or greaves has not been fed to ruminants.

Assumption: That meat-and-bone meal or greaves of ruminant origin plays the only significant role in BSE transmission.

Question to be answered: Has meat-and-bone meal, greaves, or feedstuffs containing either been imported within the past eight years? If so, where from and in what quantities?

Rationale: Knowledge of the origin of meat-and-bone meal, greaves or feedstuffs containing either meat-and-bone meal or greaves, is necessary to assess the likelihood of entry of BSE agent. Meat-and-bone meal and greaves originating in countries of high BSE risk pose a higher likelihood of entry than that from low risk countries. Meat-and-bone meal and greaves originating in countries of unknown BSE risk pose an unknown likelihood of entry.

Evidence required:

- Documentation to support claims that meat-and-bone meal, greaves or feedstuffs containing either meat-and-bone meal or greaves have not been imported, OR
- Where meat-and-bone meal, greaves or feedstuffs containing them have been imported, documentation of country of origin and, if different, the country of export.
- Documentation on annual volume, by country of origin, of meat, greaves or feedstuffs containing them imported during the past eight years.
- Documentation describing the composition (on a species and class of stock basis) of the imported meat-and-bone meal, greaves or feedstuffs containing them.
- Documentation, from the country of production, supporting why the rendering processes used to produce meat-and-bone meal, greaves or feedstuffs containing them would have inactivated, or significantly reduced the titre of BSE agent, should it be present.
- Documentation describing the fate of imported meat-and-bone meal and greaves.

Article 11.4.25.

The potential for the entry of the BSE agent through the importation of live animals potentially infected with BSE

Assumptions:

- Countries which have imported ruminants from countries infected with BSEs are more likely to experience BSE.
- Cattle pose the only known risk although other species are under study.
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- Animals imported for breeding may pose a greater risk than animals imported for slaughter because of the hypothetical risk of maternal transmission and because they are kept to a greater age than animals imported for slaughter.
- Risk is influenced by the date at which imports occurred, relative to the BSE status of the country of origin.
- Risk is proportional to volume of imports (Article 2.1.3.).

Question to be answered: Have live animals been imported within the past seven years?

Rationale: The likelihood of entry is dependent on:
- country of origin and its BSE status, which will change as more data become available; this may result from the detection of clinical disease, or following active surveillance, or assessment of geographical BSE risk;
- feeding and management of the animals in the country of origin;
- use to which the commodity has been put as apart from representing risk of developing clinical disease, the slaughter, rendering and recycling in meat-and-bone meal of imported animals represents a potential route of exposure of indigenous livestock even if meat-and-bone meal and greaves, or feedstuffs containing them, have not been imported;
- species;
- dairy versus meat breeds, where there are differences in exposure in the country of origin because feeding practices result in greater exposure of one category;
- age at slaughter.

Evidence required:
- Documentation on the country of origin of imports. This should identify the country of breeding of animals, the length of time they lived in that country and of any other country in which they have resided during their lifetime.
- Documentation describing origins, species and volume of imports.
- Documentation describing the fate of imported animals, including their age at slaughter.
- Documentation demonstrating that risks are periodically reviewed in light of evolving knowledge on the BSE status of the country of origin.

Article 11.4.26.

The potential for the entry of the BSE agent through the importation of products of animal origin potentially infected with BSE

Assumptions:
- Semen, embryos, hides and skins or milk are not considered to play a role in the transmission of BSE.
- Countries which have imported products of animal origin from countries with BSEs are more likely to experience BSE.
- Risk is influenced by the date at which imports occurred, relative to the BSE status of the country of origin.
- Risk is proportional to volume of imports (Article 2.1.3.).

Question to be answered: What products of animal origin have been imported within the past seven years?

Rationale: The likelihood of entry is dependent on:
- the species of origin of the animal products and whether these products contain tissues known to contain BSE infectivity (Article 11.4.14.);
- country of origin and its BSE status, which will change as more data become available; this may result from the detection of clinical disease, or following active surveillance, or assessment of geographical BSE risk;
- feeding and management of the animals in the country of origin;
- use to which the commodity has been put as apart from representing risk of developing clinical disease, the slaughter, rendering and recycling in meat-and-bone meal of imported animals represents a potential route of exposure of indigenous livestock even if meat-and-bone meal and greaves, or feedstuffs containing them, have not been imported;
- species;
- dairy versus meat breeds, where there are differences in exposure in the country of origin because feeding practices result in greater exposure of one category;
- age at slaughter.
Evidence required:

- Documentation on the country of origin of imports. This should identify the country of breeding of animals, the length of time they lived in that country and of any other country in which they have resided during their lifetime.
- Documentation describing origins, species and volume of imports.
- Documentation describing the end use of imported animal products, and the disposal of waste.
- Documentation demonstrating that risks are periodically reviewed in light of evolving knowledge on the BSE status of the country of origin.

Article 11.4.27.

The potential for the exposure of cattle to the BSE agent through consumption of meat-and-bone meal or greaves of ruminant origin

Assumptions:

- That the consumption by bovines of meat-and-bone meal or greaves of ruminant origin plays the only significant role in BSE transmission.
- That commercially-available products of animal origin used in animal feed may contain meat-and-bone meal or greaves of ruminant origin.
- Milk and blood are not considered to play a role in the transmission of BSE.

Question to be answered: Has meat-and-bone meal or greaves of ruminant origin been fed to cattle within the past eight years (see Articles 11.4.3. and 11.4.4.)?

Rationale: If cattle have not been fed products of animal origin (other than milk or blood) potentially containing meat-and-bone meal or greaves of ruminant origin within the past eight years, meat-and-bone meal and greaves can be dismissed as a risk.

Article 11.4.28.

The origin of animal waste, the parameters of the rendering processes and the methods of animal feed production

Assumptions:

- BSE has a long incubation period and insidious onset of signs, so cases may escape detection.
- Pre-clinical BSE infectivity cannot reliably be detected by any method and may enter rendering, in particular if specified risk materials are not removed.
- Tissues most likely to contain high titres of BSE infectivity (brain, spinal cord, eyes) may not be harvested for human consumption and may be rendered.
- BSE may manifest in sudden death, chronic disease, or recumbency, and may be presented as fallen stock or materials condemned as unfit for human consumption.
- BSE agent survival in rendering is affected by the method of processing. Adequate rendering processes are described in Article 11.4.19.
- BSE agent is present at much higher titres in central nervous system and reticulo-endothelial tissues (so-called ‘Specified Risk Materials’, or SRM).

Question to be answered: How has animal waste been processed over the past eight years?

Rationale: If potentially infected animals or contaminated materials are rendered, there is a risk that the resulting meat-and-bone meal could retain BSE infectivity.

Where meat-and-bone meal is utilised in the production of any animal feed, the risk of cross-contamination exists.
Evidence required:

– Documentation describing the collection and disposal of fallen stock and materials condemned as unfit for human consumption.

– Documentation describing the definition and disposal of specified risk material, if any.

– Documentation describing the rendering process and parameters used to produce meat-and-bone meal and greaves.

– Documentation describing methods of animal feed production, including details of ingredients used, the extent of use of meat-and-bone meal in any livestock feed, and measures that prevent cross-contamination of cattle feed with ingredients used in monogastric feed.

– Documentation describing monitoring and enforcement of the above.

Article 11.4.29.

Conclusions of the risk assessment

The overall risk of BSE in the cattle population of a country or zone is proportional to the level of known or potential exposure to BSE infectivity and the potential for recycling and amplification of the infectivity through livestock feeding practices. For the risk assessment to conclude that the cattle population of a country or zone is free from BSE risk, it should have demonstrated that appropriate measures have been taken to manage any risks identified.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1992; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2015.

1 See point 4) of Article 11.4.21.

2 See point 3) of Article 11.4.21.

3 See point 2) of Article 11.4.21.

4 See point 1) of Article 11.4.21.
CHAPTER 11.5.

INFECTION WITH MYCOPLASMA MYCOIDES
SUBSP. MYCOIDES SC
(CONTAGIOUS BOVINE PLEUROPNEUMONIA)

Article 11.5.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the incubation period for contagious bovine pleuropneumonia (CBPP) shall be six months.

For the purposes of this chapter, a case of CBPP means an animal infected with Mycoplasma mycoides subspecies mycoides SC (MmmSC), and freedom from CBPP means freedom from MmmSC infection.

For the purposes of this chapter, susceptible animals include bovids (Bos indicus, B. taurus and B. grunniens) and water buffaloes (Bubalus bubalis).

For the purposes of international trade, this chapter deals not only with the occurrence of clinical signs caused by MmmSC, but also with the presence of infection with MmmSC in the absence of clinical signs.

The following defines the occurrence of MmmSC infection:
1) MmmSC has been isolated and identified as such from an animal, semen, oocytes or embryos; or
2) antibodies to MmmSC antigens which are not the consequence of vaccination, or MmmSC deoxyribonucleic acid have been identified in one or more animals showing pathological lesions consistent with infection with MmmSC with or without clinical signs, and epidemiological links to a confirmed outbreak of CBPP in susceptible animals.

When authorising import or transit of the commodities listed in this chapter, with the exception of those listed in Article 11.5.2., Veterinary Authorities should require the conditions prescribed in this chapter relevant to the CBPP status of the domestic bovids and water buffalo population of the exporting country, zone or compartment.

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 11.5.2.

Safe commodities

When authorising import or transit of the following commodities, Veterinary Authorities should not require any CBPP-related conditions, regardless of the CBPP status of the domestic bovids and water buffalo population of the exporting country, zone or compartment:
1) milk and milk products;
2) hides and skins;
3) meat and meat products (excluding lung).

Article 11.5.3.

CBPP free country or zone

To qualify for inclusion in the existing list of CBPP free countries and zones, a Member Country should:
1) have a record of regular and prompt animal disease reporting;
2) send a declaration to the OIE stating that:
   a) there has been no outbreak of CBPP during the past 24 months;
   b) no evidence of CBPP infection has been found during the past 24 months;
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  c) no vaccination against CBPP has been carried out during the past 24 months,
  and supply documented evidence that surveillance for CBPP in accordance with this chapter is in operation and
  that regulatory measures for the prevention and control of CBPP have been implemented;

  3) not have imported since the cessation of vaccination any animals vaccinated against CBPP.

The country or zone will be included in the list only after the submitted evidence has been accepted by the OIE. Retention on the list requires that the information in points 2 a), 2 b), 2 c) and 3 above be re-submitted annually and changes in the epidemiological situation or other significant events should be reported to the OIE in accordance with the requirements in Chapter 1.1.

Article 11.5.4.

Recovery of free status

When a CBPP outbreak occurs in a CBPP free country or zone, one of the following waiting periods is required to regain the status of CBPP free country or zone:

1) 12 months after the last case where a stamping-out policy and serological surveillance and strict movement control are applied in accordance with this chapter;

2) if vaccination was used, 12 months after the slaughter of the last vaccinated animal.

Where a stamping-out policy is not practised, the above waiting periods do not apply but Article 11.5.3. applies.

Article 11.5.5.

CBPP infected country or zone

When the requirements for acceptance as a CBPP free country or zone are not fulfilled, a country or zone shall be considered as infected.

Article 11.5.6.

CBPP free compartment

The bilateral recognition of a CBPP free compartment should follow the principles laid down in this chapter and in Chapters 4.3. and 4.4.

Article 11.5.7.

Recommendations for importation from CBPP free countries or zones, or from CBPP free compartments

For domestic bovids and water buffaloes

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of CBPP on the day of shipment;

2) were kept in a CBPP free country, zone or compartment since birth or for at least the past six months.

Article 11.5.8.

Recommendations for importation from CBPP infected countries or zones

For domestic bovids and water buffaloes for slaughter

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of CBPP on the day of shipment;

2) originate from an establishment where no case of CBPP was officially reported for the past six months; and

3) are transported directly to the slaughterhouse/abattoir in sealed vehicles.
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Article 11.5.9.

Recommendations for importation from CBPP free countries or zones, or from CBPP free compartments

For bovine semen

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor animals:
   a) showed no clinical sign of CBPP on the day of collection of the semen;
   b) were kept in a CBPP free country, zone or compartment since birth or for at least the past six months;

2) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.

Article 11.5.10.

Recommendations for importation from CBPP infected countries

For bovine semen

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor animals:
   a) showed no clinical sign of CBPP on the day of collection of the semen;
   b) were subjected to the complement fixation test for CBPP with negative results, on two occasions, with an interval of not less than 21 days and not more than 30 days between each test, the second test being performed within 14 days prior to collection;
   c) were isolated from other domestic bovids and water buffaloes from the day of the first complement fixation test until collection;
   d) were kept since birth, or for the past six months, in an establishment where no case of CBPP was reported during that period, and that the establishment was not situated in a CBPP infected zone;
   e) AND EITHER:
      i) have not been vaccinated against CBPP;
      OR
      ii) were vaccinated using a vaccine complying with the standards described in the Terrestrial Manual not more than four months prior to collection; in this case, the condition laid down in point b) above is not required;

2) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.

Article 11.5.11.

Recommendations for importation from CBPP free countries or zones, or from CBPP free compartments

For in vivo derived or in vitro produced oocytes or embryos of domestic bovids and water buffaloes

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor animals:
   a) showed no clinical sign of CBPP on the day of collection of the oocytes or embryos;
   b) were kept in a CBPP free country, zone or compartment since birth or for at least the past six months;

2) the oocytes were fertilised with semen meeting the conditions of Article 11.5.9.;

3) the oocytes or embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.7., 4.8. and 4.9., as relevant.
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Article 11.5.12.

Recommendations for importation from CBPP infected countries
For in vivo derived or in vitro produced oocytes or embryos of domestic bovids and water buffaloes

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor animals:
   a) showed no clinical sign of CBPP on the day of collection of the oocytes or embryos;
   b) were subjected to the complement fixation test for CBPP with negative results, on two occasions, with an
      interval of not less than 21 days and not more than 30 days between each test, the second test being
      performed within 14 days prior to collection;
   c) were isolated from other domestic bovids and water buffaloes from the day of the first complement fixation
      test until collection;
   d) were kept since birth, or for the past six months, in an establishment where no case of CBPP was reported
      during that period, and that the establishment was not situated in a CBPP infected zone;
   e) AND EITHER:
      i) have not been vaccinated against CBPP;
      OR
      ii) were vaccinated using a vaccine complying with the standards described in the Terrestrial Manual not
          more than four months prior to collection; in this case, the condition laid down in point b) above is not
          required;
2) the oocytes were fertilised with semen meeting the conditions of Article 11.5.10.;
3) the oocytes or embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.7., 4.8. and 4.9., as
   relevant.

Article 11.5.13.

Introduction to surveillance

Articles 11.5.13. to 11.5.17. define the principles and provide a guide for the surveillance of CBPP in accordance with
Chapter 1.4. applicable to Member Countries seeking establishment of freedom from CBPP. Guidance is provided for
Member Countries seeking reestablishment of freedom from CBPP for the entire country or for a zone, following an
outbreak and for the maintenance of CBPP free status.

The impact and epidemiology of CBPP differ widely in different regions of the world and therefore it is impossible to
provide specific recommendations for all situations. Surveillance strategies employed for demonstrating freedom from
CBPP at an acceptable level of confidence should be adapted to the local situation. It is incumbent upon the applicant
Member Country to submit a dossier to the OIE in support of its application that not only explains the epidemiology of
CBPP in the region concerned but also demonstrates how all the risk factors are managed. This should include provision
of scientifically-based supporting data. There is therefore considerable latitude available to Member Countries to provide
a well-reasoned argument to prove that the absence of CBPP infection is assured at an acceptable level of confidence.

Surveillance for CBPP should be in the form of a continuing programme designed to establish that the whole territory or
part of it is free from CBPP infection.

Article 11.5.14.

General conditions and methods for surveillance

1) A surveillance system in accordance with Chapter 1.4. should be under the responsibility of the Veterinary
   Authority. A procedure should be in place for the rapid collection and transport of samples from suspect cases of
   CBPP to a laboratory for CBPP diagnoses.
2) The CBPP surveillance programme should:
   a) include an early warning system throughout the production, marketing and processing chain for reporting
      suspicious cases. Farmers and workers (such as community animal health workers) who have day-to-day
      contact with livestock, meat inspectors as well as laboratory diagnosticians, should report promptly any
      suspicion of CBPP. They should be integrated directly or indirectly (e.g. through private veterinarians or
      veterinary paraprofessionals) into the surveillance system. All suspect cases of CBPP should be investigated
immediately. Where suspicion cannot be resolved by epidemiological and clinical investigation, samples should be taken and submitted to a laboratory. This requires that sampling kits and other equipment are available for those responsible for surveillance. Personnel responsible for surveillance should be able to call for assistance from a team with expertise in CBPP diagnosis and control;

b) implement, when relevant, regular and frequent clinical inspection and testing of high-risk groups of animals, such as those adjacent to a CBPP infected country or zone (for example, areas of transhumant production systems);

c) take into consideration additional factors such as animal movement, different production systems, geographical and socio-economic factors that may influence the risk of disease occurrence.

An effective surveillance system will periodically identify suspicious cases that require follow-up and investigation to confirm or exclude that the cause of the condition is CBPP. The rate at which such suspicious cases are likely to occur will differ between epidemiological situations and cannot therefore be predicted reliably. Applications for freedom from CBPP infection should, in consequence, provide details of the occurrence of suspicious cases and how they were investigated and dealt with. This should include the results of laboratory testing and the control measures to which the animals concerned were subjected during the investigation (quarantine, movement stand-still orders, etc.).

Article 11.5.15.

Surveillance strategies

1. Introduction

The target population for surveillance aimed at identifying disease and infection should cover all the susceptible species (Bos taurus, B. indicus, B. grunniens and Bubalus bubalis) within the country or zone.

Given the limitations of the diagnostic tools available, the interpretation of surveillance results should be at the herd level rather than at the individual animal level.

Randomised surveillance may not be the preferred approach given the epidemiology of the disease (usually uneven distribution and potential for occult foci of infection in small populations) and the limited sensitivity and specificity of currently available tests. Targeted surveillance (e.g. based on the increased likelihood of infection in particular localities or species, focusing on slaughter findings, and active clinical surveillance) may be the most appropriate strategy. The applicant Member Country should justify the surveillance strategy chosen as adequate to detect the presence of CBPP infection in accordance with Chapter 1.4. and the epidemiological situation.

Targeted surveillance may involve testing of the entire target subpopulation or a sample from it. In the latter case the sampling strategy should incorporate an epidemiologically appropriate design prevalence. The sample size selected for testing should be large enough to detect infection if it were to occur at a predetermined minimum rate. The sample size and expected disease prevalence determine the level of confidence in the results of the survey. The applicant Member Country should justify the choice of design prevalence and confidence level based on the objectives of surveillance and the epidemiological situation, in accordance with Chapter 1.4. Selection of the design prevalence in particular should be clearly based on the prevailing or historical epidemiological situation.

Irrespective of the survey design selected, the sensitivity and specificity of the diagnostic tests employed are key factors in the design, sample size determination and interpretation of the results obtained. Ideally, the sensitivity and specificity of the tests used should be validated.

Irrespective of the surveillance system employed, the design should anticipate the occurrence of false positive reactions. If the characteristics of the testing system are known, the rate at which these false positives are likely to occur can be calculated in advance. There should be an effective procedure for following-up positives to ultimately determine with a high level of confidence, whether they are indicative of infection or not. This should involve follow-up with supplementary tests, clinical investigation and post-mortem examination in the original sampling unit as well as herds which may be epidemiologically linked to it.

2. Clinical surveillance

Clinical surveillance aims at detecting clinical signs of CBPP in a herd by close physical examination of susceptible animals. Clinical inspection is an important component of CBPP surveillance contributing to reach the desired level of confidence of detection of disease if a sufficiently large number of clinically susceptible animals is examined.

Clinical surveillance and laboratory testing should always be applied in series to clarify the status of CBPP suspects detected by either of these complementary diagnostic approaches. Laboratory testing and post-mortem examination may contribute to confirm clinical suspicion, while clinical surveillance may contribute to confirmation of positive serology. Any sampling unit within which suspicious animals are detected should be classified as infected until contrary evidence is produced.
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3. Pathological surveillance

Systematic pathological surveillance for CBPP is the most effective approach and should be conducted at slaughterhouses/abattoirs and other slaughter facilities. Suspect pathological findings should be confirmed by agent identification. Training courses for slaughter personnel and meat inspectors are recommended.

4. Serological testing

Serological surveillance is not the preferred strategy for CBPP. However, in the framework of epidemiological investigations, serological testing may be used.

The limitations of available serological tests for CBPP make the interpretation of results difficult and useful only at the herd level. Positive findings should be followed up by clinical and pathological investigations and agent identification.

Clustering of seropositive reactions should be expected in CBPP infections and is usually accompanied by clinical signs. As clustering may signal field strain infection, the investigation of all instances should be incorporated in the surveillance strategy.

Following the identification of a CBPP infected herd, contact herds should be tested serologically. Repeated testing may be necessary to reach an acceptable level of confidence in herd classification.

5. Agent surveillance

Agent surveillance should be conducted to follow up and confirm or exclude suspect cases. Isolates should be typed to confirm MmmSC.

Article 11.5.16.

Countries or zones applying for recognition of freedom from CBPP

In addition to the general conditions described in this chapter, a Member Country applying for recognition of CBPP freedom for the country or a zone should provide evidence for the existence of an effective surveillance programme. The strategy and design of the surveillance programme depend on the prevailing epidemiological circumstances and should be planned and implemented in accordance with general conditions and methods in this chapter, to demonstrate absence of CBPP infection, during the preceding 24 months in susceptible populations. This requires the support of a national or other laboratory able to undertake identification of CBPP infection.

Article 11.5.17.

Countries or zones re-applying for recognition of freedom from CBPP following an outbreak

In addition to the general conditions described in this chapter, a Member Country re-applying for recognition of country or zone freedom from CBPP should show evidence of an active surveillance programme for CBPP, following the recommendations of this chapter.

Two strategies are recognised by the OIE in a programme to eradicate CBPP infection following an outbreak:

1) slaughter of all clinically affected and in-contact susceptible animals;
2) vaccination used without subsequent slaughter of vaccinated animals.

The time periods before which an application can be made for re-instatement of freedom from CBPP depends on which of these alternatives is followed. The time periods are prescribed in Article 11.5.4.

Article 11.5.18.

OIE endorsed official control programme for CBPP

The overall objective of an OIE endorsed official control programme for CBPP is for Member Countries to progressively improve their situation and eventually attain CBPP free status. The official control programme should be applicable to the entire country even if certain measures are directed towards defined subpopulations.

Member Countries may, on a voluntary basis, apply for endorsement of their official control programme for CBPP when they have implemented measures in accordance with this article.
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For an official control programme for CBPP to be endorsed by the OIE, the Member Country should:

1) have a record of regular and prompt animal disease reporting in accordance with the requirements in Chapter 1.1.;

2) submit documented evidence of the capacity of Veterinary Services to control CBPP; this evidence can be provided by countries following the OIE PVS Pathway;

3) submit a detailed plan of the programme to control and eventually eradicate CBPP in the country or zone including:
   a) the timeline;
   b) the performance indicators for assessing the efficacy of the control measures to be implemented;
   c) submit documentation indicating that the official control programme for CBPP has been implemented and is applicable to the entire territory;

4) submit a dossier on the epidemiology of CBPP in the country describing the following:
   a) the general epidemiology in the country highlighting the current knowledge and gaps;
   b) the measures to prevent introduction of infection, the rapid detection of, and response to, all CBPP outbreaks in order to reduce the incidence of CBPP outbreaks and to eliminate CBPP in at least one zone in the country;
   c) the main livestock production systems and movement patterns of CBPP susceptible animals and their products within and into the country;

5) submit evidence that CBPP surveillance is in place,
   a) taking into account provisions in Chapter 1.4. and the provisions on surveillance of this chapter;
   b) have diagnostic capability and procedures, including regular submission of samples to a laboratory that carries out diagnosis and further characterisation of strains in accordance with the Terrestrial Manual including procedures to isolate and identify M. mycoides subsp. mycoides SC as opposed to M. mycoides subsp. mycoides LC;

6) where vaccination is practised as a part of the official control programme for CBPP, provide:
   a) evidence (such as copies of legislation) that vaccination of selected populations is compulsory;
   b) detailed information on vaccination campaigns, in particular on:
      i) target populations for vaccination;
      ii) monitoring of vaccination coverage;
      iii) technical specification of the vaccines used and description of the licensing procedures in place;
      iv) the proposed timeline and strategy for the cessation of vaccination;

7) provide an emergency preparedness and contingency response plan to be implemented in case of CBPP outbreaks.

The Member Country's official control programme for CBPP will be included in the list of programmes endorsed by the OIE only after the submitted evidence has been accepted by the OIE. Retention on the list requires an annual update on the progress of the official control programme and information on significant changes concerning the points above. Changes in the epidemiological situation and other significant events should be reported to the OIE in accordance with the requirements in Chapter 1.1.

The OIE may withdraw the endorsement of the official control programme if there is evidence of:
- non-compliance with the timelines or performance indicators of the programme; or
- significant problems with the performance of the Veterinary Services; or
- an increase in the incidence of CBPP that cannot be addressed by the programme.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2014.
CHAPTER 11.6.

ENZOOTIC BOVINE LEUKOSIS

Article 11.6.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of this chapter, susceptible animals include cattle (Bos indicus and B. taurus).

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 11.6.2.

EBL free country or zone

1. Qualification
   To qualify as free from enzootic bovine leukosis (EBL), a country or zone should satisfy the following requirements for at least three years:
   a) all tumours, suspected to be lymphosarcoma, are reported to the Veterinary Authority, and are examined at a laboratory by appropriate diagnostic techniques;
   b) all cattle with tumours in which EBL has been confirmed or cannot be ruled out are traced back to the herds in which they have been kept since birth; all cattle over 24 months of age in these herds are subjected to an individual diagnostic test for EBL;
   c) at least 99.8% of the herds are qualified as EBL free.

2. Maintenance of free status
   For a country or zone to maintain its EBL free status:
   a) a serological survey should be carried out annually on a random sample of the cattle population of the country or zone sufficient to provide a 99% level of confidence of detecting EBL if it is present at a prevalence rate exceeding 0.2% of the herds;
   b) all imported cattle (except for slaughter) comply with Article 11.6.5.;
   c) all imported bovine semen and oocytes or embryos fulfil the requirements referred to in Article 11.6.6. and in Article 11.6.7., respectively.

Article 11.6.3.

EBL free compartment

1. Qualification
   To qualify as free from EBL, a compartment should satisfy the following requirements.
   All herds in the compartment have satisfied the requirements of Article 11.6.4., and:
   a) all cattle introduced into the compartment come from a free herd;
   b) all bovine semen and oocytes or embryos introduced into the compartment after the first test have fulfilled the conditions referred to in Article 11.6.6. and in Article 11.6.7., respectively;
   c) the compartment is managed under a common biosecurity plan complying with Article 4.3.3. and Article 4.4.3., which protects the cattle from contact with EBL virus, which might occur from introduction of infected cattle, cattle products or material and through practices such as vaccinations and other injections, collection of blood and other biological samples, dehorning, ear-tagging, pregnancy diagnosis, etc.;
   d) the compartment has been approved by the Veterinary Authority in accordance with Chapters 4.3. and 4.4.
2. **Maintenance of free status**

For a *compartment* to maintain its EBL free status, all *herds* in the *compartment* should remain free in accordance with Article 11.6.4. and specific *surveillance* implemented in accordance with Article 4.4.5. has not detected the agent.

3. **Revocation and re-approval of free status**

If in an EBL free *compartment* any cattle react positively to a diagnostic test for EBL as described in the *Terrestrial Manual*, the status of the *compartment* shall be revoked until all *herds* have recovered their free status in accordance with Article 11.6.4. and the *compartment* has been re-approved in accordance with Chapters 4.3. and 4.4.

**Article 11.6.4.**

**EBL free herd**

1. **Qualification**

To qualify as free from EBL, a *herd* should satisfy the following requirements:

   a) there has been no evidence of EBL either clinical, post-mortem, or as a result of a diagnostic test for EBL within the previous two years;

   b) all cattle over 24 months of age have been subjected to a diagnostic test for EBL on two occasions with negative results, at an interval of not less than 4 months during the preceding 12 months;

   c) cattle introduced into the *herd* after the first test have fulfilled the conditions of Article 11.6.5.;

   d) all bovine semen and oocytes or embryos introduced into the *herd* after the first test have fulfilled the conditions referred to in Article 11.6.6. and in Article 11.6.7., respectively.

2. **Maintenance of free status**

For a *herd* to maintain its EBL free status, the cattle in the *herd* over 24 months of age on the day of sampling should be subjected to a diagnostic test for EBL with negative results at intervals of no more than 36 months and the conditions referred to in points 1 a), 1 c) and 1 d) above continue to be fulfilled.

3. **Suspension and restoration of free status**

If in an EBL free *herd* any cattle react positively to a diagnostic test for EBL as described in the *Terrestrial Manual*, the status of the *herd* shall be suspended until the following measures have been taken:

   a) the cattle which have reacted positively, and their progeny since the last negative test, should be removed from the *herd* immediately; however, any cattle within the progeny which has been subjected to a PCR test with negative results (under study) may be retained in the *herd*;

   b) the remaining cattle should have been subjected to a diagnostic test for EBL carried out as described in point 1 b) above with negative results at least four months after removal of the positive cattle and their progeny.

**Article 11.6.5.**

**Recommendations for the importation of cattle for breeding or rearing**

*Veterinary Authorities* of importing countries should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that the cattle:

1) come from a country, zone or *compartment* free from EBL; or

2) come from an EBL free *herd*; or

3) meet the following three conditions:

   a) the cattle were kept in a *herd* in which:

   i) there has been no evidence of EBL either clinical, post-mortem, or as a result of a diagnostic test for EBL within the previous two years;

   ii) all cattle over 24 months of age have been subjected to a diagnostic test for EBL on a blood sample on two occasions with negative results during the preceding 12 months, at an interval of at least 4 months,
or were tested on two occasions while segregated from the herd in an isolation unit approved by the Veterinary Authority at an interval of at least 4 months;

b) the cattle were subjected to a diagnostic test for EBL within 30 days prior to shipment with negative results;

c) if less than two years of age, the cattle come from 'uterine' dams which have been subjected to a diagnostic test for EBL on a blood sample on two occasions at intervals of at least 4 months within the preceding 12 months, with negative results.

Article 11.6.6.

Recommendations for the importation of bovine semen

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor bull was resident at the time of semen collection in an EBL free herd; and

2) if less than two years of age, the bull came from a serologically negative 'uterine' dam; or

3) the bull was subjected to diagnostic tests for EBL on blood samples on two occasions with negative results, the first test being carried out at least 30 days before and the second test at least 90 days after collection of the semen;

4) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.

Article 11.6.7.

Recommendations for the importation of bovine oocytes or embryos

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the oocytes or embryos have been collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.7., 4.8. and 4.9., as relevant.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1971; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 1998.
CHAPTER 11.7.

HAEMORRHAGIC SEPTICAEMIA
(PASTEURELLA MULTOCIDA SEROTYPES 6:B AND 6:E)

Article 11.7.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, haemorrhagic septicaemia (HS) is defined as a highly fatal disease in cattle and buffaloes caused by specific serotypes of *Pasteurella multocida* designated as 6:B and 6:E. The incubation period for the disease shall be 90 days (active and latent carriers occur).

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the *Terrestrial Manual*.

Article 11.7.2.

HS free country

A country may be considered free from HS when:
1) the disease is notifiable in the country;
2) no case of HS has occurred during the past three years.

This period shall be six months after the slaughter of the last affected animal for countries in which a stamping-out policy is practised with or without vaccination against HS.

Article 11.7.3.

HS free zone

A zone may be considered free from the disease if it can be established that HS has not been present for at least the past three years and if the following conditions are met:
1) the disease is notifiable in the whole country;
2) the zone shall be delineated by natural or artificial barriers;
3) the introduction of animals into the zone shall be carried out in accordance with Article 11.7.6. or Article 11.7.7.

Article 11.7.4.

HS infected zone

A zone shall be considered as infected with HS until at least six months have elapsed after the confirmation of the last case and the completion of a stamping-out policy and disinfection procedures.

Article 11.7.5.

Trade in commodities

Veterinary Authorities of HS free countries may prohibit importation or transit through their territory, from countries considered infected with HS, of cattle and buffaloes.
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Article 11.7.6.

Recommendations for importation from HS free countries or zones

For cattle and buffaloes

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:
1) showed no clinical sign of HS on the day of shipment; and
2) were kept in a country or zone free from HS since birth or for at least six months.

Article 11.7.7.

Recommendations for importation from countries considered infected with HS

For cattle and buffaloes

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:
1) showed no clinical sign of HS on the day of shipment; and
2) were kept in a quarantine station for three months prior to shipment; and
3) were examined for the presence of the pathogenic agent in the naso-pharynx in accordance with the procedures described in the Terrestrial Manual, on four occasions, at weekly intervals during the last month in quarantine with negative results; and
4) were vaccinated not less than 30 days prior to shipment (under study); or
5) showed a positive reaction to the passive mouse protection test (under study) conducted during pre-shipment quarantine.

CHAPTER 11.8.

INFECTIOUS BOVINE RHINOTRACHEITIS/
INFECTIOUS PUSTULAR VULVOVAGINITIS

Article 11.8.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the incubation period for infectious bovine rhinotracheitis/infectious pustular vulvovaginitis (IBR/IPV) shall be 21 days.

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 11.8.2.

Country or zone free from IBR/IPV

1. Qualification

To qualify as free from IBR/IPV, a country or zone should satisfy the following requirements:

a) the disease or suspicion of the disease is notifiable;

b) no animal has been vaccinated against IBR/IPV for at least three years;

c) at least 99.8% of the herds are qualified as free from IBR/IPV.

2. Maintenance of free status

For a country or zone to maintain its status free from IBR/IPV:

a) a serological survey should be carried out annually on a random sample of the cattle population of the country or zone sufficient to provide a 99% level of confidence of detecting IBR/IPV if it is present at a prevalence rate exceeding 0.2% of the herds;

b) all imported bovines comply with Article 11.8.4.;

c) all imported bovine semen and oocytes or embryos fulfil the requirements referred to in Article 11.8.6. or Article 11.8.7., and in Article 11.8.8., respectively.
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Article 11.8.3.

Herd free from IBR/IPV

1. Qualification

To qualify as free from IBR/IPV, a herd of cattle should satisfy the following requirements:

a) all the animals in the herd have been subjected to a diagnostic test for IBR/IPV on a blood sample on two occasions with negative results, at an interval of not less than 2 months and not more than 12 months; or

b) if the herd contains only dairy cattle of which at least a quarter are lactating cows, each of the latter has been subjected to a diagnostic test on individual milk samples carried out on three occasions at intervals of two months with negative results;

c) animals introduced into the herd after the first tests referred to in point a) or point b) as relevant have been:
   i) kept in an IBR/IPV free herd; or
   ii) placed in isolation for a period of 30 days, and during this period have been subjected to a diagnostic test for IBR/IPV on a blood sample on two occasions with negative results, at an interval of not less than 21 days;

d) all bovine semen and oocytes or embryos introduced into the herd after the first tests referred to in point a) or point b) as relevant have fulfilled the conditions provided in Article 11.8.6. or Article 11.8.7. and in Article 11.8.8., respectively.

2. Maintenance of free status

For a herd to maintain its status free from IBR/IPV, it should be subjected to the following tests with negative results:

EITHER

a) diagnostic tests for IBR/IPV on blood samples for all the animals repeated at maximum intervals of 12 months; in herds composed entirely of fattening animals, blood sampling may be limited to animals sent for slaughter;

OR

b) diagnostic tests on individual milk samples from all lactating cows repeated at intervals of six months; Veterinary Authorities applying an IBR/IPV eradication programme may extend these intervals (under study) if more than 98% of herds have been free from the disease for at least three years; and

c) diagnostic tests on blood samples for IBR/IPV of all breeding bulls repeated at maximum intervals of 12 months;

AND

d) diagnostic tests on blood samples for IBR/IPV of all cattle having aborted after more than three months of gestation.

Animals introduced into the herd should satisfy the conditions provided in point 1 c) above, and semen and oocytes or embryos used in the herd should satisfy the conditions provided in Article 11.8.6. or Article 11.8.7. and in Article 11.8.8., respectively.

Article 11.8.4.

Recommendations for the importation of cattle destined for herds free from IBR/IPV

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of IBR/IPV on the day of shipment;

2) come from an IBR/IPV free herd; or

3) were kept in a quarantine station for the 30 days prior to shipment and were subjected to a diagnostic test for IBR/IPV on a blood sample on two occasions with negative results, at an interval of not less than 21 days.
Article 11.8.5.

**Recommendations for the importation of cattle intended for herds not qualified as free from IBR/IPV**

*Veterinary Authorities* of importing countries should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of IBR/IPV on the day of shipment;
2) were vaccinated with an inactivated virus vaccine not less than one month and not more than six months prior to shipment.

Article 11.8.6.

**Recommendations for the importation of fresh semen**

*Veterinary Authorities* of importing countries should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

1) the donor animals were kept in an IBR/IPV free *herd* at the time of collection of the semen;
2) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.

Article 11.8.7.

**Recommendations for the importation of frozen semen**

*Veterinary Authorities* of importing countries should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

1) the donor animals were kept in an IBR/IPV free *herd* at the time of collection of the semen; or
2) the donor animals were held in isolation during the period of collection and for the 30 days following collection and were subjected to a diagnostic test for IBR/IPV on a blood sample taken at least 21 days after collection of the semen, with negative results; or
3) if the serological status of the bull is unknown or if the bull is serologically positive, an aliquot of each semen collection was subjected to a virus isolation test or PCR, performed in accordance with the *Terrestrial Manual*, with negative results; and
4) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.

Article 11.8.8.

**Recommendations for the importation of oocytes or embryos**

*Veterinary Authorities* of importing countries should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that the oocytes or embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.7., 4.8. and 4.9., as relevant.

---

**NB:** FIRST ADOPTED IN 1982; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 1998.
CHAPTER 11.9.

INFECTION WITH LUMPY SKIN DISEASE VIRUS

Article 11.9.1.

General provisions

Lumpy skin disease (LSD) susceptible animals are bovines (Bos indicus and B. taurus) and water buffaloes (Bubalus bubalis) and certain wild ruminants.

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, LSD is defined as an infection of bovines and water buffaloes with lumpy skin disease virus (LSDV).

The following defines the occurrence of infection with LSDV:
1) LSDV has been isolated from a sample from a bovine or a water buffalo; or
2) antigen or nucleic acid specific to LSDV, excluding vaccine strains, has been identified in a sample from a bovine or a water buffalo showing clinical signs consistent with LSD, or epidemiologically linked to a suspected or confirmed case, or giving cause for suspicion of previous association or contact with LSDV; or
3) antibodies specific to LSDV have been identified in a sample from a bovine or a water buffalo that either shows clinical signs consistent with LSD, or is epidemiologically linked to a suspected or confirmed case.

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the incubation period for LSD shall be 28 days.

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 11.9.2.

Safe commodities

When authorising import or transit of the following commodities, Veterinary Authorities should not require any LSD-related conditions regardless of the status of the animal population of the exporting country:
1) skeletal muscle meat;
2) casings;
3) gelatine and collagen;
4) tallow;
5) hooves and horns.

Article 11.9.3.

Country or zone free from LSD

A country or a zone may be considered free from LSD when infection with LSDV is notifiable in the entire country, importation of bovines and water buffaloes and their commodities is carried out in accordance with this chapter, and either:
1) the country or zone is historically free as described in point 1 a) of Article 1.4.6.; or
2) for at least three years, vaccination has been prohibited in the country or zone and a clinical surveillance programme in accordance with Article 11.9.15. has demonstrated no occurrence of infection with LSDV; or
3) for at least two years, vaccination has been prohibited in the country or zone and a clinical, virological and serological surveillance programme in accordance with Article 11.9.15. has demonstrated no occurrence of infection with LSDV.

A country or zone free from LSD that is adjacent to an infected country or zone should include a zone in which surveillance is conducted in accordance with Article 11.9.15.
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A country or zone free from LSD will not lose its status as a result of introduction of seropositive or vaccinated bovines or water buffaloes or their commodities, provided they were introduced in accordance with this chapter.

Article 11.9.4.

Recovery of free status

1) When a case of LSD occurs in a country or zone previously free from LSD, one of the following waiting periods is applicable to regain free status:
   a) when a stamping-out policy has been applied;
      i) 14 months after the slaughtering or killing of the last case, or after the last vaccination if emergency vaccination has been used, whichever occurred last, and during which period clinical, virological and serological surveillance conducted in accordance with Article 11.9.15. has demonstrated no occurrence of infection with LSDV;
      ii) 26 months after the slaughtering or killing of the last case, or after the last vaccination if emergency vaccination has been used, whichever occurred last, and during which period clinical surveillance alone conducted in accordance with Article 11.9.15. has demonstrated no occurrence of infection with LSDV;
   b) when a stamping-out policy is not applied, Article 11.9.3. applies.

2) When preventive vaccination is conducted in a country or zone free from LSD, in response to a threat but without the occurrence of a case of LSD, free status may be regained eight months after the last vaccination when clinical, virological and serological surveillance conducted in accordance with Article 11.9.15. has demonstrated no occurrence of infection with LSDV.

Article 11.9.5.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones free from LSD

For bovines and water buffaloes

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of LSD on the day of shipment;

2) come from a country or zone free from LSD.

Article 11.9.6.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones not free from LSD

For bovines and water buffaloes

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of LSD on the day of shipment;

2) were kept since birth, or for the past 60 days prior to shipment, in an epidemiological unit where no case of LSD occurred during that period;

3) were vaccinated against LSD according to manufacturer’s instructions between 60 days and one year prior to shipment;

4) were demonstrated to have antibodies at least 30 days after vaccination;

5) were kept in a quarantine station for the 28 days prior to shipment during which time they were subjected to an agent identification test with negative results.
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Article 11.9.7.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones free from LSD

For semen of bovines and water buffaloes

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor animals:
   a) showed no clinical sign of LSD on the day of collection;
   b) were kept in a free country or zone for at least 28 days prior to collection;

2) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.

Article 11.9.8.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones not free from LSD

For semen of bovines and water buffaloes

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor males:
   a) showed no clinical sign of LSD on the day of collection and the following 28 days;
   b) were kept for the 60 days prior to collection in an artificial insemination centre where no case of LSD occurred during that period;
   c) EITHER:
      i) were vaccinated regularly against LSD according to manufacturer's instructions, the first vaccination being administrated at least 60 days prior to the first semen collection; and
      ii) were demonstrated to have antibodies against LSDV at least 30 days after vaccination;
      OR
      iii) were subjected to a serological test to detect antibodies specific to LSDV, with negative results, at least every 28 days throughout the collection period and one test 21 days after the final collection for this consignment; and
      iv) were subjected to agent detection by PCR conducted on blood samples collected at commencement and conclusion of, and at least every 28 days during, semen collection for this consignment, with negative results;

2) the semen to be exported was subjected to agent detection by PCR;

3) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.

Article 11.9.9.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones free from LSD

For embryos of bovines and water buffaloes

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor females:
   a) showed no clinical sign of LSD on the day of collection of the embryos;
   b) kept for at least 28 days prior to collection in a free country or zone;

2) the embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.7., 4.8. and 4.9., as relevant;

3) the semen used for the production of the embryos complied with Articles 11.9.7. or 11.9.8., as relevant.
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Article 11.9.10.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones not free from LSD

For embryos of bovines and water buffaloes

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor females:
   a) showed no clinical sign of LSD on the day of collection and the following 28 days;
   b) were kept in an establishment where no case of LSD occurred during the 60 days prior to collection;
   c) EITHER:
      i) were vaccinated regularly against LSD according to manufacturer’s instructions, the first vaccination being administrated at least 60 days prior to the first collection; and
      ii) were demonstrated to have antibodies against LSDV at least 30 days after vaccination;
   OR
      iii) were subjected to a serological test to detect antibodies specific to LSDV, with negative results, on the day of collection and at least 21 days after collection;
   d) were subjected to agent detection by PCR with negative results on a blood sample on the day of collection;

2) the semen used for the production of the embryos complied with Articles 11.9.7. or 11.9.8., as relevant;

3) the embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.7., 4.8. and 4.9.

Article 11.9.11.

Recommendations for the importation of milk and milk products

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the milk or the milk products:

1) have been derived from animals in a country or zone free from LSD;

OR

2) were subjected to pasteurisation or any combination of control measures with equivalent performance as described in the Codex Alimentarius Code of Hygienic Practice for Milk and Milk Products.

Article 11.9.12.

Recommendations for importation of meal and flour from blood, meat other than skeletal muscle, or bones from bovines and water buffaloes

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting:

1) that these products were derived from animals in a country or zone free from LSD; or

2) that
   a) the products were processed using heat treatment to a minimum internal temperature of 65°C for at least 30 minutes;
   b) the necessary precautions were taken after processing to avoid contact of the commodities with any potential source of LSDV.

Article 11.9.13.

Recommendations for importation of hides of bovines and water buffaloes

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) these products were derived from animals that had been kept in a country or zone free from LSD since birth or for at least the past 28 days;
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OR

2) these products were:
   a) derived from animals which had undergone ante- and post-mortem inspections in accordance with Chapter 6.3. with favourable results; and
   b) dry-salted or wet-salted for a period of at least 14 days prior to dispatch; or
   c) treated for a period of at least seven days in salt (NaCl) with the addition of 2% sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃); or
   d) dried for a period of at least 42 days at a temperature of at least 20°C; and

3) the necessary precautions were taken after processing to avoid contact of the commodities with any potential source of LSDV.


Recommendations for importation of other products of animal origin from bovines and water buffaloes

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) these products were derived from animals that have been kept in a country or zone free from LSD since birth or for at least the past 28 days; or

2) these products were processed to ensure the destruction of the LSDV and the necessary precautions were taken after processing to avoid contact of the commodities with any potential source of LSDV.

Article 11.9.15.

Surveillance

1. General principles of surveillance

   A Member Country should justify the surveillance strategy chosen as being adequate to detect the presence of infection with LSDV even in the absence of clinical signs, given the prevailing epidemiological situation in accordance with Chapter 1.4. and Chapter 1.5. and under the responsibility of the Veterinary Authority.

   The Veterinary Services should implement programmes to raise awareness among farmers and workers who have day-to-day contact with livestock, as well as veterinary paraprofessionals, veterinarians and diagnosticians, who should report promptly any suspicion of LSD.

   In particular Member Countries should have in place:
   a) a formal and ongoing system for detecting and investigating cases;
   b) a procedure for the rapid collection and transport of samples from suspected cases to a laboratory for diagnosis;
   c) a system for recording, managing and analysing diagnostic and surveillance data.

2. Clinical surveillance

   Clinical surveillance is essential for detecting cases of infection with LSDV and requires the physical examination of susceptible animals.

   Surveillance based on clinical inspection provides a high level of confidence of detection of disease if a sufficient number of clinically susceptible animals is examined regularly at an appropriate frequency and investigations are recorded and quantified. Clinical examination and laboratory testing should be pre-planned and applied using appropriate types of samples to clarify the status of suspected cases.

3. Virological and serological surveillance

   An active programme of surveillance of susceptible populations to detect evidence of infection with LSDV is useful to establish the status of a country or zone. Serological and molecular testing of bovines and water buffaloes may be used to detect presence of infection with LSDV in naturally infected animals.

   The study population used for a serological survey should be representative of the population at risk in the country or zone and should be restricted to susceptible unvaccinated animals. Identification of vaccinated animals may minimise interference with serological surveillance and assist with recovery of free status.
4. Surveillance in high-risk areas

Disease-specific enhanced surveillance in a free country or zone should be carried out over an appropriate distance from the border with an infected country or zone, based upon geography, climate, history of infection and other relevant factors. The surveillance should be carried out over a distance of at least 20 kilometres from the border with that country or zone, but a lesser distance could be acceptable if there are relevant ecological or geographical features likely to interrupt the transmission of LSDV. A country or zone free from LSD may be protected from an adjacent infected country or zone by a protection zone.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2018.
CHAPTER 11.10.

THEILERIOSIS

Article 11.10.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, theileriosis is defined as a highly fatal disease in cattle and buffaloes caused by *Theileria parva* and *T. annulata*.

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 11.10.2.

Recommendations for importation from countries considered infected with theileriosis

For cattle

Veterinary Authorities of free countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of theileriosis on the day of shipment; and
2) were, since birth, kept in a zone known to be free of theileriosis for the previous two years;

OR

3) showed no clinical sign of theileriosis on the day of shipment; and
4) were subjected to a diagnostic test for theileriosis with negative results during the 30 days prior to shipment (under study); and
5) showed negative results from microscopic examination of blood smears;

AND

in either of the above cases:

6) were treated with an acaricide prior to shipment and were completely free of ticks.

CHAPTER 11.11.

TRICHOMONOSIS

Article 11.11.1.

General provisions

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 11.11.2.

Recommendations for the importation of cattle for breeding

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:
1) the animals showed no clinical sign of trichomonosis on the day of shipment;
2) the animals were kept in a herd in which no case of trichomonosis has been reported; and/or
3) for females which have been mated, direct microscopic examination and culture of vaginal mucus were negative.

Article 11.11.3.

Recommendations for the importation of bulls for breeding (natural service or artificial insemination)

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:
1) the animals showed no clinical sign of trichomonosis on the day of shipment;
2) the animals were kept in a herd in which no case of trichomonosis has been reported; and/or
3) the animals have never been used for natural service; or
4) the animals have only mated virgin heifers; or
5) the animals were subjected to a direct microscopic and cultural examination of preputial specimens with negative results.

Article 11.11.4.

Recommendations for the importation of bovine semen

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:
1) the donor animals have never been used for natural service; or
2) the donor animals have only mated virgin heifers; or
3) the donor animals were kept in an establishment or artificial insemination centre where no case of trichomonosis has been reported;
4) the donor animals were subjected to a direct microscopic and cultural examination of preputial specimens with negative results;
5) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968.
SECTION 12.

EQUIDAE

CHAPTER 12.1.

INFECTION WITH AFRICAN HORSE SICKNESS VIRUS

Article 12.1.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, African horse sickness (AHS) is defined as an infection of equids with African horse sickness virus (AHSV).

The following defines an infection with AHSV:

1) AHSV has been isolated and identified from an equid or a product derived from that equid; or
2) antigen or ribonucleic acid specific to AHSV has been identified in samples from an equid showing clinical signs consistent with AHS, or epidemiologically linked to a suspected or confirmed case; or
3) serological evidence of active infection with AHSV by detection of seroconversion with production of antibodies against structural or nonstructural proteins of AHSV that are not a consequence of vaccination have been identified in an equid that either shows clinical signs consistent with AHS, or is epidemiologically linked to a suspected or confirmed case.

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the infective period for AHS is 40 days for domestic horses. Although critical information is lacking for some species, this chapter applies to all equidae.

All countries or zones adjacent to a country or zone not having free status should determine their AHSV status from an ongoing surveillance programme. Throughout the chapter, surveillance is in all cases understood as being conducted as described in Articles 12.1.11. to 12.1.13.

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 12.1.2.

AHS free country or zone

1) A country or zone may be considered free from AHS when infection with AHSV is notifiable in the whole country, systematic vaccination is prohibited, importation of equids and their semen, oocytes or embryos are carried out in accordance with this chapter, and either:
   a) historical freedom as described in Chapter 1.4. has demonstrated no evidence of AHSV in the country or zone; or
   b) the country or zone has not reported any case of AHS for at least two years and is not adjacent to an infected country or zone; or
   c) a surveillance programme has demonstrated no evidence of AHSV in the country or zone for at least two years; or
   d) the country or zone has not reported any case of AHS for at least 40 days and a surveillance programme has demonstrated no evidence of Culicoides for at least two years in the country or zone.
2) An AHS free country or zone which is adjacent to an infected country or zone should include a zone in which surveillance is conducted in accordance with Articles 12.1.11. to 12.1.13., as relevant.

3) An AHS free country or zone will not lose its free status through the importation of seropositive or vaccinated equids and their semen, oocytes or embryos from infected countries or zones, provided these imports are carried out in accordance with this chapter.

4) To qualify for inclusion in the list of AHS free countries or zones, a Member Country should:
   a) have a record of regular and prompt animal disease reporting;
   b) send a declaration to the OIE stating:
      i) the section under point 1) on which the application is based;
      ii) no routine vaccination against AHS has been carried out during the past year in the country or zone;
      iii) equids are imported in accordance with this chapter;
   c) supply documented evidence that:
      i) surveillance in accordance with Articles 12.1.11. to 12.1.13. is applied, unless historically free in accordance with Article 1.4.6.;
      ii) regulatory measures for the early detection, prevention and control of infection with AHSV have been implemented.

5) The Member Country will be included in the list only after the submitted evidence has been accepted by the OIE. Retention on the list requires that the information in points 4 b) ii) and iii) and 4 c) above be annually re-submitted and changes in the epidemiological situation or other significant events be reported to the OIE in accordance with the requirements in Chapter 1.1., and in particular, formally state that:
   a) there has been no outbreak of AHS during the past year in the country or zone;
   b) no evidence of infection with AHSV has been found during the past year in the country or zone.

Article 12.1.3.

AHS infected country or zone

For the purposes of this chapter, an AHS infected country or zone is one that does not fulfil the requirements to qualify as AHS free.

Article 12.1.4.

Establishment of a containment zone within an AHS free country or zone

In the event of limited outbreaks within an AHS free country or zone, a single containment zone can be established for the purpose of minimising the impact on the entire country or zone. Such a zone should include all cases and can be established within a protection zone. For this to be achieved, the Veterinary Authority should provide documented evidence that:

1) the outbreaks are limited based on the following factors:
   a) immediately on suspicion, a rapid response including notification has been made;
   b) standstill of movements of equids has been imposed, and effective controls on the movement of equids and their products specified in this chapter are in place;
   c) epidemiological investigation (trace-back, trace-forward) has been completed;
   d) the infection has been confirmed;
   e) investigations on the likely source of the outbreak have been carried out;
   f) all cases have been shown to be epidemiologically linked;
   g) no new cases have been found in the containment zone within a minimum of two infective periods as defined in Article 12.1.1.;

2) the equids within the containment zone are clearly identifiable as belonging to the containment zone;

3) increased passive and targeted surveillance in accordance with Articles 12.1.11. to 12.1.13. in the rest of the country or zone has not detected any evidence of infection;

4) animal health measures are in place to effectively prevent the spread of AHSV infection to the rest of the country or zone, taking into consideration the establishment of a protection zone within the containment zone, the seasonal vector conditions and existing physical, geographical and ecological barriers;
5) ongoing surveillance in accordance with Articles 12.1.11. to 12.1.13. is in place in the containment zone.

The free status of the areas outside the containment zone is suspended while the containment zone is being established in accordance with points 1) to 5) above. The free status of the areas outside the containment zone may be reinstated irrespective of Article 12.1.5. once the containment zone is recognised by the OIE.

In the event of the recurrence of AHSV infection in the containment zone, the approval of the containment zone is withdrawn.

The recovery of the AHS free status of the containment zone should follow Article 12.1.5.

Article 12.1.5.

Recovery of free status

To regain free status when an AHS outbreak occurs in a country or zone previously free, Article 12.1.2. applies, irrespective of whether emergency vaccination has been applied or not.

Article 12.1.6.

Recommendations for importation from AHS free countries or zones

For equids

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of AHS on the day of shipment;
2) have not been vaccinated against AHS within the last 40 days;
3) were kept in an AHS free country or zone since birth or for at least 40 days prior to shipment;
4) either:
   a) did not transit through an infected zone during transportation to the place of shipment; or
   b) were protected from Culicoides attacks at all times when transiting through an infected zone.

Article 12.1.7.

Recommendations for importation from AHS infected countries or zones

For equids

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of AHS on the day of shipment;
2) have not been vaccinated against AHS within the last 40 days;
3) were held in isolation in a vector-protected establishment:
   a) for a period of at least 28 days and a serological test to detect antibodies against the AHSV group, was carried out with a negative result on a blood sample collected at least 28 days after introduction into the vector-protected establishment; or
   b) for a period of at least 40 days and serological tests to detect antibodies against AHSV were carried out with no significant increase in antibody titre on blood samples collected on two occasions, with an interval of not less than 21 days, the first sample being collected at least 7 days after introduction into the vector-protected establishment; or
   c) for a period of at least 14 days and an agent identification test was carried out with a negative result on a blood sample collected not less than 14 days after introduction into the vector-protected establishment; or
   d) for a period of at least 40 days and were vaccinated, at least 40 days before shipment, against all serotypes whose presence in the source population has been demonstrated through a surveillance programme in accordance with Articles 12.1.12. and 12.1.13., and were identified in the accompanying certification as having been vaccinated;
4) were protected from Culicoides attacks at all times during transportation (including transportation to and at the place of shipment).
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Article 12.1.8.

Recommendations for the importation of equine semen

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the donor animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of AHS on the day of collection of the semen and for the following 40 days;
2) had not been immunised against AHS with a live attenuated vaccine within 40 days prior to the day of collection;
3) were either:
   a) kept in an AHS free country or zone for at least 40 days before commencement of, and during collection of the semen; or
   b) kept in an AHS free vector-protected artificial insemination centre throughout the collection period, and subjected to either:
      i) a serological test to detect antibodies against the AH SV group, carried out with a negative result on a blood sample collected at least 28 days and not more than 90 days after the last collection of semen; or
      ii) agent identification tests carried out with negative results on blood samples collected at commencement and conclusion of, and at least every seven days, during semen collection for this consignment.

Article 12.1.9.

Recommendations for the importation of in vivo derived equine oocytes or embryos

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor animals:
   a) showed no clinical sign of AHS on the day of collection of the oocytes or embryos and for the following 40 days;
   b) had not been immunised against AHS with a live attenuated vaccine within 40 days prior to the day of collection;
   c) were either:
      i) kept in an AHS free country or zone for at least 40 days before commencement of, and during collection of the oocytes or embryos, or
      ii) kept in an AHS free vector-protected collection centre throughout the collection period, and subjected to either:
          – a serological test to detect antibodies against the AHSV group carried out with a negative result on a blood sample collected at least 28 days and not more than 90 days after the last collection of oocytes or embryos; or
          – agent identification tests carried out with negative results on blood samples collected at commencement and conclusion of, and at least every seven days during oocytes or embryos collection for this consignment;
2) the embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.7. and 4.9., as relevant;
3) the semen used to fertilise the oocytes complies at least with the requirements in Article 12.1.8.
Article 12.1.10.

Protecting animals from *Culicoides* attacks

1. Vector-protected establishment or facility
   
   The establishment or facility should be approved by the Veterinary Authority and the means of protection should at least comprise the following:
   
   a) appropriate physical barriers at entry and exit points, for example double-door entry-exit system;
   
   b) openings of the building are vector screened with mesh of appropriate gauge impregnated regularly with an approved insecticide in accordance with the instructions of the manufacturer;
   
   c) vector surveillance and control within and around the building;
   
   d) measures to limit or eliminate breeding sites for vectors in vicinity of the establishment or facility;
   
   e) Standard Operating Procedure, including description of back-up and alarm systems, for operation of the establishment or facility and transport of equids to the place of loading.

2. During transportation
   
   When transporting equids through AHS infected countries or zones, Veterinary Authorities should require strategies to protect animals from *Culicoides* attacks during transport, taking into account the local ecology of the vector.
   
   a) Transport by road
      
      Potential risk management strategies include a combination of:
      
      i) treating animals with chemical repellents prior to and during transportation, in sanitized vehicles treated with appropriate residual contact insecticide;
      
      ii) *loading*, transporting and *unloading* animals at times of low vector activity (i.e. bright sunshine and low temperature);
      
      iii) ensuring *vehicles* do not stop en route during dawn or dusk, or overnight, unless the animals are held behind insect proof netting;
      
      iv) darkening the interior of the *vehicle*, for example by covering the roof or sides of *vehicles* with shade cloth;
      
      v) surveillance for *vectors* at common stopping and offloading points to gain information on seasonal variations;
      
      vi) using historical, ongoing or modelling information on AHS to identify low risk ports and transport routes.
   
   b) Transport by air
      
      Prior to *loading* the equids, the crates, *containers* or jet stalls are sprayed with an insecticide approved in the country of dispatch.
      
      Crates, *containers* or jet stalls in which equids are being transported and the cargo hold of the aircraft should be sprayed with an approved insecticide when the doors have been closed and prior to take off. All possible insect harbourage should be treated. The spray containers should be retained for inspection on arrival.
      
      In addition, during any stopover in countries or zones not free from AHS, prior to the opening of any aircraft door and until all doors are closed, netting of appropriate gauge impregnated with an approved insecticide should be placed over all crates, *containers* or jet stalls.

Article 12.1.11.

Introduction to surveillance

Articles 12.1.11. to 12.1.13. define the principles and provide guidance on surveillance for AHS, complementary to Chapter 1.4. and, for vectors, complementary to Chapter 1.5.

AHS is a vector-borne infection transmitted by a limited number of species of *Culicoides* insects. Unlike the related bluetongue virus, AHV is so far geographically restricted to sub Saharan Africa with periodic excursions into North Africa, southwest Europe, the Middle East and adjacent regions of Asia. An important component of AHV epidemiology is vectorial capacity which provides a measure of disease risk that incorporates vector competence, abundance, seasonal incidence, biting rates, survival rates and the extrinsic incubation period. However, methods and tools for measuring some of these vector factors remain to be developed, particularly in a field context.
According to this chapter, a Member Country demonstrating freedom from infection with AHSV for the entire country or a zone should provide evidence for the existence of an effective surveillance programme. The strategy and design of the surveillance programme will depend on the prevailing epidemiological circumstances and should be planned and implemented in accordance with general conditions and methods described in this chapter. This requires the support of a laboratory able to undertake identification of infection with AHSV through the virus detection and antibody tests.

Susceptible captive wild, feral and wild equine populations should be included in the surveillance programme.

The purpose of surveillance is to determine if a country or zone is free from AHS. Surveillance deals not only with the occurrence of clinical signs caused by AHSV, but also with evidence of infection with AHSV in the absence of clinical signs.

**Article 12.1.12.**

**General conditions and methods for surveillance**

1) A surveillance system should be under the responsibility of the Veterinary Authority. In particular the following should be in place:
   a) a formal and ongoing system for detecting and investigating outbreaks of disease;
   b) a procedure for the rapid collection and transport of samples from suspected cases of AHS to a laboratory for diagnosis;
   c) a system for recording, managing and analysing diagnostic, epidemiological and surveillance data.

2) In a free country or zone, the surveillance programme for AHS should include an early warning system for reporting suspected cases. Persons who have regular contact with equids, as well as diagnosticians, should report promptly any suspicion of AHS to the Veterinary Authority. An effective surveillance system will periodically identify suspected cases that require follow-up and investigation to confirm or exclude that the cause of the condition is AHS. The rate at which such suspected cases are likely to occur will differ between epidemiological situations and cannot therefore be predicted reliably. All suspected cases of AHS should be investigated immediately and samples should be taken and submitted to a laboratory. This requires that sampling kits and other equipment be available to those responsible for surveillance.

3) In an AHS infected country or zone, random or targeted serological and virological surveillance, appropriate to the epidemiological situation, should be conducted in accordance with Chapter 1.4.

**Article 12.1.13.**

**Surveillance strategies**

The target population for surveillance aimed at identification of disease or infection should cover susceptible equids within the country or zone. Active and passive surveillance for infection with AHSV should be ongoing. Surveillance should be composed of random or targeted approaches using virological, serological and clinical methods appropriate to the epidemiological situation.

A Member Country should justify the surveillance strategy chosen as appropriate to detect the presence of infection with AHSV in accordance with Chapter 1.4. and the prevailing epidemiological situation. It may, for example, be appropriate to target clinical surveillance at particular species likely to exhibit clinical signs (e.g. horses). Similarly, virological and serological testing may be targeted to species that rarely show clinical signs (e.g. donkeys).

In vaccinated populations serological and virological surveillance is necessary to detect the AHSV types circulating to ensure that all circulating types are included in the vaccination programme.

For random surveys, the design of the sampling strategy should incorporate epidemiologically appropriate design prevalence. The sample size selected for testing should be large enough to detect infection if it were to occur at a predetermined minimum rate. The sample size, expected prevalence and diagnostic sensitivity of the tests determine the level of confidence in the results of the survey. The Member Country should justify the choice of design prevalence and confidence level based on the objectives of surveillance and the epidemiological situation, in accordance with Chapter 1.4. Selection of the design prevalence, in particular, should be based on the prevailing or historical epidemiological situation.

Irrespective of the survey approach selected, the sensitivity and specificity of the diagnostic tests employed are key factors in the design, sample size determination and interpretation of the results obtained. Ideally, the sensitivity and
specificity of the tests used should be validated for the vaccination or infection history and the different species in the target population.

Irrespective of the testing system employed, surveillance system design should anticipate the occurrence of false positive reactions. If the characteristics of the testing system are known, the rate at which these false positives are likely to occur can be calculated in advance. There should be an effective procedure for following up positives to ultimately determine with a high level of confidence, whether they are indicative of infection or not. This should involve both supplementary tests and follow-up investigation to collect diagnostic material from the original sampling unit as well as those which may be epidemiologically linked to it.

The principles for surveillance for disease or infection are technically well defined. Surveillance programmes to prove the absence of AHSV infection or transmission, should be carefully designed to avoid producing results that are insufficiently reliable to be accepted by the OIE for official recognition of status. The design of any surveillance programme, therefore, requires inputs from professionals competent and experienced in this field.

1. Clinical surveillance

Clinical surveillance aims at the detection of clinical signs of AHS in equids particularly during a newly introduced infection. In horses, clinical signs may include pyrexia, oedema, hyperaemia of mucous membranes and dyspnoea.

Suspected cases detected by clinical surveillance should always be confirmed by laboratory testing.

2. Serological surveillance

Serological surveillance of equine populations is an important tool to confirm absence of AHSV transmission in a country or zone. The species tested should reflect the local epidemiology of infection with AHSV, and the equine species available. Management variables that may reduce the likelihood of infection, such as the use of insecticides and animal housing, should be taken into account when selecting equids to be included in the surveillance system.

Samples should be examined for antibodies against AHSV. Positive AHSV antibody tests results can have four possible causes:

a) natural infection with AHSV;

b) vaccination against AHS;

c) maternal antibodies;

d) lack of specificity of the test.

Sera collected for other purposes may be used for AHSV surveillance. However, the principles of survey design described in these recommendations and the requirements for a statistically valid survey for the presence of infection with AHSV should not be compromised.

The results of random or targeted serological surveys are important in providing reliable evidence that no infection with AHSV is present in a country or zone. It is, therefore, essential that the survey is thoroughly documented. It is critical to interpret the results in light of the movement history of the animals being sampled.

Serological surveillance in a free zone should target those areas that are at highest risk of AHSV transmission, based on the results of previous surveillance and other information. This will usually be towards the boundaries of the free zone. In view of the epidemiology of AHSV, either random or targeted sampling is suitable to select herds or animals for testing.

Serological surveillance in a free country or zone should be carried out over an appropriate distance from the border with an infected country or zone, based upon geography, climate, history of infection and other relevant factors. The surveillance should be carried out over a distance of at least 100 kilometres from the border with that country or zone, but a lesser distance could be acceptable if there are relevant ecological or geographical features likely to interrupt the transmission of AHSV. An AHS free country or zone may be protected from an adjacent infected country or zone by a protection zone.

Serological surveillance in infected zones will identify changes in the boundary of the zone, and can also be used to identify the AHSV types circulating. In view of the epidemiology of infection with AHSV, either random or targeted sampling is suitable.
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3. Virological surveillance

Isolation and genetic analysis of AHSV from a proportion of infected animals is beneficial in terms of providing information on serotype and genetic characteristics of the viruses concerned.

Virological surveillance can be conducted:

a) to identify virus transmission in at risk populations;

b) to confirm clinically suspected cases;

c) to follow up positive serological results;

d) to better characterise the genotype of circulating virus in a country or zone.

4. Sentinel animals

Sentinel animals are a form of targeted surveillance with a prospective study design. They comprise groups of unexposed equids that have not been vaccinated and are managed at fixed locations and observed and tested regularly to detect new infections with AHSV.

The primary purpose of a sentinel equid programme is to detect infections with AHSV occurring at a particular place, for instance sentinel groups may be located on the boundaries of infected zones to detect changes in distribution of AHSV. In addition, sentinel equid programmes allow the timing and dynamics of infections to be observed.

A sentinel equid programme should use animals of known source and history of exposure, control management variables such as use of insecticides and animal housing (depending on the epidemiology of AHSV in the area under consideration), and be flexible in its design in terms of sampling frequency and choice of tests.

Care is necessary in choosing the sites for the sentinel groups. The aim is to maximise the chance of detecting AHSV activity at the geographical location for which the sentinel site acts as a sampling point. The effect of secondary factors that may influence events at each location, such as climate, may also be analysed. To avoid confounding factors sentinel groups should comprise animals selected to be of similar age and susceptibility to infection with AHSV. The only feature distinguishing groups of sentinels should be their geographical location. Sera from sentinel animal programmes should be stored methodically in a serum bank to allow retrospective studies to be conducted in the event of new serotypes being isolated.

The frequency of sampling should reflect the equine species used and the reason for choosing the sampling site. In endemic areas virus isolation will allow monitoring of the serotypes and genotypes of AHSV circulating during each time period. The borders between infected and non-infected areas can be defined by serological detection of infection. Monthly sampling intervals are frequently used. Sentinels in declared free zones add to confidence that infections with AHSV are not occurring unobserved. Here sampling prior to and after the possible period of transmission is sufficient.

Definitive information on AHSV circulating in a country or zone is provided by isolation and identification of the viruses. If virus isolation is required sentinels should be sampled at sufficiently frequent intervals to ensure that some samples are collected during the period of viraemia.
5. **Vector surveillance**

AHSV is transmitted between equine hosts by species of *Culicoides* which vary across the world. It is therefore important to be able to identify potential vector species accurately although many such species are closely related and difficult to differentiate with certainty.

*Vector surveillance* is aimed at demonstrating the absence of vectors or defining high, medium and low-risk areas and local details of seasonality by determining the various species present in an area, their respective seasonal occurrence, and abundance. *Vector surveillance* has particular relevance to potential areas of spread. Long term surveillance can also be used to assess vector abatement measures or to confirm continued absence of vectors.

The most effective way of gathering this information should take account of the biology and behavioural characteristics of the local vector species of *Culicoides* and may include the use of Onderstepoort-type light traps or similar, operated from dusk to dawn in locations adjacent to equids.

*Vector surveillance* should be based on scientific sampling techniques. The choice of the number and types of traps to be used in *vector surveillance* and the frequency of their use should take into account the size and ecological characteristics of the area to be surveyed.

The operation of *vector surveillance* sites at the same locations as sentinel animals is advisable.

The use of a *vector surveillance* system to detect the presence of circulating viruses is not recommended as a routine procedure as the typically low *vector infection* rates mean that such detections can be rare. Animal-based surveillance strategies are preferred to detect virus transmission.

---

**NB:** FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2014.
CHAPTER 12.2.  

CONTAGIOUS EQUINE METRITIS

Article 12.2.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of this chapter, ‘infected establishment’ means premises in which equines infected with contagious equine metritis (CEM) are kept. The establishment shall be considered infected until two months have elapsed since the confirmation of the last case and after the premises have been adequately cleansed and disinfected.

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 12.2.2.

Recommendations for the importation of stallions and mares considered free from CEM (for countries where an official control organisation is present)

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of CEM on the day of shipment;
2) have had no contact with CEM:
   a) directly, through coitus with an infected animal; or
   b) indirectly, by passing through an infected establishment;
3) were subjected to the laboratory test for CEM with negative results during the 30 days prior to shipment.

Article 12.2.3.

Recommendations for the importation of stallions and mares which have previously shown signs of CEM or which have been in contact with contagious equine metritis (for countries where an official control organisation is present)

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals which have been in direct contact through coitus with an infected animal, or indirect contact by passing through an infected establishment:

1) have been recognised as not being contagious through laboratory tests for CEM;
2) have been protected against any possibility of contagion since the beginning of the tests.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1982.
CHAPTER 12.3.

DOURINE

Article 12.3.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the incubation period for dourine shall be six months.

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 12.3.2.

Dourine free country

A country formerly infected with dourine may be considered free again when:
1) a stamping-out policy has been practised for affected animals;
2) no clinical case of dourine has been observed during the past two years;
3) breeding horses have been subjected to a diagnostic test for dourine with negative results performed annually over a two-year period.

Article 12.3.3.

Recommendations for importation from dourine free countries for the past six months

For equines

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals: 1) showed no clinical sign of dourine on the day of shipment; 2) were kept since birth, or for the six months prior to shipment, in a country which has been free from dourine for not less than the past six months.

Article 12.3.4.

Recommendations for importation from countries considered infected with dourine

For equines

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals: 1) showed no clinical sign of dourine on the day of shipment; 2) were kept for the six months prior to shipment in an establishment where no case of dourine was officially reported during that period; 3) were subjected to a diagnostic test for dourine with negative results during the 15 days prior to shipment.

Article 12.3.5.

Recommendations for importation from dourine free countries for the past six months

For semen of equines

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the donor animals were kept since birth, or for the six months prior to collection of the semen, in a country which has been free from dourine for not less than the past six months.
Article 12.3.6.

Recommendations for importation from countries considered infected with dourine

For semen of equines

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor animals:
   a) were kept for the six months prior to collection of the semen in an establishment or artificial insemination centre where no case of dourine was reported during that period;
   b) were subjected to a diagnostic test for dourine with negative results;

2) the microscopic examination of the semen for dourine was negative.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968.
CHAPTER 12.4.

EQUINE ENCEPHALOMYELITIS
(EASTERN AND WESTERN)

Article 12.4.1.

General provisions

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 12.4.2.

Recommendations for the importation of equines

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of equine encephalomyelitis on the day of shipment and during the three months prior to shipment;
2) were kept for the three months prior to shipment in an establishment where no case of equine encephalomyelitis was officially reported during that period; or
3) were kept in a quarantine station for the 21 days prior to shipment and were protected from insect vectors during quarantine and transportation to the place of shipment; or
4) were vaccinated not less than 15 days and not more than one year prior to shipment.

______________________________________________________________________________

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968.
CHAPTER 12.5.

EQUINE INFECTIOUS ANAEMIA

Article 12.5.1.

General provisions

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 12.5.2.

Recommendations for the importation of equines

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the animals showed no clinical sign of equine infectious anaemia (EIA) on the day of shipment and during the 48 hours prior to shipment; and

2) no case of EIA has been associated with any premises where the animals were kept during the three months prior to shipment; and

3) if imported on a permanent basis, the animals were subjected to a diagnostic test for EIA with negative results on blood samples collected during the 30 days prior to shipment; or

4) if imported on a temporary basis, the animals were subjected to a diagnostic test for EIA with negative results on blood samples collected during the 90 days prior to shipment.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2007.
CHAPTER 12.6.

INFECTION WITH EQUINE INFLUENZA VIRUS

Article 12.6.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, equine influenza (EI) is defined as an infection of domestic equids.

This chapter deals not only with the occurrence of clinical signs caused by equine influenza virus (EIV), but also with the presence of infection with EIV in the absence of clinical signs.

For the purposes of this chapter, isolation is defined as ‘the separation of domestic equids from domestic equids of a different EI health status, utilising appropriate biosecurity measures, with the purpose of preventing the transmission of infection’.

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the infective period for EI shall be 21 days.

When authorising import or transit of the commodities listed in this chapter, with the exception of those listed in Article 12.6.2., Veterinary Authorities should require the conditions prescribed in this chapter relevant to the EI status of the equine population of the exporting country, zone or compartment.

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 12.6.2.

Safe commodities

When authorising import or transit of the following commodities, Veterinary Authorities should not require any EIV-related conditions, regardless of the EI status of the equine population of the exporting country, zone or compartment:

1) equine semen;
2) in vivo derived equine embryos collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.7. and 4.9., as relevant (under study).

Article 12.6.3.

Determination of the EI status of a country, a zone or a compartment

The EI status of a country, a zone or a compartment can be determined on the basis of the following criteria:

1) the outcome of a risk assessment identifying all risk factors and their historic relevance;
2) whether EI is notifiable in the whole country, an ongoing EI awareness programme is in place, and all notified suspect occurrences of EI are subjected to field and, where applicable, laboratory investigations;
3) appropriate surveillance is in place to demonstrate the presence of infection in the absence of clinical signs in domestic equids.

Article 12.6.4.

EI free country, zone or compartment

A country, zone or compartment may be considered free from EI provided the disease is notifiable in the whole country and it shows evidence, through an effective surveillance programme, planned and implemented in accordance with the general principles in Chapter 1.4., that no case of EI occurred in the past two years. The surveillance may need to be adapted to parts of the country, zone or compartment depending on historical or geographical factors, industry structure,
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population data, movements of equids within and into the country, zone or compartment, wild equine populations or proximity to recent outbreaks.

A country, zone or compartment seeking freedom from EI, in which vaccination is practised, should also demonstrate that EIV has not been circulating in the population of domestic, feral and wild equids during the past 12 months, through surveillance, in accordance with Chapter 1.4. In a country in which vaccination is not practised, surveillance may be conducted using serological testing alone. In countries where vaccination is practised, the surveillance should include agent identification methods described in the Terrestrial Manual for evidence of infection.

A country, zone or compartment seeking freedom from EI should apply appropriate movement controls to minimise the risk of introduction of EIV in accordance with this chapter.

If an outbreak of clinical EI occurs in a previously free country, zone or compartment, free status can be regained 12 months after the last clinical case, providing that surveillance for evidence of infection has been carried out during that twelve-month period in accordance with Chapter 1.4.

Article 12.6.5.

Recommendations for the importation of domestic equids for immediate slaughter

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the domestic equids showed no clinical sign of EI on the day of shipment.

Article 12.6.6.

Recommendations for the importation of domestic equids for unrestricted movement

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the domestic equids:

1) came from an EI free country, zone or compartment in which they had been resident for at least 21 days; in the case of a vaccinated domestic equid, information on its vaccination status should be included in the veterinary certificate;

OR

2) came from a country, zone or compartment not known to be free from EI, were subjected to pre-export isolation for 21 days and showed no clinical sign of EI during isolation nor on the day of shipment; and

3) were immunised in accordance with the recommendations of the manufacturer with a vaccine complying with the standards described in the Terrestrial Manual between 21 and 90 days before shipment either with a primary course or a booster; information on their vaccination status should be included in the veterinary certificate or the passport in accordance with Chapter 5.12.

For additional security, countries that are free of EI or undertaking an eradication programme may also request that the domestic equids were tested negative for EIV by an agent identification test for EI described in the Terrestrial Manual conducted on samples collected on two occasions at 7 to 14 days and less than 5 days before shipment.

Article 12.6.7.

Recommendations for the importation of domestic equid which will be kept in isolation (see Article 12.6.1.)

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the domestic equids:

1) came from an EI free country, zone or compartment in which they had been resident for at least 21 days; in the case of a vaccinated domestic equid, information on its vaccination status should be included in the veterinary certificate;

OR

2) showed no clinical sign of EI in any premises in which the domestic equids had been resident for the 21 days prior to shipment nor on the day of shipment; and
3) were immunised in accordance with the recommendations of the manufacturer with a vaccine complying with the standards described in the Terrestrial Manual; information on their vaccination status should be included in the veterinary certificate or the passport in accordance with Chapter 5.12.

Article 12.6.8.

Recommendations for the importation of fresh meat of equids

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the fresh meat came from equids which had been subjected to ante- and post-mortem inspections as described in Chapter 6.3.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1986; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2012.
CHAPTER 12.7.

EQUINE PIROPLASMOSIS

Article 12.7.1.

General provisions

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 12.7.2.

Recommendations for the importation of equines

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of equine piroplasmosis on the day of shipment;
2) were subjected to diagnostic tests for equine piroplasmosis (*Theileria equi* and *Babesia caballi*) with negative results during the 30 days prior to shipment;
3) were maintained free from ticks, by preventive treatment when necessary, during the 30 days prior to shipment.

Article 12.7.3.

Recommendations for the importation of competition horses on a temporary basis

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should consider the possibility of importing competition horses on a temporary basis and which are positive to the testing procedure referred to in point 2) of Article 12.7.2. under the following safeguards:

1) the horses are accompanied by a passport in accordance with the model contained in Chapter 5.12.;
2) the Veterinary Authorities of importing countries require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:
   a) showed no clinical sign of equine piroplasmosis on the day of shipment;
   b) were treated against ticks within the seven days prior to shipment;
3) the horses are kept in an area where necessary precautions are taken to control ticks and that is under the direct supervision of the Veterinary Authority;
4) the horses are regularly examined for the presence of ticks under the direct supervision of the Veterinary Authority.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1982; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2007.
CHAPTER 12.8.

INFECTION WITH EQUID HERPESVIRUS-1
(EQUINE RHINOPNEUMONITIS)

Article 12.8.1.

General provisions

Equine rhinopneumonitis is a collective term for any one of several highly contagious, clinical disease entities of equids that may occur as a result of infection with equid herpesvirus-1 (EHV-1).

Infection with EHV-1 is characterised by a primary respiratory tract disease of varying severity that is related to the age and immunological status of the infected animal. Infections with EHV-1 are capable of progression beyond the respiratory mucosa to cause abortion, perinatal foal death, or neurological dysfunction.

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 12.8.2.

Recommendations for the importation of equids

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of EHV-1 infection on the day of shipment;
2) were kept for the 21 days prior to shipment in an establishment where no case of EHV-1 infection was reported during that period.

-----------------

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2014.
CHAPTER 12.9.

INFECTION WITH EQUINE ARTERITIS VIRUS

Article 12.9.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, equine viral arteritis (EVA) is defined as an infection of domestic equids with equine arteritis virus.

This chapter deals not only with the occurrence of clinical signs caused by equine arteritis virus, but also with the presence of infection with equine arteritis virus in the absence of clinical signs.

For the purposes of this chapter, isolation is defined as the separation of domestic equids from those of a different EVA health status, utilising appropriate biosecurity measures, with the objective of preventing the transmission of infection.

The infective period for EVA shall be 28 days for all categories of equids except sexually mature stallions where the infective period may be for the life of the animal. Because the infective period may be extended in the case of virus shedding in semen, the status of seropositive stallions should be checked to ensure that they do not shed virus in their semen.

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 12.9.2.

Recommendations for the importation of uncastrated male equids

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals showed no clinical sign of EVA on the day of shipment and during the 28 days prior to shipment and met one of the following requirements:

1) were isolated for the 28 days prior to shipment and were subjected to a test for EVA carried out on a single blood sample collected during the 21 days prior to shipment with a negative result; or

2) were subjected between six and nine months of age to a test for EVA:
   EITHER:
   a) with a negative result, OR
   b) with a positive result, followed at least 14 days later by a second test showing a stable or decreasing titre; and were immediately vaccinated against EVA and regularly revaccinated in accordance with the recommendations of the manufacturer; or

3) met the following requirements:
   a) were isolated; and
   b) not earlier than seven days of commencing isolation were subjected to a test for EVA on a blood sample with a negative result; and
   c) were then immediately vaccinated; and
   d) were kept separated from other equids for 21 days following vaccination; and
   e) were regularly revaccinated in accordance with the recommendations of the manufacturer; or

4) have been subjected to a test for EVA carried out on a blood sample with a positive result and then: either
   a) were subsequently test mated to two mares within six months prior to shipment which were subjected to two tests for EVA with negative results on blood samples collected at the time of test mating and again 28 days after the mating; or
   b) were subjected to a test for EVA with a negative result, carried out on semen collected during the six months prior to shipment; or
c) were subjected to a test for EVA with a negative result, carried out on semen collected within six months after the blood sample was tested, then immediately vaccinated, and regularly revaccinated in accordance with the recommendations of the manufacturer.

Article 12.9.3.

Recommendations for the importation of equids other than uncastrated males

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals showed no clinical sign of EVA on the day of shipment; and

EITHER

1) were kept in an establishment where no animals have shown any signs of EVA for the 28 days prior to shipment; and
   a) were subjected to a test for EVA carried out on blood samples collected either once within 21 days prior to shipment with a negative result, or on two occasions at least 14 days apart within 28 days prior to shipment, which demonstrated stable or declining antibody titres; or
   b) were regularly vaccinated in accordance with the recommendations of the manufacturer;

OR

2) were isolated for the 28 days prior to shipment and during this period the animals showed no sign of EVA.

Article 12.9.4.

Recommendations for the importation of equine semen

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the donors were kept for the 28 days prior to semen collection in an establishment where no equid has shown any clinical sign of EVA during that period and showed no clinical sign of EVA on the day of semen collection; and

1) were subjected between six and nine months of age to a test for EVA:
   EITHER:
   a) with a negative result,
   OR
   b) with a positive result, followed at least 14 days later by a second test showing a stable or decreasing titre;
   and were immediately vaccinated against EVA and regularly revaccinated in accordance with the recommendations of the manufacturer; or

2) were isolated and not earlier than 7 days of commencing isolation were subjected to a test for EVA on a blood sample with a negative result, immediately vaccinated for EVA, kept for 21 days following vaccination separated from other equids and regularly revaccinated in accordance with the recommendations of the manufacturer; or

3) were subjected to a test for EVA on a blood sample with a negative result within 14 days prior to semen collection, and had been separated from other equids not of an equivalent EVA status for 14 days prior to blood sampling until the end of semen collection; or

4) have been subjected to a test for EVA carried out on a blood sample with a positive result and then: either
   a) were subsequently test mated to two mares within six months prior to semen collection, which were subjected to two tests for EVA with negative results on blood samples collected at the time of test mating and again 28 days after the test mating; or
   b) were subjected to a test for EVA with a negative result, carried out on semen collected within six months prior to collection of the semen to be exported; or
   c) were subjected to a test for EVA with a negative result, carried out on semen collected within six months after the blood sample was collected, then immediately vaccinated, and regularly revaccinated; or

5) for frozen semen, were subjected with negative results either:
   a) to a test for EVA carried out on a blood sample taken not earlier than 14 days and not later than 12 months after the collection of the semen for export; or
   b) to a test for EVA carried out on an aliquot of the semen collected immediately prior to processing or on an aliquot of semen collected within 14 to 30 days after the first collection of the semen to be exported.
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Article 12.9.5.

Recommendations for the importation of in vivo derived equine embryos

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the donor animals showed no clinical sign of EVA on the day of embryo collection; and

EITHER

1) were kept in an establishment where no animals have shown any signs of EVA for the 28 days prior to collection; and
   a) were subjected to a test for EVA carried out on blood samples collected either once within 21 days prior to collection with negative results, or on two occasions at least 14 days apart within 28 days prior to collection, which demonstrated stable or declining antibody titres; or
   b) were regularly vaccinated in accordance with the recommendations of the manufacturer;

OR

2) were isolated for the 28 days prior to collection and during this period the animals showed no sign of EVA;

AND

3) semen used to fertilise the oocytes complies with the requirements in Article 12.9.4.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2014.
CHAPTER 12.10.

INFECTION WITH BURKHOLDERIA MALLEI
(GLANDERS)

Article 12.10.1.

General provisions

Equids are the major hosts and reservoirs of glanders although scientific data are not available on the occurrence of infection in zebras. Camelids, goats and various carnivores including bears, canids and felids can also be infected but play no significant role in the epidemiology of the disease. Glanders in humans is a rare but potentially fatal disease.

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, glanders is defined as an infection of equids with Burkholderia mallei with or without the presence of clinical signs.

The following defines the occurrence of infection with B. mallei:

1) B. mallei has been isolated from a sample from an equid; or
2) antigen or genetic material specific to B. mallei has been identified in a sample from an equid showing clinical or pathological signs consistent with glanders, or epidemiologically linked to a confirmed or suspected case of infection with B. mallei, or giving cause for suspicion of previous contact with B. mallei; or
3) antibodies specific to B. mallei have been identified by a testing regime appropriate to the species in a sample from an equid showing clinical or pathological signs consistent with glanders, or epidemiologically linked to a confirmed or suspected case of infection with B. mallei, or giving cause for suspicion of previous contact with B. mallei.

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the infective period of B. mallei in equids is lifelong and the incubation period shall be six months.

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 12.10.2.

Country or zone free from infection with B. mallei

A country or a zone that does not comply with point 1 a) of Article 1.4.6. may be considered free from infection with B. mallei when:

1) infection with B. mallei has been a notifiable disease in the entire country for at least the past three years;
2) there has been no case of infection with B. mallei during the past three years;
3) a surveillance programme in accordance with Article 12.10.8. has demonstrated no evidence of infection with B. mallei in the past 12 months;
4) imports of equids and their germplasm into the country or zone are carried out in accordance with this chapter.

Article 12.10.3.

Recovery of free status

When a case is detected in a previously free country or zone, freedom from infection with B. mallei can be regained after the following:

1) a standstill of equids and their germplasm from establishments affected or suspected of being affected has been imposed until the destruction of the last case;
2) an epidemiological investigation, including trace-back and trace-forward to determine the likely source of the outbreak, has been carried out;
3) a stamping-out policy, which includes at least the destruction of all infected equids and the disinfection of the affected establishments, has been applied;
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4) surveillance in accordance with Article 12.10.8. has been carried out and has demonstrated no evidence of infection in the 12 months after disinfection of the last affected establishment and during that period measures have been in place to control the movement of equids.

When the measures above are not carried out, Article 12.10.2. applies.

Article 12.10.4.

Recommendations for importation of equids from countries or zones free from infection with *B. mallei*

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the equid:

1) showed no clinical signs of infection with *B. mallei* on the day of shipment;
2) either:
   a) was kept for six months prior to shipment, or since birth, in a country or zone or countries or zones free from infection with *B. mallei*;
   b) if kept at any time in the past six months in a country or zone not free from infection with *B. mallei*, was imported in accordance with Article 12.10.5. into a country or zone free from infection with *B. mallei*.

Article 12.10.5.

Recommendations for importation of equids from countries or zones not free from infection with *B. mallei*

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the equid:

1) showed no clinical signs of infection with *B. mallei* on the day of shipment;
2) was kept for six months prior to shipment, or since birth, in an establishment where no case of infection with *B. mallei* was reported during the 12 months prior to shipment;
3) was isolated for at least 30 days prior to shipment, and during that time was subjected to a test for infection with *B. mallei* with negative result carried out on two samples taken 21 to 30 days apart.

Article 12.10.6.

Recommendations for the importation of equine semen

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) on the day of collection, the donor males:
   a) showed no clinical signs of infection with *B. mallei*;
   b) were examined clinically for signs of orchitis and cutaneous lesions on the penis or other parts of the body, with negative results;
2) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with the relevant recommendations in Chapter 4.5. and in Articles 4.6.5. to 4.6.7.

Article 12.10.7.

Recommendations for the importation of *in vivo* derived equine embryos

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor females showed no clinical signs of infection with *B. mallei* on the day of collection;
2) the embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with the relevant recommendations in Chapters 4.7. and 4.9.;
3) the semen used for embryo production complies with Article 12.10.6.
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Article 12.10.8.

General principles of surveillance

This article and Article 12.10.9. provide recommendations for surveillance for infection with B. mallei and are complementary to Chapter 1.4. The impact and epidemiology of infection with B. mallei vary in different regions of the world. The surveillance strategies employed should be adapted to the respective epidemiological situation.

Surveillance should address not only the occurrence of clinical signs caused by B. mallei, but also evidence of infection with B. mallei in the absence of clinical signs.

The surveillance systems should be designed:

– to demonstrate that equine populations in a country or zone show no evidence of infection with B. mallei; or

– to detect its introduction into a free population; or

– if B. mallei is known to be present, to allow the estimation of the prevalence and the determination of the distribution of the infection.

The surveillance system in accordance with Chapter 1.4. should be under the responsibility of the Veterinary Authority and should have in place:

1) a system for detecting and investigating outbreaks;

2) a procedure for the collection and transport of samples from suspected cases to a laboratory with appropriate testing capability for diagnosis of infection with B. mallei;

3) a system for recording, managing and analysing diagnostic, epidemiological and surveillance data;

4) a procedure for confirmation of inconclusive test results in an OIE Reference Laboratory.

Diagnosticians and those with regular contact with equids, including private veterinarians, veterinary paraprofessionals and animal handlers should report promptly any suspicion of infection with B. mallei. The reporting system efficacy should be enhanced by awareness programmes and animal identification of equids.

The Veterinary Services should implement, when relevant and taking into account the results of previous surveillance, regular and frequent clinical inspections of equids and targeted serological surveys of high-risk subpopulations or those neighbouring a country or zone infected with B. mallei.

An effective surveillance system is likely to identify suspected cases that require follow-up investigation to confirm or exclude that the cause of the condition is infection with B. mallei. All suspected cases should be investigated as soon as possible and samples should be taken and submitted to a laboratory. This requires that sampling kits and other equipment be available to those responsible for the surveillance. Details of the occurrence of suspected cases and how they were investigated and dealt with should be documented. This should include the results of diagnostic testing and the control measures to which the equids concerned or affected establishments were subjected during the investigation (quarantine, movement control, euthanasia).

Captive wild, feral and wild equine populations should be included in the surveillance.

Article 12.10.9.

Surveillance strategies

The strategy employed should be based on the current knowledge of the epidemiological situation, and the expected results of the surveillance, such as the demonstration of a supposed free status. The populations of equids subject to the surveillance can be covered by passive clinical surveillance, active investigation of suspected cases, or randomised or targeted sampling.

Because infection with B. mallei usually occurs at a very low prevalence, randomised samples should be collected in high numbers. If an increased likelihood of infection in particular geographical locations or subpopulations can be identified, targeted sampling may be more appropriate.

To substantiate freedom from infection in a country or zone, surveillance should be conducted in accordance with the relevant provisions of Article 1.4.6. The relatively high rate of occurrence of false positive reactions to tests for B. mallei should be considered and the rate at which these false positives are likely to occur should be calculated in advance. Every positive result should be investigated to determine whether it is indicative of infection or not. This involves supplementary tests, trace-back and trace-forward, and inspection of individual animals and herds for clinical signs.
Clinical or pathological surveillance and laboratory testing are complementary diagnostic approaches that should always be applied in series to clarify the status of suspected cases. Agent identification should be carried out on any equid serologically positive or showing clinical signs consistent with glanders. Any suspected cases should be considered infected until contrary evidence is produced.

1. **Clinical surveillance**
   Clinical surveillance aims at detecting clinical signs by close physical examination of equids. However, systematic clinical surveillance is of limited use only, as asymptomatic carrier animals are the main reservoir of the disease.

2. **Pathological surveillance**
   Systematic pathological surveillance is an effective approach for the detection of infection with *B. mallei* and should be conducted on dead equids on farms, at slaughterhouses/abattoirs and facilities for the disposal of carcasses of equids. Pathological findings indicating possible infection with *B. mallei* should be confirmed by agent identification and any isolate should be characterised.

3. **Serological surveillance**
   Serological surveillance for infection with *B. mallei* is the preferred strategy. Animal identification and repeated testing of the population are necessary to establish its infection status.

4. **Malleinisation**
   Frequently used as a surveillance method, malleinisation demonstrates hypersensitivity to antigens of *B. mallei*. However, this method has shortcomings, such as low sensitivity, interference with other tests and animal welfare concerns.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2018.
CHAPTER 12.11.

VENEZUELAN EQUINE ENCEPHALOMYELITIS

Article 12.11.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the infective period for Venezuelan equine encephalomyelitis (VEE) shall be 14 days, and the incubation period 5 days.

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 12.11.2.

VEE free country

A country formerly infected with VEE may be considered free when:

1) VEE is notifiable and a surveillance system is in place and provides that all VEE suspected animals are investigated promptly; specimens are collected, and all specimens are submitted for laboratory examination, including virus isolation;

2) no case of VEE has been confirmed for the past two years;

3) no equine animal has been imported from any country where VEE has been confirmed during the past two years.

If a country considered free from VEE imports horses from an infected country, the importing country will not be considered infected, provided that the importation has been carried out in accordance with Article 12.11.5.

Article 12.11.3.

Trade in commodities

Veterinary Authorities of VEE free countries may prohibit importation or transit through their territory, from countries considered infected with VEE, of domestic and wild equines, and may prohibit the importation into their territory, from countries considered infected with VEE, of semen and oocytes or embryos of domestic and wild equines.

Article 12.11.4.

Recommendations for importation from VEE free countries

For domestic and wild equines

The Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of VEE on the day of shipment;

2) have not, during the past six months, been in any country in which VEE has occurred in the last two years;

3) have not been vaccinated against VEE within 60 days prior to shipment.
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Article 12.11.5.

Recommendations for importation from countries considered infected with VEE

For domestic and wild equines

The Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) vaccinated animals:
   a) were vaccinated against VEE not less than 60 days prior to shipment and were clearly identified with a permanent mark at the time of vaccination;
   b) were kept in a quarantine station in the country of origin under official veterinary supervision for three weeks prior to shipment and remained clinically healthy during that period; any animal which showed a rise in temperature (taken daily) was subjected to a blood test for virus isolation, with negative results;
   c) were protected from insect vectors during transportation to and from the quarantine station and during the quarantine period;
   d) showed no clinical sign of VEE on the day of shipment;

2) unvaccinated animals:
   a) were kept in a quarantine station in the country of origin under official veterinary supervision for three weeks prior to shipment and remained clinically healthy during that period; any animal which showed a rise in temperature (taken daily) was subjected to a blood test for virus isolation, with negative results;
   b) were subjected to a diagnostic test for VEE with negative results conducted not less than 14 days after the commencement of quarantine;
   c) were protected from insect vectors during transportation to and from the quarantine station and during the quarantine period;
   d) showed no clinical sign of VEE on the day of shipment.

In addition, animals may be isolated in the importing country for seven days under official veterinary supervision. Any animal which shows a rise in temperature (taken daily) shall be subjected to a blood test for virus isolation.

SECTION 13.
LEPORIDAE

CHAPTER 13.1.
MYXOMATOSIS

Article 13.1.1.

General provisions
Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 13.1.2.

Recommendations for the importation of domestic rabbits
Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:
1) showed no clinical sign of myxomatosis on the day of shipment;
2) were kept since birth, or for the six months prior to shipment, in an establishment where no case of myxomatosis was officially reported during that period.

Article 13.1.3.

Recommendations for the importation of skins and fur of domestic and wild rabbits
Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the skins and fur were treated (dried and tanned) to ensure the destruction of the myxomatosis virus.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968.
CHAPTER 13.2.

RABBIT HAEMORRHAGIC DISEASE

Article 13.2.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the infective period for rabbit haemorrhagic disease (RHD) shall be 60 days. Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 13.2.2.

RHD free country

A country may be considered free from RHD when it has been shown that the disease has not been present for at least one year, that no vaccination has been carried out in the previous 12 months, and that virological or serological surveys in both domestic and wild rabbits have confirmed the absence of the disease.

This period may be reduced to six months after the last case has been eliminated and disinfection procedures completed in countries adopting a stamping-out policy, and where the serological survey confirmed that the disease had not occurred in the wild rabbits.

Article 13.2.3.

RHD free establishment

An establishment may be considered free from RHD when it has been shown, by serological testing, that the disease has not been present for at least one year, and that no vaccination has been carried out in the previous 12 months. Such establishments should be regularly inspected by the Veterinary Authority.

A previously infected establishment may be considered free when six months have elapsed after the last case has been eliminated, and after:

1) a stamping-out policy has been adopted and carcasses have been disposed of by burning;
2) the rabbitry has been thoroughly disinfected and kept empty for at least six weeks;
3) the rabbitry is properly fenced to prevent the straying of wild lagomorphs into the rabbitry.

Article 13.2.4.

Trade in commodities

Veterinary Authorities of RHD free countries may prohibit importation or transit through their territory, from countries considered infected with RHD, of live rabbits, semen, meat and non-treated pelts.

Article 13.2.5.

Recommendations for importation from RHD free countries

For domestic rabbits destined for breeding

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of RHD on the day of shipment;
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2) were kept in a RHD free country since birth or for at least the past 60 days.

Article 13.2.6.

Recommendations for importation from RHD free countries

For day-old rabbits destined for breeding

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:
1) showed no clinical sign of RHD on the day of shipment;
2) were born from female rabbits which had been kept in a country free from RHD for at least the past 60 days.

Article 13.2.7.

Recommendations for importation from countries considered infected with RHD

For domestic rabbits destined for breeding or pharmaceutical or surgical or agricultural or industrial use

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:
1) showed no clinical sign of RHD on the day of shipment;

AND
2) were kept in a RHD free establishment where no clinical case of RHD was found when inspected by an Official Veterinarian immediately prior to shipment;

OR
3) were kept in an establishment where no case of RHD was reported during the 60 days prior to shipment and no clinical case of RHD was found when inspected by an Official Veterinarian immediately prior to shipment; and
4) were kept in an establishment where no animal has been vaccinated against RHD; and
5) were kept in an establishment where breeding rabbits (at least 10% of the animals) were subjected to the serological test for RHD with negative results during the 60 days prior to shipment; and
6) have not been vaccinated against RHD; or
7) were vaccinated against RHD immediately before shipment (the nature of the vaccine used and the date of vaccination shall also be stated in the certificate).

Article 13.2.8.

Recommendations for importation from countries considered infected with RHD

For day-old rabbits destined for breeding

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:
1) were kept in a RHD free establishment where no clinical case of RHD was found when inspected by an Official Veterinarian immediately prior to shipment;

OR
2) were kept in an establishment where no case of RHD was reported during the 30 days prior to shipment and no clinical case of RHD was found when inspected by an Official Veterinarian immediately before shipment; and
3) have not been vaccinated against RHD; and
4) were born from female rabbits which were subjected to the serological test for RHD with negative results during the 60 days prior to shipment.
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Article 13.2.9.

Recommendations for importation from countries considered infected with RHD

For domestic rabbits destined for immediate slaughter

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:
1) showed no clinical sign of RHD on the day of shipment;
2) were kept in an establishment where no case of RHD was reported during the 60 days prior to shipment.

Article 13.2.10.

Recommendations for importation from countries considered infected with RHD

For semen

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the donor animals:
1) showed no clinical sign of RHD on the day of collection of the semen;
2) were subjected to the serological test for RHD with negative results during the 30 days prior to collection.

Article 13.2.11.

Recommendations for importation from countries considered infected with RHD

For domestic rabbit meat

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the meat comes from animals which:
1) were kept in an establishment where no case of RHD was reported during the 60 days prior to transport to the approved slaughterhouse/abattoir;
2) were subjected to ante-mortem inspections for RHD with favourable results;
3) showed no lesions of RHD at post-mortem inspections.

Article 13.2.12.

Recommendations for importation from RHD free countries

For non-treated pelts

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the pelts come from rabbits which had been kept in a country free from RHD for at least 60 days before slaughter.

Article 13.2.13.

Recommendations for importation from countries considered infected with RHD

For pelts

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the pelts were subjected to a drying treatment for at least one month and a formalin-based treatment by spraying at a 3% concentration, or by fumigation carried out, not more than seven days prior to shipment.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1992; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2012.
SECTION 14.
CAPRINAE

CHAPTER 14.1.
CAPRINE ARTHRITIS/ENCEPHALITIS

Article 14.1.1.

General provisions

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 14.1.2.

Recommendations for the importation of goats for breeding

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the animals showed no clinical sign of caprine arthritis/encephalitis on the day of shipment;
2) animals over one year of age were subjected to a diagnostic test for caprine arthritis/encephalitis with negative results during the 30 days prior to shipment; or
3) caprine arthritis/encephalitis was neither clinically nor serologically diagnosed in the sheep and goats present in the flocks of origin during the past three years, and also that no sheep or goat from a flock of inferior health status was introduced into these flocks during that period.

CHAPTER 14.2.

CONTAGIOUS AGALACTIA

Article 14.2.1.

Recommendations for the importation of sheep and goats

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of contagious agalactia on the day of shipment;
2) were kept since birth or for the six months prior to shipment in an establishment where no case of contagious agalactia was officially reported during that period;
3) were kept in a quarantine station for the 21 days prior to shipment.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968.
CHAPTER 14.3.

CONTAGIOUS CAPRINE PLEUROPNEUMONIA

Article 14.3.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, contagious caprine pleuropneumonia (CCPP) is defined as a disease of goats caused by Mycoplasma capricolum subspecies capripneumoniae. The incubation period for the disease shall be 45 days (chronic carriers occur).

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 14.3.2.

CCPP free country

A country may be considered free from CCPP when it has been shown that CCPP is not present and that one year has elapsed after the slaughter of the last affected animal for countries in which a stamping-out policy is practised.

Article 14.3.3.

CCPP infected zone

A zone shall be considered as infected with CCPP until at least 45 days have elapsed after the confirmation of the last case and the completion of a stamping-out policy and disinfection procedures.

Article 14.3.4.

Trade in commodities

Veterinary Authorities of CCPP free countries may prohibit importation or transit through their territory, from countries considered infected with CCPP, of domestic and wild goats, and may prohibit importation into their territory, from countries considered infected with CCPP, of semen of domestic and wild goats and of oocytes or embryos of domestic goats.

Article 14.3.5.

Recommendations for importation from CCPP free countries

For domestic goats

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of CCPP on the day of shipment;

2) were kept in a CCPP free country since birth or for at least three months.
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Article 14.3.6.

Recommendations for importation from CCPP free countries

For wild goats

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:
1) showed no clinical sign of CCPP on the day of shipment;
2) were kept in a CCPP free country;

if the animals originated from an area adjacent to a country considered infected with CCPP:
3) were kept in a quarantine station for at least the 45 days prior to shipment.

Article 14.3.7.

Recommendations for importation from countries considered infected with CCPP

For domestic goats

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:
1) showed no clinical sign of CCPP on the day of shipment;
2) were subjected to a complement fixation test for CCPP with negative results, on two occasions, with an interval of not less than 21 days and not more than 30 days between each test, the second test being performed within 14 days prior to shipment (under study);
3) were isolated from other domestic goats from the day of the first complement fixation test until shipment;
4) were kept since birth, or for at least the past 45 days, in an establishment where no case of CCPP was officially reported during that period, and that the establishment of origin was not situated in a CCPP infected zone;
5) have not been vaccinated against CCPP; or
6) were vaccinated not more than four months prior to shipment. In this case, point 2) above is not required (under study).

Article 14.3.8.

Recommendations for importation from countries considered infected with CCPP

For goats for immediate slaughter

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:
1) showed no clinical sign of CCPP on the day of shipment;
2) were kept since birth, or for at least the past 45 days, in an establishment where no case of CCPP was officially reported during that period, and that the establishment of origin was not situated in a CCPP infected zone.

Article 14.3.9.

Recommendations for importation from countries considered infected with CCPP

For wild goats

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:
1) showed no clinical sign of CCPP on the day of shipment;
2) were kept, for at least the past 45 days prior to shipment, in a quarantine station where no case of CCPP was officially reported during that period, and that the quarantine station was not situated in a CCPP infected zone;
3) have not been vaccinated against CCPP; or
4) were vaccinated not more than four months prior to shipment (under study).
Article 14.3.10.

Recommendations for importation from CCPP free countries
For oocytes or embryos of goats

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor animals:
   a) showed no clinical sign of CCPP on the day of collection;
   b) were kept in a CCPP free country;

2) the oocytes or embryos were collected in accordance with Chapters 4.7., 4.8. and 4.9., as relevant.

Article 14.3.11.

Recommendations for importation from countries considered infected with CCPP
For oocytes or embryos of goats

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor animals:
   a) showed no clinical sign of CCPP on the day of collection; and
   b) were isolated from other domestic goats from the day of the test until collection;
   c) were kept since birth, or for at least the 45 days prior to collection, in an establishment where no case of CCPP was officially reported during that period, and that the establishment of origin was not situated in a CCPP infected zone;

2) the collection fluids and/or degenerated and unfertilised oocytes were subjected to a validated culture or PCR test for CCPP with negative results;

3) the oocytes or embryos were collected in accordance with Chapters 4.7., 4.8. and 4.9., as relevant.

Article 14.3.12.

Recommendations for importation from countries considered infected with CCPP
For fresh meat of goats

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the entire consignment of fresh meat comes from animals:

1) which originate from establishments free of CCPP;

2) which have been slaughtered in an approved slaughterhouse/abattoir and have been subjected to an ante-mortem inspection for CCPP with favourable results; and

3) which showed no lesions of CCPP at the post-mortem inspection.

CHAPTER 14.4.

INFECTION WITH
CHLAMYDOPHILA ABORTUS
(ENZOOTIC ABORTION OF EWES,
OVINE CHLAMYDIOSIS)

Article 14.4.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, enzootic abortion of ewes (EAE), also known as ovine chlamydiosis or ovine enzootic abortion, is an infection of domestic sheep and goats by the bacterium Chlamydophila abortus.

Susceptible animals become infected through ingestion of infectious materials. In lambs and non-pregnant ewes, the infection remains latent until conception. Ewes exposed to infection late in pregnancy may not exhibit signs of infection until the subsequent pregnancy. Countries should take account of these risk factors.

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 14.4.2.

Recommendations for the importation of sheep or goats for breeding

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) have remained since birth, or for the previous two years, in establishments where no EAE has been diagnosed during the past two years;
2) showed no clinical sign of EAE on the day of shipment;
3) were subjected to a diagnostic test for EAE with negative results within the 30 days prior to shipment.

Article 14.4.3.

Sheep or goat flocks free from EAE infection

To qualify as free from EAE infection, a sheep or goat flock shall satisfy the following requirements:

1) it is under official veterinary surveillance;
2) all sheep and goats showed no clinical evidence of EAE infection during the past two years;
3) a statistically valid number of sheep and goats over six months of age were subjected to a diagnostic test for EAE with negative results within the past six months;
4) all sheep or goats are permanently identified;
5) no sheep or goat has been added to the flock since 30 days prior to the flock test referred to in point 3) above unless:
   a) either the additions were isolated from other members of the flock in the establishment of origin for a minimum period of 30 days and then were subjected to a diagnostic test for EAE with negative results, before entry into the new flock; or
   b) they originated from an establishment of equal health status.
Article 14.4.4.

**Recommendations for the importation of semen of sheep or goats**

*Veterinary Authorities of importing countries* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that the donor animals showed no clinical sign on the day of the semen collection; and

1) have been kept in *establishments* or *artificial insemination centres* free from EAE in accordance with Article 14.4.3. for the two years prior to collection, and have not been in contact with animals of a lower health status; or

2) have remained since birth, or for the two years prior to collection, in *establishments* where no EAE has been diagnosed and were subjected to a diagnostic test for EAE with negative results two to three weeks after collection of the semen.

Article 14.4.5.

**Recommendations for the importation of embryos of sheep or goats**

*Veterinary Authorities of importing countries* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that the donor animals showed no clinical sign on the day of collection; and

1) have been kept in *establishments* free from EAE in accordance with Article 14.4.3. for the two years prior to collection, and have not been in contact with animals of a lower health status; or

2) have remained since birth, or for the two years prior to collection, in *establishments* where no EAE has been diagnosed and were subjected to a diagnostic test for EAE with negative results two to three weeks after collection.

The embryos should be collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapter 4.7.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1992; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2013.
CHAPTER 14.5.

MAEDI-VISNA

Article 14.5.1.

General provisions

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 14.5.2.

Recommendations for the importation of sheep and goats for breeding

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the animals showed no clinical sign of maedi-visna on the day of shipment;

2) animals over one year of age were subjected to a diagnostic test for maedi-visna with negative results during the 30 days prior to shipment;

3) maedi-visna was neither clinically nor serologically diagnosed in the sheep and goats present in the flocks of origin during the past three years, and also that no sheep or goat from a flock of inferior health status was introduced into these flocks during that period.

CHAPTER 14.6.

OVINE EPIDIDYMITIS (BRUCELLA OVIS)

Article 14.6.1.

General provisions

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 14.6.2.

Sheep flock free from ovine epididymitis

To qualify as free from ovine epididymitis, a sheep flock shall satisfy the following requirements:

1) it is under official veterinary control;

2) all sheep in the flock showed no clinical evidence of ovine epididymitis during the past year;

3) all sheep in the flock are permanently identified.

If some or all the males in the flock are vaccinated, the flock should still be regarded as free.

Article 14.6.3.

Recommendations for the importation of sheep for breeding or rearing (except castrated males)

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the animals showed no clinical sign of ovine epididymitis on the day of shipment;

2) the animals come from a sheep flock free from ovine epididymitis;

3) for sheep over six months of age, the animals were isolated in the establishment of origin for the 30 days prior to shipment and were subjected to the diagnostic tests for Brucella ovis (B. ovis) with negative results; or

4) for sheep from a flock other than that stated in point 2) above, the animals were isolated prior to shipment and were subjected to the diagnostic tests for B. ovis with negative results on two occasions, with an interval of 30 to 60 days between each test, the second test being performed during the 15 days prior to shipment.
Recommendations for the importation of semen of sheep

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor animals:
   a) showed no clinical sign of ovine epididymitis on the day of collection of the semen;
   b) come from a sheep flock free from ovine epididymitis;
   c) were kept in the exporting country for the 60 days prior to collection, in an establishment or artificial insemination centre where all animals are free from ovine epididymitis;
   d) were subjected to the diagnostic tests for B. ovis with negative results during the 30 days prior to collection;

2) the semen does not contain B. ovis or other Brucella antibodies.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1982.
CHAPTER 14.7.

INFECTION WITH PESTE DES PETITS RUMINANTS VIRUS

Article 14.7.1.

General provisions

Peste des petits ruminants (PPR) susceptible animals are primarily domestic sheep and goats although cattle, camels, buffaloes and some wild ruminant species can also be infected and may act as sentinels indicating the spill over of peste des petits ruminants virus (PPRV) from domestic small ruminants. Even if some wild small ruminants can be infective, only domestic sheep and goats play a significant epidemiological role.

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, PPR is defined as an infection of domestic sheep and goats with PPRV.

This chapter deals not only with the occurrence of clinical signs caused by PPRV, but also with the presence of infection with PPRV in the absence of clinical signs.

The following defines the occurrence of PPRV infection:

1) PPRV, excluding vaccine strains, has been isolated and identified as such from a domestic sheep or goat or a product derived from it; or

2) antigen or ribonucleic acid specific to PPRV, excluding vaccine strains, has been identified in samples from a domestic sheep or goat showing clinical signs consistent with PPR, or epidemiologically linked to an outbreak of PPR, or giving cause for suspicion of association or contact with PPR; or

3) antibodies to PPRV antigens which are not the consequence of vaccination, have been identified in a domestic sheep or goat with either epidemiological links to a confirmed or suspected outbreak of PPR or showing clinical signs consistent with recent infection of PPRV.

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the incubation period for PPR shall be 21 days.

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 14.7.2.

Safe commodities

When authorising import or transit through their territory of semi-processed hides and skins (limed hides, pickled pelts, and semi-processed leather, e.g. wet blue and crust leather) which have been submitted to the usual chemical and mechanical processes in use in the tanning industry, Veterinary Authorities should not require any PPR-related conditions regardless of PPR status of the exporting country or zone.
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Article 14.7.3.

PPR free country or zone

1) The PPR status of a country or zone should be determined on the basis of the following criteria, as applicable:
   a) PPR is notifiable in the whole territory, and all clinical signs suggestive of PPR should be subjected to appropriate field or laboratory investigations;
   b) an ongoing awareness programme is in place to encourage reporting of all cases suggestive of PPR;
   c) systematic vaccination against PPR is prohibited;
   d) importation of domestic ruminants and their semen, oocytes or embryos is carried out in accordance with this chapter;
   e) the Veterinary Authority has current knowledge of, and authority over, all domestic sheep and goats in the country or zone;
   f) appropriate surveillance, capable of detecting the presence of infection even in the absence of clinical signs, is in place; this may be achieved through a surveillance programme in accordance with Articles 14.7.27. to 14.7.33.

2) To qualify for inclusion in the list of PPR free countries or zones, a Member Country should either:
   a) apply for recognition of historical freedom as described in point 1) of Article 1.4.6.; or
   b) apply for recognition of freedom and submit to the OIE:
      i) a record of regular and prompt animal disease reporting;
      ii) a declaration stating that:
         – there has been no outbreak of PPR during the past 24 months;
         – no evidence of PPRV infection has been found during the past 24 months;
         – no vaccination against PPR has been carried out during the past 24 months;
         – importation of domestic ruminants and their semen, oocytes or embryos is carried out in accordance with this chapter;
      iii) supply documented evidence that surveillance in accordance with Chapter 1.4. is in operation and that regulatory measures for the prevention and control of PPR have been implemented;
      iv) evidence that no animals vaccinated against PPR have been imported since the cessation of vaccination.

The Member Country will be included in the list only after the application and submitted evidence has been accepted by the OIE. Changes in the epidemiological situation or other significant events should be reported to the OIE in accordance with the requirements in Chapter 1.1. Retention on the list requires annual reconfirmation of point 2) above.

Article 14.7.4.

PPR free compartment

A PPR free compartment can be established in either a PPR free country or zone or in an infected country or zone. In defining such a compartment the principles of Chapters 4.3. and 4.4. should be followed. Domestic sheep and goats in the PPR free compartment should be separated from any other susceptible animals by the application of an effective biosecurity management system.

A Member Country wishing to establish a PPR free compartment should:

1) have a record of regular and prompt animal disease reporting and if not PPR free, have an official control programme and a surveillance system for PPR in place in accordance with Articles 14.7.27. to 14.7.33. that allows an accurate knowledge of the prevalence of PPR in the country or zone;

2) declare for the PPR free compartment that:
   a) there has been no outbreak of PPR during the past 24 months;
   b) no evidence of PPRV infection has been found during the past 24 months;
   c) vaccination against PPR is prohibited;
   d) no small ruminant in the compartment has been vaccinated against PPR within the past 24 months;
   e) animals, semen and embryos only enter the compartment in accordance with relevant articles in this chapter;
   f) documented evidence shows that surveillance in accordance with Articles 14.7.27. to 14.7.33. is in place;
g) an animal identification and traceability system in accordance with Chapters 4.1. and 4.2. is in place;
3) describe in detail the animal subpopulation in the compartment and the biosecurity plan for PPRV infection.

The compartment should be approved by the Veterinary Authority.

Article 14.7.5.

PPRV infected country or zone

A country or zone shall be considered as PPRV infected when the requirements for acceptance as a PPR free country or zone are not fulfilled.

Article 14.7.6.

Establishment of a containment zone within a PPR free country or zone

In the event of limited outbreaks within a PPR free country or zone, including within a protection zone, a single containment zone, which includes all cases, can be established for the purposes of minimising the impact on the entire country or zone.

For this to be achieved and for the Member Country to take full advantage of this process, the Veterinary Authority should submit documented evidence as soon as possible to the OIE that:

1) the outbreaks are limited based on the following factors:
   a) immediately on suspicion, a rapid response including notification has been made;
   b) standstill of animal movements has been imposed, and effective controls on the movement of other commodities mentioned in this chapter are in place;
   c) epidemiological investigation (trace-back, trace-forward) has been completed;
   d) the infection has been confirmed;
   e) the primary outbreak has been identified, and investigations on the likely source of the outbreak have been carried out;
   f) all cases have been shown to be epidemiologically linked;
   g) no new cases have been found in the containment zone with a minimum of two incubation periods as defined in Article 14.7.1. after the stamping-out of the last detected case is completed;

2) a stamping-out policy has been applied;

3) the susceptible animal population within the containment zones is clearly identifiable as belonging to the containment zone;

4) increased passive and targeted surveillance in accordance with Articles 14.7.27. to 14.7.33. in the rest of the country or zone has not detected any evidence of infection;

5) animal health measures that effectively prevent the spread of the PPRV to the rest of the country or zone, taking into consideration physical and geographical barriers, are in place;

6) ongoing surveillance is in place in the containment zone.

The free status of the areas outside the containment zone is suspended while the containment zone is being established.
The free status of these areas may be reinstated irrespective of Article 14.7.7., once the containment zone is clearly established, by complying with points 1) to 6) above. It should be demonstrated that commodities for international trade have originated outside the containment zone.

The recovery of the PPR free status of the containment zone should follow Article 14.7.7.

Article 14.7.7.

Recovery of free status

When a PPR outbreak or PPRV infection occurs in a PPR free country or zone and when a stamping-out policy is practised, the recovery period shall be six months after the slaughter of the last case provided that Article 14.7.32. has been complied with.
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If a stamping-out policy is not applied, Article 14.7.3. applies.

Article 14.7.8.

Recommendations for importation from PPR free countries or zones

For domestic sheep and goats

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of PPR on the day of shipment;
2) were kept in a PPR free country or zone since birth or for at least the past 21 days.

Article 14.7.9.

Recommendations for importation from PPR free countries or zones

For wild ruminants

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign suggestive of PPRV infection on the day of shipment;
2) come from a PPR free country or zone;
3) if the country or zone of origin has a common border with a country considered infected with PPRV:
   a) were captured at a distance from the border that precludes any contact with animals in an infected country, the distance should be defined in accordance with the biology of the species exported, including home range and long distance movements;
   OR
   b) were kept in a quarantine station for at least 21 days prior to shipment.

Article 14.7.10.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones considered infected with PPRV

For domestic sheep and goats

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign suggestive of PPRV infection for at least the 21 days prior to shipment;
2) either:
   a) were kept since birth, or for at least the 21 days prior to shipment, in an establishment where no case of PPR was reported during that period, and that the establishment was not situated in a PPRV infected zone; or
   b) were kept in a quarantine station for at least the 21 days prior to shipment;
3) either:
   a) were not vaccinated against PPR and were submitted to a diagnostic test for PPRV infection with negative result no more than 21 days prior to shipment; or
   b) were vaccinated against PPR with live attenuated PPRV vaccines at least 21 days prior to shipment.

Article 14.7.11.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones considered infected with PPRV

For wild ruminants

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign suggestive of PPRV infection for at least the 21 days prior to shipment;
2) were submitted to a diagnostic test for PPRV infection with negative results no more than 21 days prior to shipment;
3) were kept in a quarantine station for at least the 21 days prior to shipment.
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Article 14.7.12.

Recommendations for importation from PPR free countries or zones

For semen of domestic sheep and goats

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the donor animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of PPR on the day of the collection of the semen and during the following 21 days;

2) were kept in a PPR free country or zone for at least the 21 days prior to collection.

Article 14.7.13.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones considered infected with PPRV

For semen of domestic sheep and goats

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the donor animals:

1) showed no clinical sign suggestive of PPRV infection for at least the 21 days prior to collection of the semen and during the following 21 days;

2) were kept, for at least the 21 days prior to collection, in an establishment or artificial insemination centre where no case of PPR was reported during that period, which was not situated in a PPRV infected zone and to which no animals had been added during the 21 days prior to collection;

3) were not vaccinated against PPR and were submitted to a diagnostic test for PPRV infection with negative results at least 21 days prior to collection;

OR

4) were vaccinated against PPR with live attenuated PPRV vaccines at least 21 days prior to semen collection.


Recommendations for importation from PPR free countries or zones

For embryos of domestic sheep and goats and captive wild ruminants

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor animals were kept in an establishment located in a PPR free country or zone at least 21 days prior to embryo collection;

2) the embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.7., 4.8. and 4.9., as relevant;

3) semen of domestic sheep and goats used to fertilise the oocytes complies at least with the requirements in Article 14.7.12. or Article 14.7.13.
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Article 14.7.15.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones considered infected with PPRV

For embryos of domestic sheep and goats

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor animals:
   a) and all other animals in the establishment showed no clinical sign suggestive of PPRV infection at the time of collection and during the following 21 days;
   b) were kept, for at least the 21 days prior to collection, in an establishment where no case of PPR was reported during that period, and to which no susceptible animals had been added during the 21 days prior to collection;
   c) were not vaccinated against PPR and were subjected to a diagnostic test for PPRV infection with negative results at least 21 days prior to collection;
   OR
   d) were vaccinated against PPR with live attenuated PPRV vaccines at least 21 days prior to embryo collection;

2) the embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.7., 4.8. and 4.9., as relevant;

3) semen of domestic sheep and goats used to fertilise the oocytes complies at least with the requirements in Article 14.7.12. or Article 14.7.13.

Article 14.7.16.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones considered infected with PPRV

For embryos of captive wild ruminants

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor animals:
   a) showed no clinical sign suggestive of infection with PPRV for at least the 21 days prior to embryo collection;
   b) were not vaccinated against PPR and were subjected to a diagnostic test for PPRV infection with negative results at least 21 days prior to collection;
   c) were kept, for at least the 21 days prior to collection, in an establishment where no case of PPR or of infection with PPRV was reported during that period, and to which no susceptible animals had been added during the 21 days prior to collection;

2) the embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.7., 4.8. and 4.9., as relevant.

Article 14.7.17.

Recommendations for importation of fresh meat and meat products from sheep and goats

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the entire consignment of meat comes from animals which:

1) showed no clinical sign of PPR within 24 hours before slaughter;

2) have been slaughtered in an approved slaughterhouse/abattoir and have been subjected to ante- and post-mortem inspections with favourable results.

Article 14.7.18.

Recommendations for importation from PPR free countries or zones

For milk and milk products from sheep and goats

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that these products come from animals which have been kept in a PPR free country or zone for at least the 21 days prior to milking.
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Article 14.7.19.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones considered infected with PPRV

For milk from sheep and goats

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:
1) the milk:
   a) originates from flocks which were not subjected to any restrictions due to PPR at the time of milk collection; OR
   b) has been processed to ensure the destruction of the PPRV in accordance with one of the procedures referred to in Articles 8.8.35. and 8.8.36.;
2) the necessary precautions were taken to avoid contact of the products with any potential source of PPRV.

Article 14.7.20.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones considered infected with PPRV

For milk products from sheep and goats

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:
1) these products are derived from milk complying with the requirements of Article 14.7.19.;
2) the necessary precautions were taken after processing to avoid contact of the milk products with any potential source of PPRV.

Article 14.7.21.

Recommendations for importation from PPR free countries or zones

For products of sheep and goats, other than milk, fresh meat and their products

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the products are derived from animals:
1) which have been kept in a PPR free country or zone since birth or for at least the past 21 days;
2) which have been slaughtered in an approved slaughterhouse/abattoir and have been subjected to ante- and post-mortem inspections with favourable results.

Article 14.7.22.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones considered infected with PPRV

For meal and flour from blood, meat, defatted bones, hooves, claws and horns from sheep and goats

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:
1) the products were processed using heat treatment to a minimum internal temperature of 70°C for at least 30 minutes;
2) the necessary precautions were taken after processing to avoid contact of the commodities with any potential source of PPRV.

Article 14.7.23.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones considered infected with PPRV

For hooves, claws, bones and horns, hunting trophies and preparations destined for museums from sheep and goats

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:
1) the products were completely dried and had no trace on them of skin, flesh or tendon or were adequately disinfected; and
2) the necessary precautions were taken after processing to avoid contact of the commodities with any potential source of PPRV.

Article 14.7.24.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones considered infected with PPRV

For wool, hair, raw hides and skins from sheep and goats

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the products were adequately processed in accordance with one of the procedures referred to in Article 8.8.34. in premises controlled and approved by the Veterinary Authority of the exporting country;
2) the necessary precautions were taken after processing to avoid contact of the commodities with any potential source of PPRV.

Article 14.7.25.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones considered infected with PPRV

For products of animal origin from sheep and goats intended for pharmaceutical or surgical use

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that these products:

1) come from animals which were slaughtered in an approved slaughterhouse/abattoir and have been subjected to ante- and post-mortem inspections with favourable results;
2) were processed to ensure the destruction of the PPRV in accordance with one of the procedures referred to in Article 8.8.26. or in Articles 8.8.31. to 8.8.34. as appropriate and in premises controlled and approved by the Veterinary Authority of the exporting country.


Procedures for the inactivation of the PPRV in casings of sheep and goats

For the inactivation of PPRV in casings of sheep and goats, the following procedures should be used: treatment for at least 30 days either with dry salt (NaCl) or with saturated brine (aw < 0.80), or with phosphate supplemented salt containing 86.5% NaCl, 10.7% Na₂HPO₄ and 2.8% Na₃PO₄ (weight/weight/weight), either dry or as a saturated brine (aw < 0.80), and kept at a temperature of 20°C or more during this entire period.

Article 14.7.27.

Introduction to surveillance

Articles 14.7.27. to 14.7.33. define the principles and provide a guide for the surveillance of PPR in accordance with Chapter 1.4. applicable to Member Countries seeking recognition of country or zonal freedom from PPR. Guidance is provided for Member Countries seeking reestablishment of freedom following an outbreak and for the maintenance of PPR free status.

Surveillance strategies employed for demonstrating freedom from PPR at an acceptable level of confidence should be adapted to the local situation. Outbreaks of PPR may vary in severity with differing clinical presentations believed to reflect variations in host resistance and variations in the virulence of the attacking strain. Experience has shown that surveillance based on a predefined set of clinical signs (e.g. searching for ‘pneumo-enteritis syndrome’) increases the sensitivity of the system. In the case of peracute cases the presenting sign may be sudden death. In the case of sub-acute (mild) cases, clinical signs are displayed irregularly and are difficult to detect.

Where they exist, susceptible domestic species, and feral populations of these species, should be included in the design of the surveillance strategy.

Surveillance for PPR should be in the form of a continuing programme designed to establish that the whole country or zone is free from PPRV infection.
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Article 14.7.28.

General conditions and methods for surveillance

1) **A surveillance system** in accordance with Chapter 1.4. should be under the responsibility of the *Veterinary Authority*. A procedure should be in place for the rapid collection and transport of samples from suspected cases to a **laboratory** for PPR diagnosis.

2) The PPR **surveillance** programme should:
   a) include an early warning system throughout the production, marketing and processing chain for reporting suspected cases. Farmers and workers who have day-to-day contact with livestock, as well as diagnosticians, should report promptly any suspicion of PPR. They should be supported directly or indirectly (e.g. through private *veterinarians* or *veterinary paraprofessionals*) by government information programmes and the *Veterinary Authority*. All significant epidemiological events consistent with PPR, such as pneumo-enteritis syndrome, should be reported and investigated immediately. Where suspicion cannot be resolved by epidemiological and clinical investigation, samples should be taken and submitted to a **laboratory**. This requires that sampling kits and other equipment be available to those responsible for surveillance. Personnel responsible for surveillance should be able to call for assistance from a team with expertise in PPR diagnosis and control;
   b) implement, when relevant, regular and frequent clinical inspection and serological testing of high-risk groups of animals, such as those adjacent to a PPRV infected country.

An effective surveillance system will periodically identify animals with signs suggestive of PPR that require follow-up and investigation to confirm or exclude that the cause of the condition is PPRV. The rate at which such suspected cases are likely to occur will differ between epidemiological situations and cannot therefore be predicted reliably. Applications for freedom from PPRV infection should, in consequence, provide details of the occurrence of suspected cases and how they were investigated and dealt with. This should include the results of laboratory testing and the control measures to which the animals concerned were subjected during the investigation (quarantine, movement stand-still orders, etc.).

Article 14.7.29.

Surveillance strategies

1. **Clinical surveillance**
   Clinical surveillance aims to detect clinical signs of PPR by close physical examination. Clinical surveillance and epidemiological investigations are the cornerstone of all surveillance systems and should be supported by additional strategies such as virological and serological surveillance. Clinical surveillance may be able to provide a high level of confidence of detection of disease if sufficiently large numbers of clinically susceptible animals are examined. It is essential that clinical cases detected be followed up by the collection of appropriate samples such as ocular and nasal swabs, blood or other tissues for virus isolation or virus detection by other means. Sampling units within which suspicious animals are detected should be classified as infected until fully investigated.

   Active search for clinical disease can include participatory disease searching, tracing backwards and forwards, and follow-up investigations. Participatory surveillance is a form of targeted active surveillance based upon methods to capture livestock owners’ perceptions on the prevalence and patterns of disease.

   The labour requirements and the logistical difficulties involved in conducting clinical examinations should be taken into account.

   PPRV isolates may be sent to an OIE Reference Laboratory for further characterisation.

2. **Virological surveillance**
   Given that PPR is an acute infection with no known carrier state, virological surveillance should only be conducted as a follow-up to clinically suspected cases.

3. **Serological surveillance**
   Serological surveillance aims to detect antibodies against PPRV. Positive antibody test results can have four possible causes:
   a) natural infection with PPRV;
   b) vaccination against PPR;
   c) maternal antibodies derived from an immune dam (maternal antibodies in small ruminants can be found only up to six months of age);
d) heterophile (cross) and other non-specific reactions.

It may be possible to use serum collected for other survey purposes for PPR surveillance. However, the principles of survey design described in this chapter and the requirement for a statistically valid survey for the presence of PPRV should not be compromised.

The discovery of clustering of seropositive reactions should be foreseen. It may reflect any of a series of events, including but not limited to the demographics of the population sampled, vaccinal exposure or the presence of field strain infection. As clustering may signal field strain infection, the investigation of all instances must be incorporated in the survey design.

The results of random or targeted serological surveys are important in providing reliable evidence that PPRV infection is not present in a country or zone. It is therefore essential that the survey be adequately documented.

Article 14.7.30.

Surveillance in wildlife

Where a population of a susceptible wildlife species may act as sentinels indicating the spill over of PPRV from domestic sheep and goats, serosurveillance data should be collected.

Obtaining meaningful data from surveillance in wildlife can be enhanced by close coordination of activities in a region. Both purposive and opportunistic samplings are used to obtain material for analysis in national or reference laboratories. The latter are required because many countries do not have adequate facilities to perform the full testing protocol for detecting antibodies against PPRV in wildlife sera.

Targeted sampling is the preferred method to provide wildlife data to evaluate the status of infection with PPRV. In reality, the capacity to perform wildlife sampling is minimal in most countries. However, samples can be obtained from hunted animals, and these may provide useful background information.

Article 14.7.31.

Additional surveillance requirements for Member Countries applying for OIE recognition of PPR free status

The strategy and design of the surveillance programme will depend on the prevailing epidemiological circumstances in and around the country or zone and should be planned and implemented in accordance with the conditions for status recognition described in Article 14.7.3. and methods in this chapter, to demonstrate absence of PPRV infection during the preceding 24 months. This requires the support of a laboratory able to undertake identification of PPRV infection through virus, antigen or viral nucleic acid detection and antibody tests.

The target population for surveillance aimed at identifying disease and infection should cover significant populations within the country or zone to be recognised as free from PPRV infection.

The strategy employed should be based on an appropriate combination of randomised and targeted sampling requiring surveillance consistent with demonstrating the absence of PPRV infection at an acceptable level of statistical confidence. The frequency of sampling should be dependent on the epidemiological situation. Risk-based approaches (e.g. based on the increased likelihood of infection in particular localities or species) may be appropriate to refine the surveillance strategy. The Member Country should justify the surveillance strategy chosen as adequate to detect the presence of PPRV infection in accordance with Chapter 1.4. and the epidemiological situation. It may, for example, be appropriate to target clinical surveillance at particular subpopulations likely to exhibit clear clinical signs.

Consideration should be given to the risk factors for the presence of PPRV, including:

1) historical disease patterns;
2) critical population size, structure and density;
3) livestock husbandry and farming systems;
4) movement and contact patterns, such as market and other trade-related movements;
5) virulence and infectivity of the strain.

The sample size selected for testing should be large enough to detect infection if it were to occur at a predetermined minimum rate. The sample size and predetermined minimum disease prevalence determine the level of confidence in the results of the survey. The applicant Member Country should justify the choice of design, minimum prevalence and confidence level based on the objectives of surveillance and the epidemiological situation, in accordance with
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Chapter 1.4. Selection of the minimum prevalence in particular should be based on the prevailing or historical epidemiological situation.

Irrespective of the survey design selected, the sensitivity and specificity of the diagnostic tests employed are key factors in the design, sample size determination and interpretation of the results obtained.

Irrespective of the testing system employed, surveillance design should anticipate the occurrence of false positive reactions. If the characteristics of the testing system are known, the rate at which these false positives are likely to occur can be calculated in advance. There should be an effective procedure for following-up positives to subsequently determine with a high level of confidence, whether they are indicative of infection or not. This should involve both supplementary tests and follow-up investigation to collect diagnostic material from the original sampling unit as well as herds or flocks which may be epidemiologically linked to it.

The principles involved in surveillance for disease or infection are technically well defined in Chapter 1.4. The design of surveillance programmes to demonstrate the absence of PPRV infection should be carefully followed to ensure the reliability of results. The design of any surveillance programme, therefore, requires inputs from professionals competent and experienced in this field.

Article 14.7.32.

Additional surveillance requirements for recovery of free status

Following an outbreak of PPR in a Member Country at any time after recognition of PPR freedom, the origin of the virus strain should be thoroughly investigated. In particular it is important to determine if this is due to the re-introduction of virus or re-emergence from an undetected focus of infection. Ideally, the virus should be isolated and compared with historical strains from the same area as well as those representatives of other possible sources.

After elimination of the outbreak, a Member Country wishing to regain the free status should undertake surveillance in accordance with this chapter to demonstrate the absence of PPRV infection.

Article 14.7.33.

The use and interpretation of serological tests for serosurveillance of PPR

Serological testing is an appropriate tool to use for PPR surveillance where vaccination has not been practised. There is only one serotype of virus and the tests will detect antibodies elicited by infection with all PPRV but the tests cannot discriminate between antibodies against field infection and those from vaccination with attenuated vaccines. This fact compromises serosurveillance in vaccinated populations and meaningful serosurveillance can only commence once vaccination has ceased for several years. Antibodies against virulent and vaccine strains of PPRV can be detected in small ruminants from about 14 days post infection or vaccination and peak around 30 to 40 days. Antibodies then persist for many years, possibly for life, although titres decline with time.

It is necessary to demonstrate that positive serological results have been adequately investigated.

Article 14.7.34.

OIE endorsed official control programme for PPR

The objective of an OIE endorsed official control programme for PPR is for Member Countries to progressively improve the situation in their territories and eventually attain free status for PPR.

Member Countries may, on a voluntary basis, apply for endorsement of their official control programme for PPR when they have implemented measures in accordance with this article.

For a Member Country’s official control programme for PPR to be endorsed by the OIE, the Member Country should:
1) submit documented evidence on the capacity of its Veterinary Services to control PPR; this evidence can be provided by countries following the OIE PVS Pathway;
2) submit documentation indicating that the official control programme for PPR is applicable to the entire territory (even if it is on a zonal basis);
3) have a record of regular and prompt animal disease reporting in accordance with the requirements in Chapter 1.1;
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4) submit a dossier on the status of PPR in the country describing the following:
   a) the general epidemiology of PPR in the country highlighting the current knowledge and gaps;
   b) the measures implemented to prevent introduction of infection, the rapid detection of, and response to, all PPR outbreaks in order to reduce the incidence of outbreaks and to eliminate virus circulation in domestic sheep and goats in at least one zone in the country;
   c) the main livestock production systems and movement patterns of sheep and goats and their products within and into the country and, where applicable, the specific zone(s);
5) submit a detailed plan of the programme to control and eventually eradicate PPR in the country or zone including:
   a) the timeline for the programme;
   b) the performance indicators that will be used to assess the efficacy of the control measures;
6) have evidence that PPR surveillance is in place, taking into account the provisions in Chapter 1.4. and the provisions on surveillance in this chapter;
7) where vaccination is practised as a part of the official control programme for PPR, provide evidence (such as copies of legislation) that vaccination of sheep and goats in the country or zone is compulsory;
8) if applicable, provide detailed information on vaccination campaigns, in particular on:
   a) the strategy that is adopted for the vaccination campaign;
   b) monitoring of vaccination coverage, including serological monitoring of population immunity;
   c) serosurveillance in other susceptible species, including wildlife to serve as sentinels for PPRV circulation in the country;
   d) disease surveillance in sheep and goat populations;
   e) the proposed timeline for the transition to the cessation of the use of vaccination in order to enable demonstration of absence of virus circulation;
10) provide an emergency preparedness and contingency response plan to be implemented in case of PPR outbreak(s).

The Member Country’s official control programme for PPR will be included in the list of programmes endorsed by the OIE only after the submitted evidence has been accepted by the OIE. Retention on the list requires an annual update on the progress of the official control programme and information on significant changes concerning the points above. Changes in the epidemiological situation and other significant events should be reported to the OIE in accordance with the requirements in Chapter 1.1.

The OIE may withdraw the endorsement of the official control programme if there is evidence of:
– non-compliance with the timelines or performance indicators of the programme; or
– significant problems with the performance of the Veterinary Services; or
– an increase in the incidence of PPR that cannot be addressed by the programme.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1986; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2016.
CHAPTER 14.8.

SCRAPIE

Article 14.8.1.

General provisions and safe commodities

Scrapie is a neurodegenerative disease of sheep and goats. The main mode of transmission is from mother to offspring immediately after birth and to other susceptible neonates exposed to the birth fluids and tissues of an infected animal. Transmission occurs at a much lower frequency to adults exposed to the birth fluids and tissues of an infected animal. A variation in genetic susceptibility of sheep has been recognised. The incubation period of the disease is variable; however, it is usually measured in years. The duration in incubation period can be influenced by a number of factors including host genetics and strain of agent.

Scrapie is not considered to pose a risk to human health. The recommendations in this chapter are intended to manage the animal health risks associated with the presence of the scrapie agent in sheep and goats. The chapter excludes so-called ‘atypical’ scrapie because this condition is clinically, pathologically, biochemically and epidemiologically unrelated to ‘classical’ scrapie, may not be contagious and may, in fact, be a spontaneous degenerative condition of older sheep.

1) When authorising import or transit of the following commodities derived from sheep or goats and any products made from these commodities and containing no other tissues from sheep or goats, Veterinary Authorities should not require any scrapie-related conditions, regardless of the scrapie risk status of the sheep and goat populations of the exporting country, zone or compartment:
   a) in vivo derived sheep embryos handled in accordance with Chapter 4.7.;
   b) meat (excluding materials as referred to in Article 14.8.12.);
   c) hides and skins;
   d) gelatine;
   e) collagen prepared from hides or skins;
   f) tallow (maximum level of insoluble impurities of 0.15% in weight) and derivatives made from this tallow;
   g) dicalcium phosphate (with no trace of protein or fat);
   h) wool or fibre.

2) When authorising import or transit of other commodities listed in this chapter, Veterinary Authorities should require the conditions prescribed in this chapter relevant to the scrapie risk status of the sheep and goat populations of the exporting country, zone or compartment.

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 14.8.2.

Determination of the scrapie status of the sheep and goat populations of a country, zone, compartment or establishment

The scrapie status of the sheep and goat populations of a country, zone, compartment or establishment should be determined on the basis of the following criteria:

1) the outcome of a risk assessment identifying all potential factors for scrapie occurrence and their historic perspective, in particular the:
   a) importation or introduction of sheep and goats or their semen, in vivo derived goat embryos or in vitro processed sheep and goat oocytes or embryos potentially infected with scrapie;
   b) extent of knowledge of the population structure and husbandry practices of sheep and goats;
   c) feeding practices, including consumption of meat-and-bone meal or greaves derived from ruminants;
   d) importation of milk and milk products of sheep or goats origin intended for use in feeding of sheep and goats;
2) an ongoing awareness programme for veterinarians, farmers, and workers involved in transportation, marketing and slaughter of sheep and goats to facilitate recognition and encourage reporting of all animals with clinical signs compatible with scrapie;

3) a surveillance and monitoring system including the following:
   a) official veterinary surveillance, reporting and regulatory control in accordance with Chapter 1.4.;
   b) a Veterinary Authority with current knowledge of, and authority over, all establishments which contain sheep and goats in the whole country;
   c) compulsory notification and clinical investigation of sheep and goats showing clinical signs compatible with scrapie;
   d) examination, in accordance with the Terrestrial Manual, in a laboratory of appropriate material from sheep and goats older than 18 months displaying clinical signs compatible with scrapie;
   e) maintenance of records including the number and results of all investigations for at least seven years.

Article 14.8.3.

Scrapie free country or zone

Countries or zones may be considered free from scrapie if within the said territory:

1) a risk assessment, as described in point 1) of Article 14.8.2., has been conducted, and it has been demonstrated that appropriate measures are currently in place and have been taken for the relevant period of time to manage any risk identified and points 2) and 3) have been complied with for the preceding seven years;

AND

2) one of the following conditions should be met:
   a) the country or the zone has demonstrated historical freedom as follows:
      i) scrapie has been notifiable for at least 25 years; and
      ii) a formal programme of targeted surveillance and monitoring, which includes testing of sheep and goats displaying clinical signs compatible with scrapie and those over 18 months of age slaughtered, culled or found dead on farm, can be documented as having been in place for at least 10 years; and
      iii) appropriate measures to prevent scrapie introduction can be documented as having been in place for at least 25 years; and
         – either scrapie has never been reported; or
         – no case of scrapie has been reported for at least 25 years;
   b) for at least seven years, sheep and goats displaying clinical signs compatible with scrapie have been tested. Also a sufficient number of sheep and goats over 18 months of age, representative of slaughtered, culled or found dead on farm, have been tested annually, to provide a 95% level of confidence of detecting scrapie if it is present in that population at a prevalence rate exceeding 0.1% and no case of scrapie has been reported during this period; or
   c) all establishments containing sheep or goats have been accredited free as described in Article 14.8.5.;

AND

3) the feeding to sheep and goats of meat-and-bone meal or greaves of ruminant origin has been banned and effectively enforced in the whole country for at least seven years;

AND

4) introductions of sheep and goats or their semen, in vivo derived goat embryos or in vitro processed sheep and goat oocytes or embryos from countries or zones not free from scrapie are carried out in accordance with Articles 14.8.6., 14.8.7., 14.8.8. or 14.8.9., as relevant.

Article 14.8.4.

Compartment free from scrapie

To qualify as a compartment free from scrapie, all sheep and goats in a compartment should be certified by the Veterinary Authority as satisfying the following requirements:

1) all establishments within the compartment are free from scrapie in accordance with Article 14.8.5.;
2) all establishments within the compartment are managed under a common biosecurity plan protecting them from introduction of scrapie, and the compartment has been approved by the Veterinary Authority in accordance with Chapters 4.3. and 4.4.;

3) introductions of sheep and goats are allowed only from free establishments or free countries;

4) introductions of in vivo derived goat embryos and in vitro processed sheep and goat oocytes or embryos are allowed either from free establishments or in accordance with Article 14.8.9.;

5) sheep and goat semen should be introduced into the compartment in accordance with Article 14.8.8.;

6) sheep and goats in the compartment should have no direct or indirect contact, including shared grazing, with sheep or goats from establishments not within the compartment.

Article 14.8.5.

Scrapie free establishment

To qualify as free from scrapie, an establishment of sheep and goats should satisfy the following requirements:

1) in the country or zone where the establishment is situated, the following conditions are fulfilled:
   a) the disease is compulsorily notifiable;
   b) an awareness, surveillance and monitoring system as referred to in Article 14.8.2. is in place;
   c) affected sheep and goats are killed and completely destroyed;
   d) the feeding to sheep and goats of meat-and-bone meal or greaves of ruminant origin has been banned and effectively enforced in the whole country for at least seven years;
   e) an official accreditation scheme is in operation under the supervision of the Veterinary Authority, including the measures described in point 2) below;

2) in the establishment the following conditions have been complied with for at least seven years:
   a) sheep and goats are permanently identified and records maintained, to enable trace back to their establishment of birth;
   b) records of movements of sheep and goats in and out of the establishment are maintained;
   c) introductions of sheep and goats are allowed only from free establishments or establishment at an equal or higher stage in the process of accreditation;
   d) introduction of in vivo derived goat embryos and in vitro processed sheep and goat oocytes or embryos should comply with Article 14.8.9.;
   e) sheep and goat semen should be introduced into the establishment in accordance with Article 14.8.8.;
   f) an Official Veterinarian inspects sheep and goats in the establishments and audits the records at least once a year;
   g) no case of scrapie has been reported;
   h) sheep and goats of the establishments should have no direct or indirect contact, including shared grazing, with sheep or goats from establishments of a lower status;
   i) all culled sheep and goats over 18 months of age are inspected by an Official Veterinarian, and a proportion of those exhibiting wasting signs and all those exhibiting neurological signs are tested in a laboratory for scrapie. The selection of the sheep and goats to be tested should be made by the Official Veterinarian. Sheep and goats over 18 months of age that have died or have been killed for reasons other than routine slaughter should also be tested (including ‘fallen’ stock and those sent for emergency slaughter).

Article 14.8.6.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones not considered free from scrapie

For sheep and goats for breeding or rearing

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals come from an establishment free from scrapie as described in Article 14.8.5.
Chapter 14.8. - Scrapie

Article 14.8.7.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones not considered free from scrapie
For sheep and goats for slaughter
Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:
1) in the country or zone:
   a) the disease is compulsorily notifiable;
   b) an awareness, surveillance and monitoring system as referred to in Article 14.8.2. is in place;
   c) affected sheep and goats are killed and completely destroyed;
2) the sheep and goats selected for export showed no clinical sign of scrapie on the day of shipment.

Article 14.8.8.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones not considered free from scrapie
For semen of sheep and goats
Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:
1) the donor animals:
   a) are permanently identified to enable trace back to their establishment of origin;
   b) showed no clinical sign of scrapie at the time of semen collection;
2) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.

Article 14.8.9.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones not considered free from scrapie
For in vivo derived goat embryos and in vitro processed sheep and goat oocytes or embryos
Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:
1) in the country or zone:
   a) the disease is compulsorily notifiable;
   b) an awareness, surveillance and monitoring system as referred to in Article 14.8.2. is in place;
   c) affected sheep and goats are killed and completely destroyed;
   d) the feeding to sheep and goats of meat-and-bone meal or greaves of ruminant origin has been banned and effectively enforced in the whole country;
2) the donor animals either have been kept since birth in a free establishment, or meet the following conditions:
   a) are permanently identified to enable trace back to their establishment of origin;
   b) have been kept since birth in establishments in which no case of scrapie had been confirmed during their residency;
   c) showed no clinical sign of scrapie at the time of oocyte or embryo collection;
3) the oocytes or embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.7., 4.8. and 4.9., as relevant.

Article 14.8.10.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones not considered free from scrapie
For milk and milk products of sheep or goat origin intended for use in feeding of sheep and goats
Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the milk and milk products come from scrapie free establishments.
Article 14.8.11.

Recommendations on meat-and-bone meal

Meat-and-bone meal containing any sheep or goat protein, or any feedstuffs containing that type of meat-and-bone meal, which originate from countries not considered free of scrapie should not be traded between countries for ruminant feeding.

Article 14.8.12.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones not considered free from scrapie

For skulls including brains, ganglia and eyes, vertebral column including ganglia and spinal cord, tonsils, thymus, spleen, intestine, adrenal gland, pancreas, or liver, and protein products derived therefrom, from sheep and goats

1) These commodities should not be traded for use in ruminant feed.

2) For purposes other than ruminant feeding, Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:
   a) in the country or zone:
      i) the disease is compulsorily notifiable;
      ii) an awareness, surveillance and monitoring system as referred to in Article 14.8.2. is in place;
      iii) affected sheep and goats are killed and completely destroyed;
   b) the materials come from sheep and goats that showed no clinical sign of scrapie on the day of slaughter.


Recommendations for the importation of ovine and caprine materials destined for the preparation of biologicals

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the products originate from sheep and goats born and raised in a scrapie free country, zone or establishment.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 2002; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2011.
CHAPTER 14.9.

SHEEP POX AND GOAT POX

Article 14.9.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the incubation period for sheep pox and goat pox shall be 21 days.

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 14.9.2.

Sheep pox and goat pox free country

A country may be considered free from sheep pox and goat pox when it has been shown that sheep pox and goat pox has not been present for at least the past three years.

This period shall be six months after the slaughter of the last affected animal for countries in which a stamping-out policy is practised with or without vaccination against sheep pox and goat pox.

Article 14.9.3.

Sheep pox and goat pox infected zone

A zone shall be considered as infected with sheep pox and/or goat pox until:

1) at least 21 days have elapsed after the confirmation of the last case and the completion of a stamping-out policy and disinfection procedures; or
2) six months have elapsed after the clinical recovery or death of the last affected animal if a stamping-out policy was not practised.

Article 14.9.4.

Trade in commodities

Veterinary Authorities of sheep pox and goat pox free countries may prohibit importation or transit through their territory, from countries considered infected with sheep pox and goat pox, of domestic sheep and goats.

Article 14.9.5.

Recommendations for importation from sheep pox and goat pox free countries

For domestic sheep and goats

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of sheep pox or goat pox on the day of shipment;
2) were kept in a sheep pox and goat pox free country since birth or for at least the past 21 days.
Article 14.9.6.

**Recommendations for importation from countries considered infected with sheep pox and goat pox**

**For domestic sheep and goats**

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of sheep pox or goat pox on the day of shipment;
2) were kept since birth, or for the past 21 days, in an establishment where no case of sheep pox and goat pox was officially reported during that period, and that the establishment was not situated in a sheep pox and goat pox infected zone; or
3) were kept in a quarantine station for the 21 days prior to shipment;
4) have not been vaccinated against sheep pox and goat pox; or
5) were vaccinated using a vaccine complying with the standards described in the Terrestrial Manual not less than 15 days and not more than 4 months prior to shipment (the nature of the vaccine used, whether inactivated or modified live virus, and the virus types and strains included in the vaccine shall also be stated in the certificate).

Article 14.9.7.

**Recommendations for importation from sheep pox and goat pox free countries**

**For semen of sheep and goats**

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the donor animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of sheep pox or goat pox on the day of collection of the semen and for the following 21 days;
2) were kept in a sheep pox and goat pox free country.

Article 14.9.8.

**Recommendations for importation from countries considered infected with sheep pox and goat pox**

**For semen of sheep and goats**

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the donor animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of sheep pox or goat pox on the day of collection of the semen and for the following 21 days;
2) were kept in the exporting country for the 21 days prior to collection, in an establishment or artificial insemination centre where no case of sheep pox and goat pox was officially reported during that period, and that the establishment or artificial insemination centre was not situated in a sheep pox and goat pox infected zone;
3) have not been vaccinated against sheep pox and goat pox; or
4) were vaccinated using a vaccine complying with the standards described in the Terrestrial Manual (the nature of the vaccine used, whether inactivated or modified live virus, and the virus types and strains included in the vaccine shall also be stated in the certificate).
Article 14.9.9.

Recommendations for importation from countries considered infected with sheep pox and goat pox

For skins, fur, wool and hair (from sheep or goats)

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that these products:

1) come from animals which have not been kept in a sheep pox and goat pox infected zone; or
2) have been processed to ensure the destruction of the sheep pox and goat pox virus, in premises controlled and approved by the Veterinary Authority of the exporting country.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1986.
SECTION 15.
SUIDAE

CHAPTER 15.1.
INFECTION WITH AFRICAN SWINE FEVER VIRUS

Article 15.1.1.

General provisions

Suids are the only natural non-arthropod hosts for African swine fever virus (ASFV). These include all varieties of Sus scrofa (pig), both domestic and wild, and African wild suid species including warthogs (Phacochoerus spp.), bushpigs (Potamochoerus spp.) and the giant forest hog (Hylochoerus meinertzhageni).

For the purposes of this chapter, a distinction is made among:
- domestic and captive wild pigs, permanently captive or farmed free range, used for the production of meat, or other commercial products or use, or for breeding;
- wild and feral pigs;
- African wild suid species.

All varieties of Sus scrofa are susceptible to the pathogenic effects of ASFV, while the African wild suids are not and may act as reservoirs of the virus. Ticks of the genus Ornithodoros are the only known natural arthropod hosts of the virus and act as reservoirs and biological vectors.

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, African swine fever (ASF) is defined as an infection of suids with ASFV.

The following defines the occurrence of infection with ASFV:
1) ASFV has been isolated from samples from a suid;
OR
2) antigen or nucleic acid specific to ASFV has been detected in samples from a suid showing clinical signs or pathological lesions suggestive of ASF or epidemiologically linked to a suspected or confirmed case of ASF, or from a suid giving cause for suspicion of previous association or contact with ASFV;
OR
3) antibodies specific to ASFV have been identified in samples from a suid showing clinical signs or pathological lesions consistent with ASF, or epidemiologically linked to a suspected or confirmed case of ASF, or giving cause for suspicion of previous association or contact with ASFV.

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the incubation period in Sus scrofa shall be 15 days.

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 15.1.2.

General criteria for the determination of the ASF status of a country, zone or compartment

1) ASF is a notifiable disease in the entire country, and all suids showing clinical signs suggestive of ASF are subjected to appropriate field and laboratory investigations;
2) an ongoing awareness programme is in place to encourage reporting of all suids showing signs suggestive of ASF;

3) the Veterinary Authority has current knowledge of, and authority over, all domestic and captive wild pig herds in the country, zone or compartment;

4) the Veterinary Authority has current knowledge of the species of wild and feral pigs and African wild suids present, their distribution and habitat in the country or zone;

5) for domestic and captive wild pigs, an appropriate surveillance programme in accordance with Articles 15.1.27. to 15.1.30. and 15.1.32. is in place;

6) for wild and feral pigs, and for African wild suids, if present in the country or zone, a surveillance programme is in place in accordance with Article 15.1.31., considering the presence of natural and artificial boundaries, the ecology of the wild and feral pig and African wild suid populations and an assessment of the likelihood of ASF spread including taking into account the presence of Ornithodoros ticks where relevant;

7) the domestic and captive wild pig populations are separated by appropriate biosecurity, effectively implemented and supervised, from the wild and feral pig and African wild suid populations, based on the assessed likelihood of spread within the wild and feral pig and African wild suid populations, and surveillance in accordance with Article 15.1.31.; they are also protected from Ornithodoros ticks where relevant.

Commodities of domestic or captive wild pigs can be traded safely in accordance with the relevant articles of this chapter from countries complying with the provisions of this article, even if they notify infection with ASFV in wild or feral pigs or African wild suids.

Article 15.1.3.

Country or zone free from ASF

1. Historical freedom
   A country or zone may be considered free from ASF without pathogen-specific surveillance if the provisions of point 1 a) of Article 1.4.6. are complied with.

2. Freedom in all suids
   A country or zone which does not meet the conditions of point 1) above may be considered free from ASF when it complies with all the criteria of Article 15.1.2. and when:
   a) surveillance in accordance with Articles 15.1.27. to 15.1.32. has been in place for the past three years;
   b) there has been no case of infection with ASFV during the past three years; this period can be reduced to 12 months when the surveillance has demonstrated no evidence of presence or involvement of Ornithodoros ticks;
   c) pig commodities are imported in accordance with Articles 15.1.7. to 15.1.20.

3. Freedom in domestic and captive wild pigs
   A country or zone which does not meet the conditions of point 1) or point 2) above may be considered free from ASF in domestic and captive wild pigs when it complies with all the criteria of Article 15.1.2. and when:
   a) surveillance in accordance with Articles 15.1.27. to 15.1.32. has been in place for the past three years;
   b) there has been no case of infection with ASFV in domestic or captive wild pigs during the past three years; this period can be reduced to 12 months when the surveillance has demonstrated no evidence of presence or involvement of Ornithodoros ticks;
   c) pigs and pig commodities are imported in accordance with Articles 15.1.7. to 15.1.20.

Article 15.1.4.

Compartment free from ASF

The establishment of compartment free from ASF should follow the relevant requirements of this chapter and the principles in Chapters 4.3. and 4.4.
Article 15.1.5.

Establishment of a containment zone within a country or zone free from ASF

In the event of limited outbreaks of ASF within a country or zone previously free from ASF, including within a protection zone, a containment zone, which includes all outbreaks, may be established for the purposes of minimising the impact on the entire country or zone.

In addition to the requirements for the establishment of a containment zone outlined in point 3) of Article 4.3.3., the surveillance programme should take into account the presence and potential role of Ornithodoros ticks and of wild and feral pigs and African wild suids and any measures in place to avoid their dispersion.

The free status of the areas outside the containment zone is suspended while the containment zone is being established. The free status of these areas outside the containment zone may be reinstated irrespective of the provisions of Article 15.1.6., once the containment zone is clearly established. It should be demonstrated that commodities for international trade either have originated outside the containment zone or comply with the provisions in Articles 15.1.8., 15.1.10., 15.1.12. and Articles 15.1.14. to 15.1.20.

The recovery of the free status of the containment zone should follow the provisions of Article 15.1.6.

Article 15.1.6.

Recovery of free status

Should an outbreak of ASF occur in a previously free country or zone, its status may be restored three months after the disinfection of the last infected establishment, provided that:

1) a stamping-out policy has been implemented and, in the case where ticks are suspected or known to be involved in the epidemiology of the infection, has been followed by the use of sentinel pigs in the infected establishments for two months;

2) surveillance in accordance with Article 15.1.30. has been carried out with negative results.

Otherwise, the provisions of point 2) of Article 15.1.3. apply.

Article 15.1.7.

Recommendations for importation from countries, zones or compartments free from ASF

For domestic and captive wild pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the animals showed no clinical sign of ASF on the day of shipment;

2) the animals were kept in a country, zone or compartment free from ASF since birth or for at least the past three months;

3) if the animals are exported from a free zone or compartment within an infected country or infected zone, necessary precautions were taken to avoid contact with any source of ASFV until shipment.

Article 15.1.8.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones not free from ASF

For domestic and captive wild pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of ASF on the day of shipment;

2) and either:
   a) were kept since birth or for the past three months in a compartment free from ASF; or
   b) were kept in a quarantine station, isolated for 30 days prior to shipment, and were subjected to a virological test and a serological test performed at least 21 days after entry into the quarantine station, with negative results.
Chapter 15.1. - Infection with African swine fever virus

Article 15.1.9.

Recommendations for importation from countries, zones or compartments free from ASF

For semen of domestic and captive wild pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor males:
   a) were kept in a country, zone or compartment free from ASF since birth or for at least three months prior to collection;
   b) showed no clinical sign of ASF on the day of collection of the semen;
2) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.

Article 15.1.10.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones not free from ASF

For semen of domestic and captive wild pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor males:
   a) were kept since birth or for at least three months prior to collection in an establishment, in which surveillance in accordance with Articles 15.1.27. to 15.1.29. demonstrates that no case of ASF has occurred in the past three years; this period can be reduced to 12 months when the surveillance demonstrates that there is no evidence of tick involvement in the epidemiology of the infection;
   b) showed no clinical sign of ASF on the day of collection of the semen;
2) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.

Article 15.1.11.

Recommendations for importation from countries, zones or compartments free from ASF

For in vivo derived embryos of domestic pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor females:
   a) were kept in a country, zone or compartment free from ASF since birth or for at least three months prior to collection;
   b) showed no clinical sign of ASF on the day of collection of the embryos;
2) the semen used to fertilise the oocytes complied with the conditions referred to in Article 15.1.9. or Article 15.1.10., as relevant;
3) the embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with the relevant provisions of Chapters 4.7. and 4.9.

Article 15.1.12.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones not free from ASF

For in vivo derived embryos of domestic pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor females:
   a) were kept since birth or for at least three months prior to collection in an establishment, in which surveillance in accordance with Articles 15.1.27. to 15.1.29. demonstrates that no case of ASF has occurred in the past
three years; this period can be reduced to 12 months when the surveillance demonstrates that there is no evidence of tick involvement in the epidemiology of the infection;

b) showed no clinical sign of ASF on the day of collection of the embryos;

c) were subjected to a serological test performed at least 21 days after collection, with negative results;

2) the semen used to fertilise the oocytes complied with the conditions referred to in Article 15.1.9. or Article 15.1.10., as relevant;

3) the embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with the relevant provisions of Chapters 4.7. and 4.9.

Article 15.1.13.

Recommendations for importation from countries, zones or compartments free from ASF

For fresh meat of domestic and captive wild pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the entire consignment of fresh meat comes from animals which:

1) have been kept in a country, zone or compartment free from ASF since birth or have been imported or introduced in accordance with Article 15.1.7. or Article 15.1.8.;

2) have been slaughtered in an approved slaughterhouse/abattoir, where they have been subjected with favourable results to ante- and post-mortem inspections in accordance with Chapter 6.3.

Article 15.1.14.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones not free from ASF

For fresh meat of domestic and captive wild pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the entire consignment of fresh meat comes from animals which originated from herds in which surveillance in accordance with Articles 15.1.27. to 15.1.29. demonstrates that no case of ASF has occurred in the past three years. This period can be reduced to 12 months when the surveillance demonstrates that there is no evidence of tick involvement in the epidemiology of the infection. In addition, samples from a statistically representative number of animals were tested for ASF, with negative results;

2) the entire consignment of fresh meat comes from animals which have been slaughtered in an approved slaughterhouse/abattoir, have been subjected with favourable results to ante- and post-mortem inspections in accordance with Chapter 6.3.;

3) necessary precautions have been taken after slaughter to avoid contact of the fresh meat with any source of ASFV.

Article 15.1.15.

Recommendations for importation of fresh meat of wild and feral pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the entire consignment of fresh meat comes from animals which:

1) have been killed in a country or zone free from ASF in accordance with point 1) or point 2) of Article 15.1.3.;

2) have been subjected with favourable results to a post-mortem inspection in accordance with Chapter 6.3. in an examination facility approved by the Veterinary Authority for export purposes.
Chapter 15.1.- Infection with African swine fever virus

Article 15.1.16.

Recommendations for the importation of meat products of pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the products:

1) have been prepared:
   a) exclusively from fresh meat meeting the relevant conditions in Articles 15.1.13., 15.1.14. and 15.1.15.;
   b) in a processing facility:
      i) approved by the Veterinary Authority for export purposes;
      ii) processing only meat meeting the relevant conditions in Article 15.1.13. or Article 15.1.15.;

Or
2) have been processed in a facility approved by the Veterinary Authority for export purposes so as to ensure the destruction of ASFV in accordance with Article 15.1.22., and that the necessary precautions were taken after processing to avoid contact of the product with any source of ASFV.

Article 15.1.17.

Recommendations for the importation of bristles from pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that bristles:

1) originated from domestic or captive wild pigs in a country, zone or compartment free from ASF and have been processed in a facility approved by the Veterinary Authority for export purposes; or

2) have been processed in a facility approved by the Veterinary Authority for export purposes so as to ensure the destruction of ASFV in accordance with one of the processes listed in Article 15.1.25., and that the necessary precautions were taken after processing to avoid contact of the product with any source of ASFV.

Article 15.1.18.

Recommendations for the importation of litter and manure from pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that these products:

1) originated from domestic or captive wild pigs in a country, zone or compartment free from ASF; or

2) have been processed in a facility approved by the Veterinary Authority for export purposes so as to ensure the destruction of the ASFV in accordance with one of the processes listed in Article 15.1.26., and that the necessary precautions were taken after processing to avoid contact of the product with any source of ASFV.

Article 15.1.19.

Recommendations for the importation of skins and trophies from suids

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the products:

1) originated from suids in a country or zone free from ASF in accordance with point 1) or point 2) of Article 15.1.3. and have been processed in a facility approved by the Veterinary Authority for export purposes; or

2) originated from domestic or captive wild pigs in a country, zone or compartment free from ASF and have been processed in a facility approved by the Veterinary Authority for export purposes; or

3) have been processed in a facility approved by the Veterinary Authority for export purposes so as to ensure the destruction of ASFV in accordance with one of the procedures referred to in Article 15.1.24., and that the necessary precautions were taken after processing to avoid contact of the product with any source of ASFV.
Article 15.1.20.

Recommendations for the importation of other pig products

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that these products:
1) originated from domestic or captive wild pigs in a country, zone or compartment free from ASF and have been prepared in a processing facility approved by the Veterinary Authority for export purposes;

OR
2) have been processed in a facility approved by the Veterinary Authority for export purposes so as to ensure the destruction of ASFV, and that the necessary precautions were taken after processing to avoid contact of the product with any source of ASFV.

Article 15.1.21.

Procedures for the inactivation of ASFV in swill

For the inactivation of ASFV in swill, one of the following procedures should be used:
1) the swill is maintained at a temperature of at least 90°C for at least 60 minutes, with continuous stirring; or
2) the swill is maintained at a temperature of at least 121°C for at least 10 minutes at an absolute pressure of 3 bar; or
3) the swill is subjected to an equivalent treatment that has been demonstrated to inactivate ASFV.

Article 15.1.22.

Procedures for the inactivation of ASFV in meat

For the inactivation of ASFV in meat, one of the following procedures should be used:

1. Heat treatment
   Meat should be subjected to one of the following:
   a) heat treatment in a hermetically sealed container with a Fo value of 3.00 or more; or
   b) heat treatment for at least 30 minutes at a minimum temperature of 70°C, which should be reached throughout the meat.

2. Dry cured pig meat
   Meat should be cured with salt and dried for a minimum of six months.

Article 15.1.23.

Procedures for the inactivation of ASFV in casings of pigs

For the inactivation of ASFV in casings of pigs, the following procedures should be used: treating for at least 30 days either with dry salt (NaCl) or with saturated brine (Aw < 0.80), or with phosphate supplemented dry salt containing 86.5% NaCl, 10.7% Na₂HPO₄ and 2.8% Na₃PO₄ (weight/weight/weight) at a temperature of 12°C or above.

Article 15.1.24.

Procedures for the inactivation of ASFV in skins and trophies

For the inactivation of ASFV in skins and trophies, one of the following procedures should be used:
1) boiling in water for an appropriate time so as to ensure that any matter other than bone, tusks or teeth is removed; or
2) soaking, with agitation, in a 4% (w/v) solution of washing soda (sodium carbonate-Na₂CO₃) maintained at pH 11.5 or above for at least 48 hours; or
3) soaking, with agitation, in a formic acid solution (100 kg salt [NaCl] and 12 kg formic acid per 1,000 litres water) maintained below pH 3.0 for at least 48 hours; wetting and dressing agents may be added; or

4) in the case of raw hides, treating for at least 28 days with salt (NaCl) containing 2% washing soda (sodium carbonate-Na₂CO₃); or

5) treatment with 1% formalin for a minimum of six days.

Article 15.1.25.

**Procedures for the inactivation of ASFV in bristles**

For the inactivation of ASFV in bristles for industrial use, one of the following procedures should be used:

1) boiling for at least 30 minutes;

2) immersion for at least 24 hours in a 1% solution of formaldehyde.

Article 15.1.26.

**Procedures for the inactivation of ASFV in litter and manure from pigs**

For the inactivation of ASFV in litter and manure of pigs, one of the following procedures should be used:

1) moist heat treatment for at least one hour at a minimum temperature of 55°C;

2) moist heat treatment for at least 30 minutes at a minimum temperature of 70°C.

Article 15.1.27.

**Introduction to surveillance**

Articles 15.1.27. to 15.1.32. provide recommendations for surveillance for ASF, and are complementary to Chapters 1.4. and 1.5. The impact and epidemiology of ASF may vary in different regions of the world, as does the routine biosecurity in different production systems. The surveillance strategies employed for determining ASF status should be adapted to the situation. The approach used should take into account the presence of wild or feral pigs or African wild suids, the presence of Ornithodoros ticks, and the presence of ASF in adjacent countries or zones.

Surveillance for ASF should be in the form of an ongoing programme designed to establish that susceptible populations in a country, zone or compartment are free from infection with ASFV or to detect the introduction of ASFV into a free population. Consideration should be given to the specific characteristics of ASF epidemiology which include:

- the role of swill feeding;
- the impact of different systems of production of domestic and captive wild pigs;
- the role of wild and feral pigs and African wild suids on the maintenance and spread of the disease;
- whether Ornithodoros ticks are present and the role they may play in the maintenance and spread of the disease;
- the lack of pathognomonic gross lesions and clinical signs;
- the occurrence of carriers;
- the genotypic variability of ASFV.

Article 15.1.28.

**General conditions and methods for surveillance**

1) A surveillance system in accordance with Chapter 1.4. and under the responsibility of the Veterinary Authority should address the following:

   a) a formal and ongoing system for detecting and investigating cases of ASF;

   b) a procedure for the rapid collection and transport of samples from suspected cases to a laboratory;

   c) appropriate laboratory testing capability for ASF diagnosis;

   d) a system for recording, managing and analysing diagnostic and surveillance data.
2) The ASF surveillance programme should:
   a) include an early detection system throughout the production, marketing and processing chain for reporting suspected cases. Diagnosticians and those with regular contact with pigs should report promptly any suspicion of ASF to the Veterinary Authority. The reporting system under the Veterinary Authority should be supported directly or indirectly (e.g. through private veterinarians or veterinary paraprofessionals) by government or private sector awareness programmes targeted to all relevant stakeholders. Personnel responsible for surveillance should be able to seek expertise in ASF diagnosis, epidemiological evaluation and control;
   b) conduct, when relevant, regular and frequent clinical inspections and laboratory testing of high-risk groups (for example, where swill feeding is practised), or those adjacent to an ASF infected country or zone (for example, bordering areas where infected wild and feral pigs or African wild suids are present).

Article 15.1.29.

Surveillance strategies

1. Introduction
   The population covered by surveillance aimed at detecting disease and infection should include domestic, captive wild, wild and feral suid populations within the country or zone. Surveillance should be composed of random and non-random approaches using clinical, virological and serological methods appropriate for the infection status of the country or zone.
   
   The strategy employed to establish the prevalence or absence of infection with ASFV may be based on randomised or non-randomised clinical investigation or sampling at an acceptable level of statistical confidence. If an increased likelihood of infection in particular localities or subpopulations can be identified, targeted sampling may be an appropriate strategy. This may include:
   a) specific high-risk wild and feral suid populations and their proximity;
   b) farms which feed swill;
   c) pigs reared outdoors.
   
   Risk factors may include, for example, temporal and spatial distribution of past outbreaks, and pig movements and demographics.
   
   Member Countries should review their surveillance strategies whenever an increase in the risk of incursion of ASFV is perceived. Such changes include but are not limited to:
   - an emergence or an increase in the prevalence of ASF in countries or zones from which live pigs or products are imported;
   - an increase in the prevalence of ASF in wild or feral suids in the country or zone;
   - an increase in the prevalence of ASF in adjacent countries or zones;
   - an increased entry of, or exposure to, infected wild or feral suid populations from adjacent countries or zones;
   - evidence of involvement of ticks in the epidemiology of ASF as demonstrated by surveillance implemented in accordance with Chapter 1.5.

2. Clinical surveillance
   Clinical surveillance is the most effective tool for detecting ASF due to severe clinical signs and pathology associated with infection with ASFV. However, due to the clinical similarity with other diseases such as classical swine fever, porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome and erysipelas, and those associated with porcine circovirus 2 infection, clinical surveillance should be supplemented, as appropriate, by serological and virological surveillance.
   
   Clinical signs and pathological findings are useful for early detection; in particular, any cases where clinical signs or lesions suggestive of ASF are accompanied by high mortality should be investigated without delay.
   
   Wild and feral suids rarely present the opportunity for clinical observation, but should form part of any surveillance scheme and should, ideally, be monitored for virus as well as antibodies.

3. Virological surveillance
   Virological surveillance is important for early detection, differential diagnosis and for systematic sampling of target populations. It should be conducted:
   a) to investigate clinically suspected cases;
   b) to monitor at risk populations;
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c) to follow up positive serological results;
d) to investigate increased mortality when ASF cannot be ruled out;
e) to confirm eradication after a stamping-out policy has been applied.

Molecular detection methods can be applied to large-scale screening for the presence of virus. If targeted at high-risk groups, they provide an opportunity for early detection that can considerably reduce the subsequent spread of ASFV. Epidemiological understanding of the pathways of spread of ASFV can be greatly enhanced by molecular analyses of viruses in endemic areas and those involved in outbreaks in areas previously free from ASF. Therefore, ASFV isolates should be sent to an OIE Reference Laboratory for further characterisation.

4. Serological surveillance

Serology is an effective and efficient surveillance tool. Serological surveillance aims at detecting antibodies against ASFV. Positive ASFV antibody test results can indicate an ongoing or past outbreaks, since some animals may recover and remain seropositive for a significant period, possibly life. This may include carrier animals. However, ASF serology is not suitable for early detection.

It may be possible to use sera collected for other survey purposes for ASF surveillance. However, the principles of survey design and the requirement for statistical validity should not be compromised.

Article 15.1.30.

Surveillance for recovery of free status

In addition to the general conditions described in Articles 15.1.3. and 15.1.6., a Member Country seeking recovery of free status for the entire country or a zone, including for a containment zone, should show evidence of an active surveillance programme to demonstrate no evidence of infection with ASFV.

The domestic and captive wild pig populations should undergo regular clinical and pathological examinations and virological and serological testing, planned and implemented according to the general conditions and methods described in this chapter.

This surveillance programme should include:
1) establishments in the proximity of the outbreaks;
2) establishments epidemiologically linked to the outbreaks;
3) animals moved from or used as sentinels or to repopulate affected establishments;
4) all establishments where contiguous culling has been carried out;
5) wild and feral suid populations in the area of the outbreaks.

Article 15.1.31.

Surveillance for ASFV in wild and feral pigs and African wild suids

1) The objective of a surveillance programme is either to demonstrate that infection with ASFV is not present in wild and feral suids or, if known to be present, to estimate the geographical distribution of the infection.

Surveillance in wild and feral suids presents additional challenges including:

a) determination of the distribution, size and movement patterns of the wild and feral suid population;
b) relevance and practicality of assessing the possible presence of infection with ASFV in the population;
c) determination of the practicability of establishing a zone taking into account the degree of interaction with domestic and captive wild pigs within the proposed zone.

The geographic distribution and estimated size of wild and feral suid populations should be assessed as a prerequisite for designing a population monitoring system following Chapter 1.4.

2) For implementation of the surveillance programme, the limits of the area over which wild and feral pigs range should be defined. Subpopulations of wild and feral suids may be separated from each other by natural or artificial barriers.

3) The surveillance programme may include animals found dead, road kills, animals showing abnormal behaviour and hunted animals, and may also include awareness campaigns targeted at hunters and farmers.

4) There may be situations where a more targeted surveillance programme can provide additional assurance. The criteria to define high risk areas for targeted surveillance include:

a) areas with past history of ASF;
b) subregions with large populations of wild or feral pigs or African wild suids;
c) border regions with ASF affected countries or zones;
d) interface between wild and feral pig populations, and domestic and captive wild pig populations;
e) areas with farms with free-ranging and outdoor pigs;
f) areas with a high level of hunting activity, where animal dispersion and feeding as well as inappropriate disposal of waste can occur;
g) other risk areas determined by the Veterinary Authority such as ports, airports, garbage dumps and picnic and camping areas.

Article 15.1.32.

Surveillance for arthropod vectors

Vector surveillance aims at defining the type and distribution of ticks of the genus *Ornithodoros*. Any species of *Ornithodoros* should be considered to be a potential vector or reservoir of ASFV. The virus is generally transmitted transstadially. Transovarial transmission has been observed only in ticks of the *Ornithodoros moubata* complex.

The Veterinary Authority should have knowledge of the presence, distribution and identity of *Ornithodoros*, taking into account climatic or habitat changes that may affect distribution.

When vector surveillance is considered necessary, a sampling plan in accordance with Chapter 1.5. should take into account the biology and ecology of species present and, in particular, the favoured habitat of these species in burrows and structures associated with pig production. The plan should also take into account the distribution and density of pigs in the country or zone.

Sampling methods include CO₂ trapping and flagging, and vacuuming of burrows or structures.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2017.
CHAPTER 15.2.

INFECTION WITH CLASSICAL SWINE FEVER VIRUS

Article 15.2.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, classical swine fever (CSF) is defined as an infection of pigs with classical swine fever virus (CSFV).

The following defines infection with CSFV:
1) a strain of CSFV (excluding vaccine strains) has been isolated from samples from a pig;
OR
2) viral antigen (excluding vaccine strains) has been identified, or viral ribonucleic acid specific to a strain of CSFV has been demonstrated to be present, in samples from one or more pigs epidemiologically linked to a confirmed or suspected outbreak of CSF, or giving cause for suspicion of previous association or contact with CSFV, with or without clinical signs consistent with CSF;
OR
3) virus specific antibodies to CSFV that are not a consequence of vaccination or infection with other pestiviruses, have been identified in samples from one or more pigs in a herd showing clinical signs consistent with CSF, or epidemiologically linked to a confirmed or suspected outbreak of CSF, or giving cause for suspicion of previous association or contact with CSFV.

The pig is the only natural host for CSFV. The definition of pig includes all varieties of Sus scrofa, both domestic and wild. For the purposes of this chapter, a distinction is made between:
– domestic and captive wild pigs, permanently captive or farmed free range, used for the production of meat, or other commercial products or use, or for breeding these categories of pigs;
– wild and feral pigs.

Pigs exposed to CSFV prenatally may be persistently infected throughout life and may have an incubation period of several months before showing signs of disease. Pigs exposed postnatally have an incubation period of 2–14 days, and are usually infective between post-infection days 5 and 14, but up to 3 months in cases of chronic infections.

A Member Country should not impose bans on the trade in commodities of domestic and captive wild pigs in response to a notification of infection with CSFV in wild and feral pigs provided that Article 15.2.2. is implemented.

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 15.2.2.

General criteria for the determination of the CSF status of a country, zone or compartment

1) CSF is notifiable in the whole territory, and all pigs showing clinical signs suggestive of CSF are subjected to appropriate field or laboratory investigations;
2) an ongoing awareness programme is in place to encourage reporting of all cases suggestive of CSF;
3) the Veterinary Authority has current knowledge of, and authority over, all domestic and captive wild pig herds in the country, zone or compartment;
4) the Veterinary Authority has current knowledge about the population and habitat of wild and feral pigs in the country or zone;
5) for domestic and captive wild pigs, appropriate surveillance in accordance with Articles 15.2.26. to 15.2.32. is in place;
6) for wild and feral pigs, if present in the country or zone, a surveillance programme is in place in accordance with Article 15.2.31., taking into account the presence of natural and artificial boundaries, the ecology of the wild and feral pig population, and an assessment of the risks of disease spread.
7) Based on the assessed risk of spread within the wild and feral pig population and in accordance with Article 15.2.29., the domestic and captive wild pig population should be separated from the wild and feral pig population by appropriate measures.

Article 15.2.3.

CSF free country or zone

A country or zone may be considered free from CSF when Article 15.2.2. is complied with, and when:

1) surveillance in accordance with Articles 15.2.26. to 15.2.32. has been in place for at least 12 months;
2) there has been no outbreak of CSF in domestic and captive wild pigs during the past 12 months;
3) no evidence of infection with CSFV has been found in domestic and captive wild pigs during the past 12 months;
4) no vaccination against CSF has been carried out in domestic and captive wild pigs during the past 12 months unless there are means, validated in accordance with Chapter 2.8.3. of the Terrestrial Manual, of distinguishing between vaccinated and infected pigs;
5) imported pigs and pig commodities comply with the requirements in Articles 15.2.7. to 15.2.14.

The country or the proposed free zone will be included in the list of CSF free countries or zones only after the submitted evidence, based on Chapter 1.9., has been accepted by the OIE.

Retention on the list requires that the information in points 1) to 5) above be re-submitted annually and changes in the epidemiological situation or other significant events should be reported to the OIE in accordance with the requirements in Chapter 1.1.

Article 15.2.4.

CSF free compartment

The bilateral recognition of a CSF free compartment should follow the relevant requirements of this chapter and the principles laid down in Chapters 4.3. and 4.4.

Article 15.2.5.

Establishment of a containment zone within a CSF free country or zone

In the event of limited outbreaks or cases of CSF within a CSF free country or zone, including within a protection zone, a containment zone, which includes all outbreaks, can be established for the purposes of minimising the impact on the entire country or zone.

For this to be achieved and for the Member Country to take full advantage of this process, the Veterinary Authority should submit documented evidence as soon as possible to the OIE.

In addition to the requirements for the establishment of a containment zone outlined in point 3) of Article 4.3.3., the surveillance programme should take into consideration the involvement of wild and feral pigs and measures to avoid their dispersion.

The free status of the areas outside the containment zone is suspended while the containment zone is being established. The free status of these areas may be reinstated irrespective of Article 15.2.6., once the containment zone is clearly established. It should be demonstrated that commodities for international trade have originated outside the containment zone.

In the event of the recurrence of CSF in the containment zone, the approval of the containment zone is withdrawn.

The recovery of the CSF free status of the containment zone should follow Article 15.2.6.
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Article 15.2.6.

Recovery of free status

Should a CSF outbreak occur in a free country or zone, the free status may be restored where surveillance in accordance with Articles 15.2.26. to 15.2.32. has been carried out with negative results either:

1) three months after the last case where a stamping-out policy without vaccination is practised;

OR

2) where a stamping-out policy with emergency vaccination is practised:
   a) three months after the last case and the slaughter of all vaccinated animals, or
   b) three months after the last case without the slaughter of vaccinated animals where there are means, validated in accordance with Chapter 2.8.3. of the Terrestrial Manual, of distinguishing between vaccinated and infected pigs;

OR

3) where a stamping-out policy is not practised, Article 15.2.3. should be followed.

The country or zone will regain CSF free status only after the submitted evidence, based on Chapter 1.9., has been accepted by the OIE.

Article 15.2.7.

Recommendations for importation from countries, zones or compartments free from CSF

For domestic and captive wild pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of CSF on the day of shipment;
2) were kept in a country, zone or compartment free from CSF since birth or for at least the past three months;
3) have not been vaccinated against CSF, nor are they the progeny of vaccinated sows, unless there are means, validated in accordance with Chapter 2.8.3. of the Terrestrial Manual, of distinguishing between vaccinated and infected pigs.

Article 15.2.8.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones considered infected with CSFV

For domestic and captive wild pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of CSF on the day of shipment;
2) were kept since birth or for the past three months in a CSF free compartment;
3) have not been vaccinated against CSF nor are they the progeny of vaccinated sows, unless there are means, validated in accordance with Chapter 2.8.3. of the Terrestrial Manual, of distinguishing between vaccinated and infected pigs.

Article 15.2.9.

Recommendations for the importation of wild and feral pigs

Regardless of the CSF status of the country of origin, Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of CSF on the day of shipment;
2) were kept in a quarantine station for 40 days prior to shipment, and were subjected to a virological test and a serological test performed at least 21 days after entry into the quarantine station, with negative results;
3) have not been vaccinated against CSF, unless there are means, validated in accordance with Chapter 2.8.3. of the Terrestrial Manual, of distinguishing between vaccinated and infected pigs.
Article 15.2.10.

Recommendations for importation from countries, zones or compartments free from CSF

For semen of domestic and captive wild pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor animals:
   a) were kept in a country, zone or compartment free from CSF since birth or for at least three months prior to collection;
   b) showed no clinical sign of CSF on the day of collection of the semen;
2) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.

Article 15.2.11.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones considered infected with CSFV

For semen of domestic and captive wild pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor animals:
   a) were kept in a compartment free from CSF since birth or for at least three months prior to collection;
   b) showed no clinical sign of CSF on the day of collection of the semen and for the following 40 days;
   c) met one of the following conditions:
      i) have not been vaccinated against CSF and were subjected to a serological test performed at least 21 days after collection, with negative results; or
      ii) have been vaccinated against CSF and were subjected to a serological test performed at least 21 days after collection and it has been conclusively demonstrated that any antibody is due to the vaccine; or
      iii) have been vaccinated against CSF and were subjected to a virological test performed on a sample taken on the day of collection and it has been conclusively demonstrated that the boar is negative for virus genome;
2) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.

Article 15.2.12.

Recommendations for importation from countries, zones or compartments free from CSF

For in vivo derived embryos of domestic pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor females showed no clinical sign of CSF on the day of collection of the embryos;
2) the embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.7. and 4.9., as relevant.
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Article 15.2.13.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones considered infected with CSFV

For in vivo derived embryos of domestic pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor females:
   a) were kept in a compartment free from CSF since birth or for at least three months prior to collection;
   b) showed no clinical sign of CSF on the day of collection of the embryos and for the following 40 days;
   c) and either:
      i) have not been vaccinated against CSF and were subjected, with negative results, to a serological test performed at least 21 days after collection; or
      ii) have been vaccinated against CSF and were subjected to a serological test performed at least 21 days after collection and it has been conclusively demonstrated by means, validated in accordance with Chapter 2.8.3. of the Terrestrial Manual, that any antibody is due to the vaccine;

2) the embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.7. and 4.9., as relevant.

Article 15.2.14.

Recommendations for importation from countries, zones or compartments free from CSF

For fresh meat of domestic and captive wild pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the entire consignment of fresh meat comes from animals which:

1) have been kept in a country, zone or compartment free from CSF, or which have been imported in accordance with Article 15.2.7. or Article 15.2.8.;

2) have been slaughtered in an approved slaughterhouse/abattoir, have been subjected to ante- and post-mortem inspections in accordance with Chapter 6.3. and have been found free from any sign suggestive of CSF.

Article 15.2.15.

Recommendations for the importation of fresh meat of wild and feral pigs

Regardless of the CSF status of the country of origin, Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the entire consignment of fresh meat comes from animals:

1) which have been subjected to a post-mortem inspection in accordance with Chapter 6.3. in an approved examination centre, and have been found free from any sign suggestive of CSF;

2) from each of which a sample has been collected and has been subjected to a virological test and a serological test for CSF, with negative results.

Article 15.2.16.

Recommendations for the importation of meat and meat products of pigs intended for use in animal feeding, for agricultural or industrial use, or for pharmaceutical or surgical use

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the products:

1) have been prepared:
   a) exclusively from fresh meat meeting the conditions laid down in Article 15.2.14.;
   b) in a processing establishment:
      i) approved by the Veterinary Authority for export purposes;
      ii) processing only meat meeting the conditions laid down in Article 15.2.14.;
2) have been processed in an establishment approved by the Veterinary Authority for export purposes so as to ensure the destruction of the CSFV in accordance with one of the procedures referred to in Article 15.2.23., and that the necessary precautions were taken after processing to avoid contact of the product with any source of CSFV.

Article 15.2.17.

Recommendations for the importation of pig products not derived from fresh meat intended for use in animal feeding

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the products:

1) originated from domestic and captive wild pigs in a CSF free country, zone or compartment and have been prepared in a processing establishment approved by the Veterinary Authority for export purposes; or

2) have been processed in an establishment approved by the Veterinary Authority for export purposes so as to ensure the destruction of the CSFV in accordance with Article 15.2.22., and that the necessary precautions were taken after processing to avoid contact of the product with any source of CSFV.

Article 15.2.18.

Recommendations for the importation of pig products not derived from fresh meat intended for agricultural or industrial use

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the products:

1) originated from domestic and captive wild pigs in a CSF free country, zone or compartment and have been prepared in a processing establishment approved by the Veterinary Authority for export purposes; or

2) have been processed in an establishment approved by the Veterinary Authority for export purposes so as to ensure the destruction of the CSFV, and that the necessary precautions were taken after processing to avoid contact of the product with any source of CSFV.

Article 15.2.19.

Recommendations for the importation of bristles

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the products:

1) originated from domestic and captive wild pigs in a CSF free country, zone or compartment and have been prepared in a processing establishment approved by the Veterinary Authority for export purposes; or

2) have been processed in an establishment approved by the Veterinary Authority for export purposes so as to ensure the destruction of the CSFV, and that the necessary precautions were taken after processing to avoid contact of the product with any source of CSFV.

Article 15.2.20.

Recommendations for the importation of litter and manure

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the products:

1) originated from domestic and captive wild pigs in a CSF free country, zone or compartment and have been prepared in a processing establishment approved by the Veterinary Authority for export purposes; or

2) have been processed in an establishment approved by the Veterinary Authority for export purposes so as to ensure the destruction of the CSFV, and that the necessary precautions were taken after processing to avoid contact of the product with any source of CSFV.
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Article 15.2.21.

Recommendations for the importation of skins and trophies

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the products:

1) originated from domestic and captive wild pigs in a CSF free country, zone or compartment and have been prepared in a processing establishment approved by the Veterinary Authority for export purposes; or

2) have been processed in an establishment approved by the Veterinary Authority for export purposes so as to ensure the destruction of the CSFV in accordance with one of the procedures referred to in Article 15.2.25., and that the necessary precautions were taken after processing to avoid contact of the product with any source of CSFV.

Article 15.2.22.

Procedures for the inactivation of the CSFV in swill

For the inactivation of CSFV in swill, one of the following procedures should be used:

1) the swill should be maintained at a temperature of at least 90°C for at least 60 minutes, with continuous stirring; or

2) the swill should be maintained at a temperature of at least 121°C for at least 10 minutes at an absolute pressure of 3 bar.

Article 15.2.23.

Procedures for the inactivation of the CSFV in meat

For the inactivation of CSFV in meat, one of the following procedures should be used:

1. Heat treatment

   Meat should be subjected to one of the following treatments:

   a) heat treatment in a hermetically sealed container with a Fo value of 3.00 or more;

   b) heat treatment at a minimum temperature of 70°C, which should be reached throughout the meat.

2. Natural fermentation and maturation

   The meat should be subjected to a treatment consisting of natural fermentation and maturation having the following characteristics:

   a) an Aw value of not more than 0.93, or

   b) a pH value of not more than 6.0.

   Hams should be subjected to a natural fermentation and maturation process for at least 190 days and loins for 140 days.

3. Dry cured pork meat

   a) Italian style hams with bone-in should be cured with salt and dried for a minimum of 313 days.

   b) Spanish style pork meat with bone-in should be cured with salt and dried for a minimum of 252 days for Iberian hams, 140 days for Iberian shoulders, 126 days for Iberian loin, and 140 days for Serrano hams.

Article 15.2.24.

Procedures for the inactivation of the CSFV in casings of pigs

For the inactivation of CSFV in casings of pigs, the following procedures should be used: salting for at least 30 days either with phosphate supplemented dry salt or saturated brine (Aw < 0.80) containing 86.5% NaCl, 10.7% Na₂HPO₄ and 2.8% Na₃PO₄ (weight/weight/weight), and kept at a temperature of greater than 20°C during this entire period.
Article 15.2.25.

Procedures for the inactivation of the CSFV in skins and trophies

For the inactivation of CSFV in skins and trophies, one of the following procedures should be used:
1) boiling in water for an appropriate time so as to ensure that any matter other than bone, tusks or teeth is removed;
2) gamma irradiation at a dose of at least 20 kilogram at room temperature (20°C or higher);
3) soaking, with agitation, in a 4% (w/v) solution of washing soda (sodium carbonate – Na₂CO₃) maintained at pH 11.5 or above for at least 48 hours;
4) soaking, with agitation, in a formic acid solution (100 kg salt [NaCl] and 12 kg formic acid per 1,000 litres water) maintained at below pH 3.0 for at least 48 hours; wetting and dressing agents may be added;
5) in the case of raw hides, salting for at least 28 days with sea salt containing 2% washing soda (sodium carbonate – Na₂CO₃).

Article 15.2.26.

Surveillance: introduction

Articles 15.2.26. to 15.2.32. define the principles and provide a guide on the surveillance for CSF, complementary to Chapter 1.4., applicable to Member Countries seeking the OIE recognition of CSF status. This may be for the entire country or a zone. Guidance is also provided for Member Countries seeking recovery of CSF status for the entire country or for a zone following an outbreak and for the maintenance of CSF status.

The impact and epidemiology of CSF may vary in different regions of the world. The surveillance strategies employed for demonstrating freedom from CSF at an acceptable level of confidence should be adapted to the local situation. For example, the approach should be tailored in order to prove freedom from CSF for a country or zone where wild and feral pigs provide a potential reservoir of infection, or where CSF is present in adjacent countries. The method should examine the epidemiology of CSF in the region concerned and adapt to the specific risk factors encountered. This should include provision of scientifically based supporting data. There is, therefore, latitude available to Member Countries to provide a well-reasoned argument to prove that absence of infection with CSFV is assured at an acceptable level of confidence.

Surveillance for CSF should be in the form of a continuing programme designed to establish that susceptible populations in a country, zone or compartment are free from infection with CSFV or to detect the introduction of CSFV into a population already defined as free. Consideration should be given to the specific characteristics of CSF epidemiology which include:
– the role of swill feeding, the impact of different production systems and the role of wild and feral pigs on disease spread;
– the role of semen in transmission of the virus;
– the lack of pathognomonic gross lesions and clinical signs;
– the frequency of clinically inapparent infections;
– the occurrence of persistent and chronic infections;
– the genotypic, antigenic, and virulence variability exhibited by different strains of CSFV.

Article 15.2.27.

Surveillance: general conditions and methods

1) A surveillance system in accordance with Chapter 1.4. and under the responsibility of the Veterinary Authority should address the following aspects:
   a) formal and ongoing system for detecting and investigating outbreaks of disease or infection with CSFV should be in place;
   b) a procedure should be in place for the rapid collection and transport of samples from suspected cases to a laboratory for CSF diagnosis;
   c) a system for recording, managing and analysing diagnostic and surveillance data should be in place.
2) The CSF surveillance programme should:
   a) include an early warning system throughout the production, marketing and processing chain for reporting suspected cases. Diagnosticians and those with regular contact with pigs should report promptly any suspicion of CSF to the Veterinary Authority. The notification system under the Veterinary Authority should be supported directly or indirectly (e.g. through private veterinarians or veterinary paraprofessionals) by government information programmes. Since many strains of CSFV do not induce pathognomonic gross lesions or clinical signs, cases in which CSF cannot be ruled out should be immediately investigated. Other important diseases such as African swine fever should also be considered in any differential diagnosis. Personnel responsible for surveillance should be able to call for assistance from a team with expertise in CSF diagnosis, epidemiological evaluation, and control;
   b) implement, when relevant, regular and frequent clinical inspections and laboratory testing of high-risk groups (for example, where swill feeding is practised), or those adjacent to a CSF infected country or zone (for example, bordering areas where infected wild and feral pigs are present).

An effective surveillance system will periodically identify suspected cases that require follow-up and investigation to confirm or exclude infection with CSFV. The rate at which such suspected cases are likely to occur will differ between epidemiological situations and cannot, therefore, be reliably predicted. Applications for recognition of CSF status should, as a consequence, provide details in accordance with Chapter 1.9. of the occurrence of suspected cases and how they were investigated and dealt with.

Article 15.2.28.

Surveillance strategies

1. Introduction

The population covered by surveillance aimed at detecting disease and infection should include domestic and wild pig populations within the country or zone to be recognised as free from infection with CSFV.

The strategy employed to establish the prevalence or absence of infection with CSFV may be based on randomised or targeted clinical investigation or sampling at an acceptable level of statistical confidence. If an increased likelihood of infection in particular localities or sub-populations can be identified, targeted sampling may be an appropriate strategy. This may include:

   a) swill fed farms;
   b) pigs reared outdoors;
   c) specific high-risk wild and feral pig sub-populations and their proximity.

Risk factors may include temporal and spatial distribution of past outbreaks, pig movements and demographics, etc.

For reasons of cost, persistence of antibody levels and the existence of clinically inapparent infections, serology in unvaccinated populations is often the most effective and efficient surveillance methodology. In some circumstances such as differential diagnosis of other diseases, clinical and virological surveillance may also have value.

The surveillance strategy chosen should be justified as adequate to detect the presence of infection with CSFV in accordance with Chapter 1.4. and the epidemiological situation. Cumulative survey results in combination with the results of routine surveillance, over time, will increase the level of confidence in the surveillance strategy.

When applying randomised sampling, either at the level of the entire population or within targeted sub-populations, the design of the sampling strategy should incorporate epidemiologically appropriate design prevalences for the selected populations. The sample size selected for testing should be large enough to detect infection if it were to occur at a predefined minimum rate. The choice of design prevalence and confidence level should be justified based on the objectives of surveillance and the epidemiological situation, in accordance with Chapter 1.4. Selection of the design prevalence in particular, needs to be based on the prevailing or historical epidemiological situation.

Irrespective of the approach selected, the sensitivity and specificity of the diagnostic tests should be considered in the survey design, the sample size determination and the interpretation of the results obtained.

The surveillance system design should anticipate the occurrence of false positive reactions. This is especially true of the serological diagnosis of CSF because of the recognized cross-reactivity with ruminant pestiviruses. There needs to be an effective procedure for following up positives to ultimately determine with a high level of confidence, whether or not they are indicative of infection with CSFV. This should involve confirmatory and differential tests for pestiviruses, as well as further investigations concerning the original sampling unit as well as animals which may be epidemiologically linked.
2. Clinical surveillance

Clinical surveillance continues to be the cornerstone of CSF detection. However, due to the low virulence of some CSFV strains and the spread of diseases such as African swine fever, and those associated with porcine circovirus 2 infection, clinical surveillance should be supplemented, as appropriate, by serological and virological surveillance.

Clinical signs and pathological findings are useful for early detection; in particular, any cases where clinical signs or lesions suggestive of CSF are accompanied by high morbidity or mortality, these should be investigated without delay. In infections with CSFV involving low virulence strains, high mortality may only be seen in young animals and adults may not present clinical signs.

Wild and feral pigs rarely present the opportunity for clinical observation, but should form part of any surveillance scheme and should, ideally, be monitored for virus as well as antibody.

3. Virological surveillance

Virological surveillance should be conducted to:

a) monitor at risk populations;

b) investigate clinically suspected cases;

c) follow up positive serological results;

d) investigate increased mortality.

Molecular detection methods can be applied to large-scale screening for the presence of virus. If targeted at high-risk groups, they provide an opportunity for early detection that can considerably reduce the subsequent spread of disease. Epidemiological understanding of the pathways of spread of CSFV can be greatly enhanced by molecular analyses of viruses in endemic areas and those involved in outbreaks in disease free areas. Therefore, CSFV isolates should be sent to an OIE Reference Laboratory for further characterisation.

4. Serological surveillance

Serological surveillance aims at detecting antibodies against CSFV. Positive CSFV antibody test results can have five possible causes:

a) natural infection with CSFV;

b) vaccination against CSF;

c) maternal antibodies;

d) cross-reactions with other pestiviruses;

e) non-specific reactors.

The infection of pigs with other pestiviruses may complicate a surveillance strategy based on serology. Antibodies to bovine viral diarrhoea viruses (BVDV) and Border disease virus (BDV) can give positive results in serological tests for CSF, due to common antigens. Such samples will require differential tests to confirm their identity. One route by which ruminant pestiviruses can infect pigs is the use of vaccines contaminated with BVDV.

CSFV may lead to persistently infected, sero-negative young animals, which continuously shed virus. CSFV infection may also lead to chronically infected pigs which may have undetectable or fluctuating antibody levels. Even though serological methods will not detect these animals, such animals are likely to be in a minority and would not confound a diagnosis based on serology as part of a herd investigation.

It may be possible to use sera collected for other survey purposes for CSF surveillance. However, the principles of survey design and the requirement for statistical validity should not be compromised.

In countries or zones where vaccination has been recently discontinued, targeted serosurveillance of young unvaccinated animals can indicate the presence of infection. Maternal antibodies are usually found up to 8-10 weeks of age but may be occasionally last up to four and a half months and can interfere with the interpretation of serological results.

Marker vaccines and accompanying DIVA tests which fulfil the requirements of the Terrestrial Manual may allow discrimination between vaccinal antibody and that induced by natural infection. The serosurveillance results using DIVA techniques may be interpreted either at animal or herd level.

Member Countries should review their surveillance strategies whenever an increase in the risk of incursion of CSFV is perceived. Such changes include but are not limited to:

a) an emergence or an increase in the prevalence of CSF in countries or zones from which live pigs or products are imported;

b) an increase in the prevalence of CSF in wild or feral pigs in the country or zone;

c) an increase in the prevalence of CSF in adjacent countries or zones;

d) an increased entry from, or exposure to, infected wild or feral pig populations of adjacent countries or zones.
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Article 15.2.29.

Additional surveillance procedures for Member Countries applying for OIE recognition of CSF free status

The strategy and design of the surveillance programme will depend on the prevailing epidemiological circumstances in and around the country or zone and should be planned and implemented in accordance with the conditions for status recognition described in Article 15.2.2. and 15.2.3. and methods described elsewhere in this chapter. The objective is to demonstrate the absence of infection with CSFV in domestic and captive wild pigs during the last 12 months and to assess the infection status in wild and feral pig populations as described in Article 15.2.31.

Article 15.2.30.

Additional surveillance procedures for recovery of free status

In addition to the general conditions described in this chapter, a Member Country seeking recovery of country or zone CSF free status, including a containment zone, should show evidence of an active surveillance programme to demonstrate absence of infection with CSFV.

Populations under this surveillance programme should include:

1) establishments in the proximity of the outbreaks;

2) establishments epidemiologically linked to the outbreaks;

3) animals moved from or used to re-populate affected establishments;

4) any establishments where contiguous culling has been carried out;

5) wild and feral pig populations in the area of the outbreaks.

The domestic and captive wild pig populations should undergo regular clinical, pathological, virological and serological examinations, planned and implemented in accordance with the general conditions and methods described in these recommendations. Epidemiological evidence of the infection status in wild and feral pigs should be compiled. To regain CSF free status, the surveillance approach should provide at least the same level of confidence as within the original application for recognition of freedom.

Article 15.2.31.

Surveillance for CSFV in wild and feral pigs

1) The objective of a surveillance programme is either to demonstrate that infection with CSFV is not present in wild and feral pigs or, if known to be present, to estimate the distribution and prevalence of the infection. While the same principles apply, surveillance in wild and feral pigs presents additional challenges including:

a) determination of the distribution, size and movement patterns associated with the wild and feral pig population;

b) relevance and practicality of assessing the possible presence of CSFV infection within the population;

c) determination of the practicability of establishing a zone taking into account the degree of interaction with domestic and captive wild pigs within the proposed zone.

The geographic distribution and estimated size of wild and feral pig populations need to be assessed as a prerequisite for designing a monitoring system. Sources of information to aid in the design of a monitoring system may include governmental and non-governmental wildlife organisations such as hunter associations.
2) For implementation of the monitoring programme, it will be necessary to define the limits of the area over which wild and feral pigs range, in order to delineate the epidemiological units within the monitoring programme. It is often difficult to define epidemiological units for wild and feral pigs. The most practical approach is based on natural and artificial barriers.

3) The monitoring programme should involve serological and virological testing, including animals found dead, road kills, animals showing abnormal behaviour or exhibiting gross lesions during dressing.

4) There may be situations where a more targeted surveillance programme can provide additional assurance. The criteria to define high risk areas for targeted surveillance include:

   a) areas with past history of CSF;
   b) sub-regions with large populations of wild and feral pigs;
   c) border regions with CSF affected countries or zones;
   d) interface between wild and feral pig populations, and domestic and captive wild pig populations;
   e) farms with free-ranging pigs;
   f) other risk areas determined by the Veterinary Authority such as garbage dumps and picnic and camping areas.

Article 15.2.32.

The use and interpretation of diagnostic tests in surveillance

![Diagram of diagnostic tests]

Key words:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ab ELISA</td>
<td>Antibody detection ELISA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dFAVN</td>
<td>Differential fluorescent virus neutralisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNPLA</td>
<td>Differential neutralisation peroxidase linked assay</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Key words:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ag ELISA</td>
<td>Antigen capture ELISA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 1968; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2011.
CHAPTER 15.3.

INFECTION WITH PORCINE REPRODUCTIVE AND RESPIRATORY SYNDROME VIRUS

Article 15.3.1.

General provisions

The pig is the only natural host for porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV).

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) is defined as an infection of domestic and captive wild pigs with PRRSV.

The following defines the occurrence of infection with PRRSV:

1) PRRSV, excluding vaccine strains, has been isolated from a sample from a domestic or captive wild pig;

OR

2) antigen or ribonucleic acid specific to PRRSV, which is not a consequence of vaccination, has been detected in a sample from a domestic or captive wild pig epidemiologically linked to a confirmed or suspected case of PRRS, or giving cause for suspicion of previous association or contact with PRRSV, with or without clinical signs consistent with PRRS;

OR

3) a live PRRSV vaccine strain has been isolated or antigen or ribonucleic acid specific to a live PRRSV vaccine strain has been detected in a sample from a domestic or captive wild pig that is unvaccinated, or has been vaccinated with an inactivated vaccine, or with a different vaccine strain, showing clinical signs suggestive of PRRS, or epidemiologically linked to a suspected or confirmed case;

OR

4) antibodies specific to PRRSV, unless they are demonstrated to be a consequence of vaccination, have been identified in samples from a domestic or captive wild pig in a herd showing clinical signs consistent with PRRS, or epidemiologically linked to a confirmed or suspected outbreak of PRRS, or giving cause for suspicion of previous association or contact with PRRSV.

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the incubation period of PRRS shall be 14 days.

Commodities of domestic or captive wild pigs can be traded safely in accordance with the relevant articles of this chapter, even if exporting countries inform the OIE of the presence of infection with PRRSV in wild or feral pigs.

Standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 15.3.2.

Safe commodities

When authorising import or transit of the following commodities and any products made from these commodities and containing no other tissues from pigs, Veterinary Authorities should not require any PRRS-related conditions, regardless of the PRRS status of the exporting country, zone or compartment:

1) hides, skins and trophies;
2) bristles;
3) meat products;
4) meat-and-bone meal;
5) blood-products;
6) casings;
7) gelatine.
Article 15.3.3.

Country, zone or compartment free from PRRS

A country, zone or compartment may be considered free from PRRS when the following conditions are met:

1) PRRS is a notifiable disease in the entire country;
2) an early detection system is in place;
3) surveillance in accordance with Articles 15.3.13. to 15.3.16. has been in place for at least 12 months;
4) there has been no occurrence of infection with PRRSV in domestic and captive wild pigs during the past 12 months;
5) no vaccination against PRRS with inactivated vaccines has been carried out during the past 12 months;
6) no vaccination against PRRS with modified live vaccines has been carried out during the past 24 months;
7) pigs and pig commodities are imported or introduced in accordance with Articles 15.3.5. to 15.3.12.

Article 15.3.4.

Recovery of free status

Should a PRRS outbreak occur in a previously free country, zone or compartment, the free status may be restored three months after the disposal or slaughter of the last case, provided that:

1) a stamping-out policy or the slaughter of all susceptible animals in the infected herds followed by disinfection of the establishments, has been implemented;
2) surveillance has been carried out in accordance with Articles 15.3.13. to 15.3.16. with negative results.

Where a stamping-out policy or depopulation by means of slaughter are not practised, Article 15.3.3. applies.

Article 15.3.5.

Recommendations for importation from countries, zones or compartments free from PRRS

For domestic and captive wild pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of PRRS on the day of shipment;
2) were kept in a country, zone or compartment free from PRRS since birth or for at least the past three months.

Article 15.3.6.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones not free from PRRS

For domestic and captive wild pigs for breeding or rearing

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the pigs:

1) were kept, since birth or for at least three months prior to isolation, in an establishment in which no infection with PRRSV was detected within that period;
2) showed no clinical sign of PRRS on the day of shipment;
3) have not been vaccinated against PRRS nor are they the progeny of vaccinated sows;
4) were isolated for 28 days by application of biosecurity and subjected to a serological test for infection with PRRSV, with negative results, on two occasions, at an interval of not less than 21 days, the second test being performed within 15 days prior to shipment.
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Article 15.3.7.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones not free from PRRS

For domestic and captive wild pigs for slaughter

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals showed no clinical sign of PRRS on the day of shipment.

The pigs should be transported directly with appropriate biosecurity from the place of shipment to the slaughterhouse/abattoir for immediate slaughter.

Article 15.3.8.

Recommendations for importation from countries, zones or compartments free from PRRS

For semen of domestic and captive wild pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor males:
   a) were kept in a country, zone or compartment free from PRRS since birth or for at least three months prior to collection;
   b) showed no clinical sign of PRRS on the day of collection of the semen;
2) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.

Article 15.3.9.

Recommendations for importation from countries or zones not free from PRRS

For semen of domestic and captive wild pigs

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor males have not been vaccinated against PRRS; and
   a) were kept, since birth or for at least three months prior to entry into the pre-entry isolation facility, in an establishment in which no pigs have been vaccinated against PRRS and no infection with PRRSV was detected within that period;
   b) showed no clinical sign of PRRS on the day of entry into the pre-entry isolation facility and were subjected to a serological test with negative results on samples collected on the same day;
   c) were kept in the pre-entry isolation facility for at least 28 days and were subjected to a serological test with negative results on samples collected no less than 21 days after entry;
   d) EITHER
      i) have been kept in an artificial insemination centre where, at least every month, serum samples from a statistically representative number of all donor males are subjected, to an appropriate test for infection with PRRSV with negative results. The sampling scheme should be designed to ensure that all donor males are tested every 12 months and at least once during their stay;
      OR
      ii) have been kept in an artificial insemination centre where all donor males were subjected to serological and virological examinations for infection with PRRSV, with negative results, on serum samples taken on the day of collection;
2) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with the relevant articles in Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.
Article 15.3.10.

Recommendations for importation of in vivo derived embryos of domestic and captive wild pigs from countries, zones or compartments free from PRRS

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:
1) the donor females were kept in a country, zone or compartment free from PRRS since birth or for at least three months prior to collection;
2) the donor females showed no clinical sign of PRRS on the day of collection;
3) the embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.7. or 4.9., as relevant;
4) the semen used for the production of embryos complied with the provisions of Article 15.3.8. or Article 15.3.9.

Article 15.3.11.

Recommendations for importation of in vivo derived embryos of domestic and captive wild pigs from countries or zones not free from PRRS

Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:
1) the donor females:
   a) showed no clinical sign of PRRS on the day of collection;
   b) were subjected to a serological test for infection with PRRSV, with negative results, on two occasions, at an interval of not less than 21 days, the second test being performed within 15 days prior to embryo collection;
2) the embryos were collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.7. or 4.9., as relevant;
3) the semen used for the production of embryos complied with the provisions of Article 15.3.8. or Article 15.3.9.

Article 15.3.12.

Recommendations for importation of fresh meat of domestic and captive wild pigs

Regardless of the PRRS status of the country of origin, Veterinary Authorities should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the entire consignment of fresh meat comes from pigs that have been slaughtered in an approved slaughterhouse/abattoir and have been subjected with favourable results to ante- and post-mortem inspections in accordance with Chapter 6.3.

Article 15.3.13.

Introduction to surveillance

The following defines the principles and provides a guide to the surveillance for PRRS, complementary to Chapter 1.4. This may be for the entire country, a zone or a compartment. Guidance is also provided for Member Countries seeking recovery of PRRS status for the entire country, for a zone or for a compartment, following an outbreak and for the maintenance of PRRS status.

Surveillance should be capable of detecting the presence of infection with PRRSV even in the absence of clinical signs. Surveillance for PRRS should be in the form of a continuing programme designed to establish that domestic and captive wild pig populations in a country, zone or compartment are free from infection with PRRSV or to detect the introduction of PRRSV into a population already defined as free. Consideration should be given to the specific characteristics of PRRS epidemiology that include:
 – the role of pig-to-pig contact;
 – the role of semen in transmission of the virus;
 – the possible occurrence of aerosol transmission;
 – the existence of two distinct genotypes of PRRSV, also with antigenic and virulence variability among strains of both genotypes;
 – the frequency of clinically inapparent infections, particularly in older pigs;
 – the possible occurrence of long-term virus-shedding even in the presence of antibodies;
the lack of a differentiating test for vaccinal antibodies and the inherent risks associated with the use of modified live vaccines for PRRS.

_Veterinary Authorities_ may have information on the genotype prevailing in the country but it should not be assumed that the other genotype is absent. Therefore, virological and serological tests used for _surveillance_ should be able to detect both genotypes and antibodies to both genotypes with similar sensitivity.

**Article 15.3.14. General conditions and methods for surveillance**

1) A _surveillance_ system in accordance with Chapter 1.4. and under the responsibility of the _Veterinary Authority_ should be in place and include the following elements:

   a) formal and ongoing system for detecting and investigating _outbreaks_ of PRRS;
   
   b) a system for recording, managing and analysing diagnostic and _surveillance_ data.

2) Any PRRS _surveillance_ programme should:

   a) include the reporting and investigation of suspected _cases_. Diagnosticians and those with regular contact with pigs should report promptly any suspicion of PRRS to the _Veterinary Authority_;
   
   b) implement, when relevant, regular and frequent clinical inspections and _laboratory_ testing of populations at high-risk of contracting or spreading disease, such as _artificial insemination centres_ and _nucleus herds_, _establishments_ in high pig density areas or with lax _biosecurity_.

**Article 15.3.15. Surveillance strategies**

1. **Introduction**

   The objective of _surveillance_ is to estimate the _prevalence of infection_, demonstrate freedom or detect introduction of PRRSV as soon as possible.

   The _surveillance_ strategy chosen should be justified as adequate to detect the presence of _infection_ with PRRSV in accordance with Chapter 1.4. and the epidemiological situation. Cumulative results of targeted and general _surveillance_ will increase the level of confidence in the _surveillance_ strategy.

2. **Clinical surveillance**

   Clinical signs and pathological findings are useful for early detection. Episodes of high morbidity or mortality in young piglets and reproductive disorders in sows should also be investigated. Highly pathogenic strains may affect pigs of all ages and can include severe respiratory signs. In PRRSV _infections_ involving low virulence strains, clinical signs may not be present or are seen only in young animals. Therefore, clinical _surveillance_ should be supplemented by serological and virological _surveillance_.

3. **Virological surveillance**

   In some circumstances such as clinical disease investigations and in high-risk populations, virological _surveillance_ may provide an advantage through earlier detection.

   Virological _surveillance_ should be conducted:

   a) to monitor high-risk populations;
   
   b) to investigate clinically suspected _cases_;
   
   c) to follow up positive serological results.

   Molecular detection methods are most commonly used for virological _surveillance_ and can be also applied to large-scale screening. If targeted at high-risk populations, they provide an opportunity for early detection that can considerably reduce the subsequent spread of disease. Molecular analysis can provide valuable information on genotype circulating in the country and enhance epidemiological understanding of the pathways of spread in endemic areas and those involved in _outbreaks_ in disease free areas.
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4. Serological surveillance

Serology in unvaccinated populations is often the most effective and efficient surveillance methodology. In some pigs, antibodies against PRRSV can disappear after approximately three to six months in the absence of further exposure and this should be considered when interpreting serological surveillance results.

In the absence of a test differentiating infected from vaccinated animals (DIVA), serology in vaccinated populations is less useful.

Maternal antibodies are generally detectable until four to eight weeks of age. The collection of samples should therefore take account of the type of herd and the age structure of the pigs, with an emphasis on older pigs. However, in countries or zones where vaccination has been recently discontinued, targeted serological surveillance of young unvaccinated pigs older than eight weeks can indicate the presence of infection.

Article 15.3.16.

Additional surveillance requirements for recovery of free status

In addition to the general conditions described in this chapter, a Member Country declaring the recovery of country, zone or compartment PRRS free status should provide evidence of an active surveillance programme to demonstrate absence of infection with PRRSV.

This surveillance programme should cover:
1) establishments in the proximity of the outbreaks;
2) establishments epidemiologically linked to the outbreaks;
3) pigs moved from or used to repopulate affected establishments.

The pig herds should undergo regular clinical, pathological, virological and serological examinations, planned and implemented according to the general conditions and methods described in these recommendations.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 2017.
CHAPTER 15.4.

INFECTION WITH TAENIA SOLIUM
(PORCINE CYSTICERCOSIS)

Article 15.4.1.

General provisions

*Taenia solium* (*T. solium*) is a zoonotic parasite of pigs and occasionally of other animals. *T. solium* is a cestode (tapeworm) that is endemic in large areas of Latin America, Asia and sub-Saharan Africa. The adult cestode occurs in the small intestine of humans (definitive host) causing taeniosis. The larval stage (cysticercus) occurs in striated muscles, subcutaneous tissues and central nervous system of pigs (intermediate hosts), causing cysticercosis. Other suids and dogs can be infected but are not epidemiologically significant. Humans may also become infected with the larval stage through the ingestion of eggs shed in faeces of infected humans. The most severe form of human *infection* by the larval stage is neurocysticercosis which causes neurological disorders including seizures (epilepsy) and sometimes death. Cysticercosis, although normally clinically inapparent in pigs, is associated with significant economic losses due to carcass condemnation and decreased value of pigs, and causes a major disease burden in humans.

In humans, taeniosis occurs following ingestion of pig *meat* containing viable cysticerci and can be prevented by avoiding consumption of raw or undercooked contaminated pig *meat*. In humans, cysticercosis occurs following ingestion of *T. solium* eggs and can be prevented by avoiding exposure to *T. solium* eggs through detection and treatment of human tapeworm carriers, community health education, appropriate sanitation, personal hygiene, and good food hygiene. Collaboration between the Veterinary Authority and the public health authority is essential in preventing and controlling *T. solium* transmission.

In pigs, cysticercosis occurs by ingestion of *T. solium* eggs from faeces, or environments contaminated with faeces of humans harbouring adult *T. solium*.

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, *infection* with *T. solium* is defined as an *infection* of pigs.

The aim of this chapter is to reduce the risk of *infection* with *T. solium* of humans and pigs and to minimise the international spread of *T. solium*. The chapter provides recommendations for prevention, control and *surveillance* of *infection* with *T. solium* in pigs.

This chapter should be read in conjunction with the Codex Alimentarius Code of Hygienic Practice for Meat (CAC/RCP 58-2005).

When authorising the import or transit of the commodities covered in this chapter, with the exception of those listed in Article 15.4.2., Veterinary Authorities should apply the recommendations in this chapter.

Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 15.4.2.

Safe commodities

When authorising import or transit of the following commodities of pigs, Veterinary Authorities should not require any *T. solium*-related conditions regardless of the status of the animal population of the exporting country:

1) processed fat;
2) casings;
3) semi-processed skins which have been submitted to the usual chemical and mechanical processes in use in the tanning industry;
4) bristles, hooves and bones;
5) semen, oocytes and embryos.
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Article 15.4.3.

Measures to prevent and control infection with T. solium

The Veterinary Authority and other Competent Authorities should carry out community awareness and education programmes on the risk factors associated with transmission of T. solium emphasising the role of pigs and humans.

The Veterinary Authority or other Competent Authorities should promote the following measures:

1. Prevention of infection in pigs

Transmission of T. solium eggs from humans to pigs can be avoided by:

a) preventing the deliberate use of human faeces as pig feed or the use of pigs as a means of human faeces disposal;

b) ensuring that any treated sewage effluent used to irrigate or fertilise land to be used by pigs for forage or for food crops has been treated in a manner shown to inactivate T. solium eggs;

c) providing adequate toilet and sanitation facilities for people in areas and establishments where pigs are kept to prevent the exposure of pigs and their environment to human faeces.

2. Control of infection in pigs

a) The Veterinary Authority should ensure that all slaughtered pigs are subjected to post-mortem meat inspection in accordance with Chapter 6.3., and with reference to Chapter 2.9.5. of the Terrestrial Manual.

b) When cysticerci are detected during post-mortem meat inspection:

i) if cysticerci are detected in a carcass of a pig in multiple locations (systemic infection), that carcass and its viscera, as well as all pigs from the same establishment of origin should be disposed of in accordance with Article 4.12.6.;

ii) if only localised cysticerci are detected in a carcass of a pig, the meat from that carcass and from all pigs from the same establishment of origin should be treated in accordance with Article 15.4.6. or may be disposed of in accordance with Article 4.12.6.;

iii) an investigation should be carried out by the Veterinary Authority and the public health authority to identify the possible source of the infection in order to target an intervention;

iv) post-mortem examination of pigs at slaughter from known infected establishments should be intensified until evidence has been obtained indicating that the infection has been eliminated from the establishment.

An optimal control programme should include detection and treatment of human tapeworm carriers and control of sewage used for agricultural production.

Article 15.4.4.

Surveillance for infection with T. solium in pigs

Communication procedures on the occurrence of T. solium should be established between the Veterinary Authority and public health authorities.

The Veterinary Authority should use information from public health authorities and other sources on human cases of taeniosis or cysticercosis in the initial design and any subsequent modification of surveillance programmes.

Surveillance can be conducted by:

1) meat inspection at slaughterhouses/abattoirs;

2) tongue inspection of live pigs at markets provided that the methods used do not cause injury and avoid unnecessary suffering;

3) other diagnostic tests on live pigs.

The data collected should be used for investigations and for the design or amendment of control programmes as described in Article 15.4.3.
Animal identification and animal traceability systems should be implemented in accordance with the provisions of Chapters 4.1. and 4.2.

Article 15.4.5.

Recommendations for the importation of meat and meat products of pigs

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the entire consignment of meat or meat products:

1) has been produced in accordance with the Codex Code of Hygienic Practice for Meat (CAC/RCP 58-2005);

AND

2) comes from pigs which have been slaughtered in an approved slaughterhouse/abattoir;

AND

3) either

a) comes from pigs born and raised in a country, zone or compartment demonstrated to be free from *T. solium* in accordance with Article 1.4.6.;

or

b) comes from pigs which have been subjected to post-mortem inspections for *T. solium* cysticerci with favourable results;

or

3) has been processed to ensure the inactivation of the *T. solium* cysticerci in accordance with one of the procedures referred to in Article 15.4.6.

Article 15.4.6.

Procedures for the inactivation of *T. solium* cysticerci in meat of pigs

For the inactivation of *T. solium* cysticerci in meat of pigs, one of the following procedures should be used:

1) heat treatment to a core temperature of at least 60°C; or

2) freezing to minus 10°C or less for at least ten days or any time and temperature equivalent.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 2015; MOST RECENT UPDATE ADOPTED IN 2016.
CHAPTER 15.5.

TRANSMISSIBLE GASTROENTERITIS

Article 15.5.1.

General provisions

For the purposes of the Terrestrial Code, the infective period for transmissible gastroenteritis (TGE) shall be 40 days. Standards for diagnostic tests are described in the Terrestrial Manual.

Article 15.5.2.

Recommendations for the importation of pigs for breeding or rearing

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that the animals:

1) showed no clinical sign of TGE on the day of shipment;

AND EITHER

2) come from an establishment in which no case of TGE was reported during the 12 months prior to shipment;

and

3) showed negative results to a diagnostic test for TGE during the 30 days prior to shipment, and were kept isolated during this period;

OR

4) come from a country in which TGE is officially notifiable and no clinical case has been recorded in the previous three years.

Article 15.5.3.

Recommendations for the importation of pigs for slaughter

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) showed no clinical sign of TGE on the day of shipment;

2) come from an establishment in which no case of TGE was officially reported during the 40 days prior to shipment.

Article 15.5.4.

Recommendations for the importation of semen of pigs

Veterinary Authorities of importing countries should require the presentation of an international veterinary certificate attesting that:

1) the donor animals showed no clinical sign of TGE on the day of collection of the semen;

AND EITHER

2) the donor animals have been resident for at least 40 days on an artificial insemination centre, and all the pigs on this artificial insemination centre were free from clinical signs of TGE during the 12 months prior to collection;
and
3) for fresh semen, the donor animals were subjected to a diagnostic test for TGE with negative results during the 30 days prior to collection;
4) for frozen semen, the donor animals were subjected to a diagnostic test for TGE with negative results at least 14 days after collection;

OR
5) the donor animals have been resident since birth in a country in which TGE is officially notifiable and no clinical case has been recorded in the previous three years;

and in all situations:
6) the semen was collected, processed and stored in accordance with Chapters 4.5. and 4.6.
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